Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) - IONM

The contractor will be evaluated in accordance with the following:

1. PURPOSE

This Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) provides a systematic method to evaluate performance for the stated contract. This QASP explains the following:

- What will be monitored?
- How will monitoring will take place?
- Who will conduct the monitoring?
- How will monitoring efforts and results be documented?

This QASP does not detail how the contractor accomplishes the work. Rather, the QASP is created with the premise that the contractor is responsible for management and quality control actions to meet the terms of the contract. It is the Government's responsibility to be objective, fair, and consistent in evaluating performance.

This QASP is a "living document" and the Government may review and revise it on a regular basis. However, the Government shall coordinate changes with the contractor through contract modification. Copies of the original QASP and revisions shall be provided to the contractor and Government officials implementing surveillance activities.

2. VA ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The following personnel shall oversee and coordinate surveillance activities.

a. Contracting Officer (CO) – The CO shall ensure performance of all necessary actions for effective contracting, ensure compliance with the contract terms, and shall safeguard the interests of the United States in the contractual relationship. The CO shall also assure that the contractor receives impartial, fair, and equitable treatment under this contract. The CO is ultimately responsible for the final determination of the adequacy of the contractor's performance.

Assigned CO: Rosetta Quinn

Organization or Agency: Department of Veteran Affairs

Email: Rosetta.Quinn@va.gov

b. Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) – The COR is responsible for technical administration of the contract and shall assure proper Government surveillance of the contractor's performance. The COR shall keep a quality assurance file. The COR is not empowered to make any contractual commitments or to authorize any contractual changes on the Government's behalf.

Assigned COR: Carol Ryan

Organization or Agency: Department of Veteran Affairs

Email: Carol.Ryan@va.gov

3. CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVES

The following employee(s) of the contractor serve as the contractor's program manager(s) for this contract.

Primary Program Manager: Email:

Alternate Program Manager: Email:

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) - IONM

4. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

The contractor is responsible for performance of ALL terms and conditions of the contract. CORs will provide contract progress reports quarterly to the CO reflecting performance on this plan and all other aspects of the resultant contract. The performance standards outlined in this QASP shall be used to determine the level of contractor performance in the elements defined. Performance standards define desired services. The Government performs surveillance to determine the level of Contractor performance to these standards.

The Performance Requirements are listed below in Section 6. The Government shall use these standards to determine contractor performance and shall compare contractor performance to the standard and assign a rating. At the end of the performance period, these ratings will be used, in part, to establish the past performance of the contractor on the contract.

5. METHODS OF QA SURVEILLANCE

Various methods exist to monitor performance. The COR shall use the surveillance methods listed below in the administration of this QASP.

a. DIRECT OBSERVATION. 100% surveillance:

b. PERIODIC INSPECTION. Inspections scheduled and reported quarterly per COR delegation or as needed. COR will review the monthly physician Operation Report in CPRS pertaining to each of the surgical cases done in the corresponding month. All inspection and reports will be conducted in compliance with VA Privacy and Information security standards.

c. VALIDATED USER/CUSTOMER COMPLAINTS. COR will receive quarterly reports showing any valid user/customer complaint

d. RANDOM SAMPLING. The COR will review Joint Patient Safety Report to ensure all incidents are reported timely. All reviews and reports will be conducted in compliance with VA Privacy and Information security standards.

e. VERIFICATION AND/OR DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED BY CONTRACTOR. The contractor must supply provider qualifications, pertinent provider documents including licenses, training and certification copies.

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) - IONM

6. QASP PERFORMANCE REPORT DATE:

Measures	PWS Reference	Performance Requirement	Standard	Acceptable Quality Level (AQL)	Surveillance Method	Met AQL/DID NOT MEET AQL (CPARS) RATING/ADD COMMENTS
Patient Access	4.5.4.1	The Contractor shall provide contractors physician(s) and technologist(s) in accordance with the operating hours and VA clinical schedule outlined in this PWS.	All (100%) Contractor's physician(s) and technologist are on time and available to perform services.	100% of the Contractors provider(s) perform within their scope of practice/ privileges 100% of the time.	Direct Observation Continuous	
Provider Quality Performance	4.5.4.2	All Contractors provider(s) must perform in accordance with clinical standards and as specified in the PWS.	All (100%) Contractor's physician(s) must meet or exceed currently recognized national standards of the American Board of Neurological (AMBN), All Contractor's IONM technologist(s) must meet or exceed currently recognized national standards of the American Board of Registration of Electroenceph	100%	Direct Observation	

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) - IONM

			alographic and Evoked Potential Technologists (ABRET).			
Qualifications of Key Personnel	4.5.4.3	All Contractors Neurophysiologist physician(s) shall be credentialed in accordance with the American Board of Neurological and hold a certificate with the American Board of Psychiatry & Neurology or American Osteopathic Board Neurology and Psychiatry. All Contractor's IONM technologist(s) must have a certified and have a certification in Neurophysiologic Intraoperative Monitoring (CNIM) by the American Board of registration of Electroencephalographic and Evoked Potential Technologist (ABRET).	All (100%) Contractor's physician(s) are Board Certified. Technologist(s) are ABRET certified.	100%	Random Inspection of Qualification Documents Annually	
Scope of Practice/ privileging	4.5.4.4	Contractor's physician(s) perform within their individual scopes of practice/privileging.	All (100%) Contractor's physician(s) perform within their scope of	All (100%) Contractor's physician(s) perform within their scope of practice/	Direct Observation Continuous	

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) - IONM

			practice/privileges 100% of the time.	privileges 100% of the time.		
Patient Safety	4.5.4.5	Patient safety incidents shall be reported using Patient Safety Report. All incidents reported immediately (within 24 hours).	All (100%) of patient safety incidents are reported using Patient Safety Report withing 24 hours of incident.	100% of patient incidents are reported using Patient Safety Report within 24 hours of the in the incident. No acceptable deviation.	Direct observation Continuous	
Maintains Licensing, Registration, and Certification	4.5.4.6	Updated Licensing, registration and certification shall be provided as they are renewed. Licensing and registration information kept current.	All (100%) licensing, registration(s) and certification(s) for Contractor's Neurophysiologist physician(s) shall be provided as they are renewed and IONM Technologist(s) shall be provided as they renewed. Licensing and registration information kept current.	100% licensing, registration(s) and certification(s) for Contractor's physician(s) shall be provided as they are renewed. Licensing and registration information kept current. No acceptable deviation.	Periodic Inspection Annually	
Mandatory Training	4.5.4.7	Contractor shall complete all required training on time per facility policy.	All (100%) of required training is completed on time by contract physician(s) and technologist(s).	100% Compliance	Periodic Inspection Annually	

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) - IONM

Privacy, Confidentiality and HIPAA	4.5.4.8	Contractor is aware of all laws, regulations, policies, and procedures relating to Privacy, Confidentiality and HIPAA and complies with all standards. Zero breaches of privacy or confidentiality.	All (100%) Contractor's physician(s) and technologist(s) shall comply with all laws, regulations, policies, and procedures relating to Privacy, Confidentiality and HIPAA.	100% Compliance	Periodic inspection: Contractor shall provide evidence of annual training required by the facility, reports violations per VA Handbook 6500.6.	

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) - IONM

7. CPARS RATINGS ASSIGNED TO QASP ITEMS:

Metrics and methods are designed to determine rating for a given standard and AQL. The following ratings shall be used (Reference: CPARS User Manual https://www.cpars.gov/cparsweb/assets/documents/CPARS_User_Manual.pdf)

EXCEPTIONAL:	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. **Note:** To justify an **Exceptional** rating, you should identify multiple significant events in each category and state how it was a benefit to the GOVERNMENT. However, a singular event could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
VERY GOOD:	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective. **Note:** To justify a **Very Good** rating, you should identify a significant event in each category and state how it was a benefit to the GOVERNMENT. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
SATISFACTORY:	Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. **Note:** To justify a **Satisfactory** rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
MARGINAL:	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. **Note:** To justify **Marginal** performance, you should identify a significant event in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the GOVERNMENT. A **Marginal** rating should be supported by referencing the management tool that notified the contractor of the contractual deficiency (e.g., Management, Quality, Safety or Environmental Deficiency Report or letter).
UNSATISFACTORY:	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective. **Note:** To justify an **Unsatisfactory** rating, you should identify multiple significant events in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the GOVERNMENT. However, a singular problem could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. An **Unsatisfactory** rating should be supported by referencing the management tools

	used to notify the contractor of the contractual deficiencies (e.g., Management, Quality, Safety or Environmental Deficiency Reports, or letters).

8. DOCUMENTING PERFORMANCE

a. The VA shall document positive and/or negative performance. Any report may become a part of the supporting documentation for any contractual action and preparing annual past performance using CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORTING SYSTEM (CPARS).

b. If contractor performance does not meet the Acceptable Quality level, the CO shall inform the contractor. This shall normally be in writing unless circumstances necessitate verbal communication. In any case the CO shall document the discussion and place it in the contract file. When the COR and the CO determines formal written communication is required, the COR shall prepare a Contract Discrepancy Report (CDR), and present it to CO. The CO shall in turn review and shall present to the contractor's program manager for corrective action.

The contractor shall acknowledge receipt of the CDR in writing. The CDR shall specify if the contractor is required to prepare a corrective action plan to document how the contractor shall correct the unacceptable performance and avoid a recurrence. The CDR shall also state how long after receipt the contractor has to present this corrective action plan to the CO. The VA shall review the contractor's corrective action plan to determine acceptability. The CO shall also assure that the contractor receives impartial, fair, and equitable treatment. The CO is ultimately responsible for the final determination of the adequacy of the contractor’s performance and the acceptability of the Contractor’s corrective action plan.

Any CDRs may become a part of the supporting documentation for any contractual action deemed necessary by the CO. See Sample on following page.

9. COR AND CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF QASP

SIGNED:

Carol Ryan, Program Specialist

COR NAME/TITLE DATE

SIGNED:

_____

CONTRACTOR NAME/TITLE DATE

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) - lONM

CONTRACT DISCREPANCY REPORT				
1. CONTRACT NUMBER			2. REPORT NUMBER FOR THIS DISCREPANCY	
3. TO: (Contracting Officer)			4. FROM: (Name of COR)	
5. DATES				
a. CDR PREPARED	b. RETURNED BY CONTRACTOR:		c. ACTION COMPLETE	
6. DISCREPANCY OR PROBLEM (Describe in detail. Include reference to PWS Directive; attach continuation sheet if necessary.)				
7. SIGNATURE OF COR				Date:
8. SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER				Date:
9a. TO (Contracting Officer)			9a. FROM (Contractor)	
10. CONTRACTOR RESPONSE AS TO CAUSE, CORRECTIVE ACTION AND ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE. (Cite applicable quality control program procedures or new procedures. Attach continuation sheet(s) if necessary.)				
11. SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE				Date:
12. GOVERNMENT EVALUATION. (Acceptance, partial acceptance, reflection. Attach continuation sheet(s) if necessary.)				
13. GOVERNMENT ACTIONS (Acceptance, partial acceptance, reflection. Attach continuation sheet(s) if necessary.)				
14. CLOSE OUT				
	NAME	TITLE	SIGNATURE	DATE

a. CONTRACTOR NOTIFIED				
b. COR				
c. CONTRACTING OFFICER				