RFI #	Bid Question	Date received	Answer and Comment
1	For Vol 2, Part 2 -Past Experience-Can available CPARS evaluations be submitted and considered in lieu of Exhibit “C” PPQ forms? We respectfully request that available CPARS evaluations be considered as equivalent past performance documentation in lieu of Exhibit “C” PPQ forms. Based on our experience, Government references may not always complete PPQ forms, particularly when CPARS evaluations are already available and provide a comprehensive assessment of contractor performance.	6/3/2026	Yes, you may include CPARS evaluations to be considered in lieu of Exhibit "C" PPQ forms.
2	As a multitude of drawings have been revised prior to the issuance of the Solicitation, please provide a consolidated set of the drawings that include all of the amendments for bidding purposes.	6/9/2026	The Government will not be issuing a consolidated set of drawings. Offerors shall base their bids on the original drawing set and all issued addendums. Clarification to Drawing GC 100 & addendum 4: The Government acknowledges a visual discrepancy regarding the site location on original drawing sheet GC 100, which was subsequently carried over into Addendum 4. The site location depicted to the North West (left) of Building 400 is incorrect. For bidding purposes, offerors shall base their proposals on the correct project site location, which is North East (right) of Building 400.
3	Will the Government require specific proof of U.S. citizenship (like passports) for site access, or is the standard Security Forces background check enough to satisfy the requirements of Spec 1.4.4 and 1.4.5?	6/10/2026	Anticipate submitting additional documentation beyond the standard Form 40, base access requests, questions. Due to the design of this facility being ICD 705 standards, the Government will require explicit, physical proof of U.S. citizenship (such as a passport or birth certificate) for every individual working on the project site, to be verified by the Government prior to entry. Contractors are entirely responsible for ensuring their proposed workforce meets the U.S. citizen requirements and must build adequate time for this enhanced security vetting into their schedules.
4	Are the 4ea Addendums included in the original document release considered addendums/amendments to be included in block 19 of the Standard Form 1442?	6/11/2026	No! Solicitation addendums are not the same as solicitation amendments. Only solicitation amendments are to be included in block 19 of SF 1442.
5	Specification Section 281005, as well as details for on sheet EY601 appear to show new Security/Access Control/Intrusion Detection systems. There does not appear to be a specific manufacturer listed in the specifications or shown on the drawings. Please confirm if these systems are required to be provided by Advantor? Please confirm if these systems are to be included by the contractor, or if these system components will be provided by the owner, and only raceways and boxes by the contractor. If provided by the contractor, can you please provide a POC for the representative that services the base?	6/11/2026	ADVANTOR is the ESS manufacturer identified during the design phase and participated in the development of the pre-bid scope and cost estimate for this project. Coordination requirements are identified on Sheet EY101. All exterior CCTV cameras (excluding the interior ceiling-mounted camera located in Corridor 102 at the entrance to Area "A") will be Government-Furnished Equipment (GFE). These cameras will be monitored from the BDOC. The remainder of the ESS (IDS/ACC/CCTV) will be provided by the Awarded Construction Contractor within a single purchase and installation. The IDS and ACS components associated with Vestibule Door-101B, and the surrounding vestibule area will be procured by the Base through the Construction Contractor's ADVANTOR purchase order and shall be included as part of the overall ESS installation effort. Coordinate closely with the Base Contracting Office and Contracting Officer Representative.
6	There does not appear to be a manufacturer listed for the fire alarm system in specification section 283176. Please confirm if there is a required Fire Alarm vendor/manufacturer or if this is open to any manufacturer?	6/11/2026	This item is not sole sourced. The contract documents require the system to report point to point with the Honeywell Focal Point receiver at the base receiving station (District 24). The selected system must be compatable with Honeywell.
7	Please confirm that the Mass Notification System on sheet EY601 is to be a Mass Notification System through the new Fire Alarm System.	6/11/2026	Yes, the new fire alarm system will be a full building fire alarm and mass notification system which is separate from the paging system. See Sheet FA601 output modules and Sheet FA701 input/output matrix to see how paging system is muted for fire alarm conditions.
8	For Sheet Key Note #8 on ES101, the contractor is to tie into the existing duct bank to refeed the Fire Station. o Shall an additional manhole be installed at this tie in location? o How long can the Fire Station be without power for the change over to the new feed?	6/11/2026	"Manhole shall be installed at location indicated by Keynote 8 on Sheet ES101. Specs for manhole shall conform to Keynote 3 on Sheet ES101 and additional details. Fire Station has dedicated backup generator with ATS. That should kick in once power is cut.
9	Per sheet EP600 in NSWBD MTS, there appears to be a ‘Male Connector’ required for connection to a Portable Emergency Generator. Can you please provide a part number or connector type for the desired ‘Male Connector’?	6/11/2026	Male CamLock Connectors
10	Please confirm that the Owner will be providing utility locating/mark out for all existing underground utilities.	6/11/2026	This is an incorrect assumption. Contractor is required to contact NJ one call for markouts, then provides the government issued ticket number. This allows the Government to start the markouts not completed by outside agencies. Please allow at a minimum 2 weeks or completion.

11	Per sheet CD101, the existing Temporary Flight Simulator Facility #1 and #2 appear to be removed by the owner and the concrete pads and Transformer is to be removed by the Contractor. Please confirm if the contractor is responsible for removing the panels and disconnects for each Temporary Flight Simulator as well? Can the transformer and existing panelboards be utilized for temporary power for the site? And removed once permanent power is in place?	6/11/2026	The existing Temporary Simulator Facilities (#1&#2) will remain fully operational until the simulator equipment is relocated to the new MTC facility (upon its completion). The government will then remove the Facilities and the contractor will proceed with the demolition of the associated concrete and utility connections.
12	What are the owners anticipated lead times for the new Service Transformer? And Switchboard? These items can be ±52weeks from time of release, in addition to submittal and power study processing times.	6/11/2026	Lead times will depend on manufacturer and supplier selected by the contractor. As these components are contractor-procured, the Government does not control or dictate their production or delivery timelines. Contractors should coordinate with their vendors to uderstand current market conditions when developing their schedules.
13	Please confirm that per the solicitation section 2.3.2.3.1 that anticipated NTP is 01OCT2026.	6/11/2026	Notice to proceed date on solicitation is an estimated date.