AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE
J

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(if applicable)*
0001	23 Jun 2026		

6. ISSUED BY CODE FA8125

FA8125 AFSC PZIMA
3001 STAFF DR STE 2S76, CP 405 734 9107
TINKER AFB, OK 73145-3303
UNITED STATES
Jacqueline Henn, Email: jacqueline.henn@us.af.mil
Jason Shirazi, Email: jason.shirazi@us.af.mil Telephone: 405-739-5194

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(if other than Item 6)* CODE

SCD: PAS:

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE: FACILITY CODE:

☒ 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER
FA812526Q0059

9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)*
12 May 2026

☐ 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER

10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended. ☐ is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning _1_ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;
or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(if required)*
See Section G - Contract Administration Data

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	
☐	A.THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return ___ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION *(Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*
See Schedule

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
		Jason Shirazi	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		Jason Shirazi (Signature of Contracting Officer)	23 Jun 2026

SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Block 14 (Description of Amendment/Modification): The purpose of this amendment is to update the Statement of Work (SOW) and Technical Evaluation clause, 52.212-2, to remove the requirement of ISO 17025 certification and to extend the proposal due date for this solicitation.

FIRST: The revised SOW, named MROTC Surveillance Upgrade_Statement of Work_Rev-01, has been updated to reflect the removal of ISO 17025 certification.

SECOND: The clause 52.212-2- Evaluation- Commercial Products and Commercial Service has been updated to reflect the removal of ISO 17025 certification.

THIRD: The proposal due date is hereby extended as follows:
FROM: 27 May 2026, 12:00PM CST
TO: 30 June 2026, 12:00PM CST

FOURTH: All other terms and conditions remain unchanged and in full effect.

Solicitation/Contract Form

The following modifications were made:

Information	From	To
Response Due Date	27 May 2026	30 Jun 2026
Solicitation Number	FA812526Q0059	FA812526Q00590001

List of Attachments

The following Header Attachment(s) were added:

Information	Value
Attachment Description	Statement of Work
Attachment Name	MROTC Surveillance Upgrade_Statement of Work_ REV-01
Date	22 Jun 2026
Number	03

Line Item 0001

The following Line Item Attachment(s) were deleted:
Line Item 0001 A25-097E MROTC Surveillance Upgrade - Statement of Work R3_Redacted

Line Item 0002

The following Line Item Attachment(s) were deleted:
Line Item 0002 A25-097E MROTC Surveillance Upgrade - Statement of Work R3_Redacted

Line Item 0003

The following Line Item Attachment(s) were deleted:
Line Item 0003 A25-097E MROTC Surveillance Upgrade - Statement of Work R3_Redacted

Evaluation Factors for Award

Header Miscellaneous Text (Modified):

52.212-2 EVALUATION--COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)

(a) The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the Government; price and other factors considered. The following factors shall be used to evaluate offers:

1. Basis for Contract Award:

The acquisition will be conducted and evaluated under the provisions of FAR Part 12, Commercial Items. The evaluation procedures established herein are within the Contracting Officer's broad discretion as applied under FAR Part 12. This acquisition will utilize Technically Acceptable and price as evaluation factors. Technical tradeoffs will not be made, and no additional credit will be given for exceeding acceptability. Award will be made to the acceptable vendor who is technically acceptable with the lowest total evaluated price and whose proposal conforms to the solicitation requirements. The solicitation requirements include all stated terms, conditions, representations, certifications, and all other information required by FAR 52.212-1 of this solicitation. The Government reserves the right to award without interchanges. However, the Government reserves the right to conduct interchanges if determined necessary by the Contracting Officer.

The responsible vendor(s) must be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) database (available at: https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/) and submit a completed copy of the Offeror Representations and Certifications - Commercial Items with their response. Lack of registration in SAM will disqualify the vendor and declare them ineligible for award.

The Oklahoma City Air Logistics Center (AFSC/PZIMA) at Tinker Air Force Base Oklahoma intends to issue a commercial firm fixed price contract for this acquisition.

2. Number of Contracts to be Awarded:

The Government intends to select one vendor for contract award. However, the Government reserves the right to award no contract at all; depending on the quality of the proposals, prices submitted and the availability of funds, or other factors identified by the Contracting Officer.

3. Rejection of Unreasonable Offers:

The Government may reject any proposal that is evaluated to be unrealistic in terms of program commitments, including contract terms and conditions, or unrealistically high or low in cost/price when compared to Government estimates; such that the proposal is deemed to reflect an inherent lack of competence or failure to comprehend the complexity and risks of the program, item, or service.

4. Correction Potential of Proposals:

The Government will consider, throughout the evaluation, the "correction potential" of any proposal uncertainty. The judgment of such "correction potential" is within the sole discretion of the Government. If an aspect of a vendor's proposal not meeting the Governments requirements is not considered correctable, the vendor may be eliminated.

5. Evaluation Factors

Factor 1--Technical Proposal
Factor 2--Cost/Price

a. Evaluation Methodology:

The Government will evaluate all technical factors concurrently for the three (3) lowest priced proposals. Should one of those three (3) proposals not be found technically acceptable, the next one (1) lowest priced offeror will be reviewed for technical acceptance. Then, price will be evaluated and the lowest evaluated priced proposal will be selected, either initially or as a result of clarifications.

Award will be made to the lowest evaluated priced proposal meeting the acceptability standards for the non-cost factors.

b. Technical Factor:

Acceptable - The proposal meets specified minimum technical evaluation requirements necessary for acceptable contract performance.

Unacceptable - The proposal fails to meet specified minimum technical evaluation requirements necessary for contract performance. Proposals with an unacceptable rating are not awardable.

Technical acceptability will be based on an evaluation of proposals against the criteria stated below and other technical specifications in the Item Description. Offerors are required to present all the information as stated in the Instructions to Offerors, FAR 52.212-1. The minimum technical evaluation requirement is met when the Offeror's proposal thoroughly substantiates all the following essential criteria:

"CONTRACTOR MUST PROVIDE A WRITTEN STATEMENT TO EXPLAIN HOW THEY ARE MEETING THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA LISTED BELOW. A STATEMENT OF "I CONCUR" OR "CONCUR" WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED AND COULD DEEM YOUR PROPOSAL TECHNICALLY UNACCEPTABLE. THE WRITTEN STATEMENT IS ESSENTIAL FOR YOUR QUOTE TO BE RESPONSIVE AND BE REFERRED FOR TECHNICAL REVIEW."

The purchase and installation of **Brand Name** Items listed below:

- Installation of a new Avigilon NVR server in Building 3001G
- Installation of cameras in MROTC buildings 2300, 2301, and 2303

- The required specifications for the Surveillance upgrade are listed below:
- One (1) 96 tera byte, 2U Rack mount; 5 yr onsite tech support, 4 Hardware Managed Consoles (HMC), UNITY8-ENT - Unity Enterprise camera channel software Avigilon Unity Video software
- Six (6) Unity Enterprise camera channel ENT
- Five (5) 32C-H5A-4MH - 4X8MP; WDR; 360 degrees max FOVP; Light catcher; 3.3-5.7MM; camera only
- Five (5) H5AMH-AD-PEND1 - Outdoor pendant mount adaptor; for use with Avigilon H5A multi-sensor.
- Five (5) H5AMH-DO-COVR1 - Dome bubble and cover; for outdoor surface mount or pendant mount; clear; for use with the Avigilon H5A multi-sensor
- Five (5) WLMT-1001 - Wall mount for large pendant camera
- Five (5) PLMT-1001 - Pole mount for large PENDT WLMT-1001
- Five (5) POE60U-1BTE - Gigabit 802.3bt 60W PoE injector; indoor single port
- Five (5) H4AMH-AD-IRIL1 - Optional IR illuminator ring; up to 30m (100ft); for use with H4AMH-DO-COVR1
- Five (5) Outdoor rated POE switch large temperature range
- Five (5) Power supply for media converter
- One (1) 24C-H5A-3MH - 3X8MP; WDR; 270 degrees max FOV; Light catcher; 3.3-5.7MM; camera only
- One (1) H5AMH-AD-PEND1 -Outdoor pendant mount adapter; for use with the Avigilon H5A multisensory
- One (1) H5AMH-DO-COVR1 - Dome bubble and cover; for Outdoor surface mount or pendant mount; clear; for use with the Avigilon H5 multisensory.
- One (1) WLMT-1001 - Wall mount for large pendant camera
- One (1) POE60U-1BTE - Gigabit 802.3bt 60 W PoE Injector. indoor single port
- One (1) CRNMT-1001 - Corner mount for Large PENDT WLMT-1001
- One (1) H4AMH-AD-IRIL1 - Optional IR illuminator ring; up to 30m (100ft) ; for use with H4AMH-DO-COVR1.
- One (1) Ethernet PoE switch - 16 ports Update 19 based recommendation from contractor.
- One (1) H4AMH-AD-IRIL1 - Optional IR illuminator ring; up to 30m (100ft) ; for use with H4AMH-DO-COVR1.
- One (1) Ethernet PoE switch - 16 ports Update 19 based recommendation from contractor
- One (1) 12 port fiber aggregate switch ES-12F Update 20 based recommendation from contractor

- The contractor shall meet the following requirements for equipment calibration:
a. Affix a calibration sticker to the MROTC Surveillance Upgrade equipment upon completion of service

- The Contractor shall provide Delivery, Offloading, Installation, Performance Verification, Calibration.

- The Contractor shall make all necessary adjustments to the MROTC Surveillance Upgrade until the item is fully operational IAW the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) specifications and what is stated in this SOW.

- The contractor shall provide a standard 1 year warranty.

c. Cost/Price Factor:

Cost/Price Evaluation: The vendor's cost/price proposal will be evaluated for award purposes by adding the total price for basic requirements (basic award).

Total Evaluated Price: Price analysis will be performed to determine the reasonableness of the vendor's price proposal. Reasonableness will be based on the total evaluated price. The total evaluated price will be calculated as the sum of the Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs) unit/extended prices plus other associated factors such as: first article testing costs, transportation costs, price evaluation adjustments for Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns, and application of labor hours to labor hour rates, etc.

Unreasonably Low Costs or Prices: Unreasonably low proposed costs or prices, initially or subsequently, may be grounds for eliminating a proposal from competition either on the basis that the offeror does not understand the requirement or the offeror has made an unrealistic proposal.

Unbalanced Pricing: Vendors are cautioned against submitting an offer that contains unbalanced pricing. Unbalanced pricing may increase performance risk and could result in payment of unreasonably high prices. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly over or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. The Government shall analyze offers to determine whether they are unbalanced with respect to separately priced line items or subline items. Offers that are determined to be unbalanced may be rejected if the contracting officer determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.

6. Determination of Contractor Responsibility

To be eligible for award, a vendor must be determined responsible. A Determination of Contractor Responsibility will be conducted in accordance with FAR 9.1 (SAM and

FAPIIS). A vendor who receives a determination of non-responsibility will not be eligible to receive the contract award.

7. Interchanges:

In accordance with FAR 1.102-2(c)(3) the Government shall exercise discretion, use sound business judgement, and comply with applicable laws and regulations during the course of this acquisition. It is the Government's intent to award without interchanges; therefore, it is imperative that vendors submit their best terms initially. However, if during the evaluation period; it is determined to be in the best interest of the Government to hold interchanges, the Government may conduct interchanges with one, some, or all vendors before issuing any purchase order.

Interchanges are information sharing between the Government and vendors after receipt of quotations which can be conducted to address any aspect of the quotation. Interchanges may be oral or written. Written interchanges take the form of Interchange Notices (IN)s. INs are used to accurately capture contemporaneous sharing of information. If interchanges are conducted, the Government may request final quotation revisions at the conclusion of interchanges.

8. SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Vendors are required to meet all solicitation requirements, such as terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements, in addition to those identified as evaluation factors or sub-factors, and all technical specifications of the item description, performance work statement or statement of work. Failure to meet a requirement may result in an offer being ineligible for award. Vendors must clearly identify any exception to the solicitation terms and conditions and provide complete accompanying rationale. In the case that a discrepancy exist between the Instruction to Offerors (ITO) and the evaluation factors for award, the evaluation criteria in 52.212-2 will take precedence.

(End of provision)