FAR 16.505(b) Lowest Priced Technically Acceptable Evaluation Criteria – Acoustic Solution for Sound Dampening In Server Room

1.0 Basis for Award

The Government's selection for Contract award will be made on the basis of the lowest priced quote meeting the acceptability standards for the non-cost factors in accordance with FAR 15.101-2, Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) source selection process. The Contract will be awarded to the Offeror who is deemed responsible by the Contracting Officer, in accordance with the General Standards for contractor responsibility at FAR 9.104-1, and whose proposal conforms to all of the solicitation's requirements (including stated terms, conditions, representations, certifications, and all other information) and is assessed, based on the evaluation factors below, to represent the lowest price technically acceptable.

2.0 Evaluation Factors & Evaluation Approach

All proposals will be evaluated in a fair and consistent manner. All proposals will be subject to evaluation by a team of Government personnel. The Offeror whose proposal is determined to provide the lowest priced proposal that meets the acceptability standards for the non-cost factor (Technical) will be awarded the Contract.

The Government reserves the right to award based on initial proposals. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award the contract without discussions with the Offeror's (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306). Offerors are urged to ensure that their initial proposals are submitted with the most favorable terms in order to reflect their best possible potential. However, the Government reserves the right to conduct discussions and request proposal revisions if it is determined to be in the best interest of the Government.

The Government will evaluate each proposal and the Contracting Officer will assess the results of the following Factors, listed below:

Factor 1 – Technical
Factor 2 - Price

Factor 1 – Technical

The proposals will be evaluated based on the Offeror's proposed plan to meet the key Objectives of the contract Statement of Objectives (SOO) in their proposed Statement of Work (SOW).

The key objectives of the contract SOO are paragraphs 3.0 through 4.4.

The evaluation will assess the Offeror's proposed acoustic solution, including installation, delivery, and the associated Bill of Materials. The evaluation will also consider whether the Statement of Work incorporates the proposed noise-reduction measures and other factors demonstrating the Contractor's ability to meet requirements. Additionally, the inclusion of a detailed work breakdown structure, travel and material estimates, subcontracting plans, labor categories, allocated hours, and the BOM will demonstrate the Offeror's understanding of the SOO requirements and the adequacy of the approach to successfully perform the work.

To receive an acceptable rating, the Offeror must demonstrate that they have the technical expertise and ability to obtain necessary travel arrangement and/or materials (if required) in accordance with the period of performance delineated within the SOO for all of the above items.

Factor 1 – Technical Approach Factor Ratings:

Acceptable/Unacceptable Ratings

Rating	Description
Acceptable	Proposal meets the requirements of the solicitation.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet the requirements of the solicitation.

Factor 2 - Price

The price proposal will be evaluated for fairness and reasonableness in accordance with FAR 15.404-1(b). Price will not be scored.

The Price Factor will not have an adjectival rating assigned. The total evaluated price is the total price proposed for this Contract.

Price Inconsistencies: A proposal is presumed to represent an Offeror's best effort to respond to the solicitation. Any significant inconsistencies, if unexplained, raise a fundamental issue of the Offeror's understanding of the nature and scope of work required and their financial ability to fulfil the contract, and may be grounds for rejection of the proposal.