AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. Contract ID Code	Page 1 Of 8
Firm Fixed Price	

2. Amendment/Modification No.	3. Effective Date	4. Requisition/Purchase Req No.	5. Project No. (If applicable)
0001		SEE SCHEDULE	

6. Issued By Code W519TC

ARMY CONTRACTING COMMAND - RI
CAITLYN L. SMITH
ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-8000

EMAIL: CAITLYN.L.SMITH3.CIV@ARMY.MIL

7. Administered By (If other than Item 6) Code

8. Name And Address Of Contractor (No., Street, City, County, State and Zip Code)

Code | Facility Code

[X] **9A. Amendment Of Solicitation No.**
W519TC-26-R-0023

9B. Dated (See Item 11)
2026MAY27

[ ] **10A. Modification Of Contract/Order No.**

10B. Dated (See Item 13)

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[X] **The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers**
[ ] **is extended,** [X] **is not extended.**

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 2 signed **copies of the amendments: (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.**

12. Accounting And Appropriation Data (If required)

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS
It Modifies The Contract/Order No. As Described In Item 14.

[ ] **A. This Change Order is Issued Pursuant To: The Changes Set Forth In Item 14 Are Made In The Contract/Order No. In Item 10A.**

[ ] **B. The Above Numbered Contract/Order Is Modified To Reflect The Administrative Changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) Set Forth In Item 14, Pursuant To The Authority of FAR 43.103(b).**

[ ] **C. This Supplemental Agreement Is Entered Into Pursuant To Authority Of:**

[ ] **D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)**

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] **is not,** [ ] **is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the Issuing Office.**

14. Description Of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE SECOND PAGE FOR DESCRIPTION

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. Name And Title Of Signer (Type or print)		16A. Name And Title Of Contracting Officer (Type or print)	
15B. Contractor/Offeror _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. Date Signed	16B. United States Of America By _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. Date Signed

SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Buyer Name: CAITLYN L. SMITH
Buyer Office Symbol/Telephone Number: CCRI-MIP-J/(309)782-0000
Type of Contract 1: Firm Fixed Price
Kind of Contract: Supply Contracts and Priced Orders

*** End of Narrative A0000 ***

This Amendment 0001 updates language as depicted below:

1. Delete Section L.1.4. in its entirety and replace with the following:

Proposal must be submitted electronically via DoD SAFE to the email addresses listed below by the closing date and time listed in this solicitation.

Ms. Emily Kundel, emily.a.kundel.civ@army.mil
Ms. Aly Bigham, alyson.m.bigham.civ@army.mil
Ms. Caitlyn Smith, caitlyn.l.smith3.civ@army.mil

2. Delete Section L.2.3. in its entirety and replace with the following:

Proposals shall be submitted electronically to the PCO and Contract Specialists. Due to file size restrictions, proposals must be submitted through DoD SAFE. Other drop box services are not acceptable for proposal submission. Proposals submitted by mail or hand-carried will not be evaluated.

a. Offerors are responsible for requesting a DoD SAFE link from the Contract Specialists.

b. Offerors are responsible for confirming with the Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO) and/or Contract Specialists that the proposal submitted has, in fact, been received by the PCO and/or Contract Specialists. This must be done by the date/time set forth in this solicitation for receipt of proposals.

3. Delete Section L.4. in its entirety.

4. Delete Section M.4. in its entirety.

5. All other terms and conditions of solicitation W519TC-26-R-0023 remain unchanged.

*** END OF NARRATIVE A0002 ***

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

L.1. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

L.1.1. These instructions provide guidance for preparing proposals in response to Solicitation W519TC-26-R-0023. The U.S. Government intends to award one Firm Fixed Price (FFP) contract to the responsible Offeror whose proposal is compliant with the terms and conditions of the solicitation and determined to be the best value to the U.S. Government based on the tradeoff source selection in accordance with FAR 15.103-1 with specific instructions laid out in Sections L and M of this solicitation.

L.1.2. The U.S. Government intends to award without discussions, therefore, each initial offer should contain the Offeror's best terms for award of a contract under this solicitation. However, the U.S. Government reserves the right to open discussions. In the event the U.S. Government determines discussions are necessary, offerors will be asked to respond to all Evaluation Notices (ENs). Responses to ENs will be in narrative form. Once all ENs have been answered, the PCO will close discussions and request Final Proposal Revisions (FPRs). If FPRs are requested by the PCO, offerors may revise any portion of the proposal if they choose and include them in the FPR. Proposals shall be specific, complete and address each of the designated factors listed below. Failure to provide the required information and/or documentation in response to these instructions may result in the proposal being rejected.

L.1.3. Each offeror shall provide the information as required by this solicitation (including attachments and exhibits) in the proper format. Proposals must contain all information as required by the solicitation and should be put in the format as described herein. Proposals that do not contain all of the information requested in this solicitation risk being determined unacceptable by the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government will not assume the offeror possesses any capability, understanding, or commitment not specified in the proposal.

L.1.4. Proposal must be submitted electronically via DoD SAFE to the email addresses listed below by the closing date and time listed in this solicitation.

Ms. Emily Kundel, emily.a.kundel.civ@army.mil
Ms. Aly Bigham, alyson.m.bigham.civ@army.mil
Ms. Caitlyn Smith, caitlyn.l.smith3.civ@army.mil
L.1.5. Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Proposal Evaluation

L.1.5.1. Use of AI tools in Support of Evaluations: The Government may employ AI as a tool to assist in the analysis and review of offeror proposals. AI tools may be used to support tasks such as summarizing proposal content, identifying compliance with request for task order proposal / solicitation requirements, and highlighting areas of potential strength, weakness, or risk.

L.1.5.2. Maintaining Inherently Governmental Functions: Notwithstanding the use of AI tools to support the evaluation process, all final evaluation judgements, including the assignment or assessment of adjectival ratings, best value determinations, and source selection decisions, will be made exclusively by duly appointed Government personnel. The Government retains sole responsibility for all inherently governmental functions and will not delegate decision making authority to any AI tool.

L.1.5.3. Offeror Confidentiality and Data Protection: The AI tools utilized by the Government will be employed in a manner consistent with applicable regulations that govern the protection of proprietary and source selection sensitive information. The AI tool will be an output-only system. Any generated report data is not saved. No proposal data will be transmitted to or processed by external, non-Government systems without appropriate safeguards and authorizations in place.

L.1.6. This acquisition is limited to the qualified sources: a qualification package is required for new sources. See FAR Clause 52.209-1 for qualification requirements. Proposals from non-qualified sources will not be considered if a qualification package is not submitted and accepted by the U.S. Government prior to award, contract award will not be delayed for qualification.

L.2. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

L.2.1. Each factor shall be as brief as possible. Pages shall not exceed 8-1/2 inches in width by 11 inches in length; flow diagrams and tables may exceed 8-1/2 inches in width by 11 inches in length. The font used shall not be less than 12 point. (Font smaller than 12 point is ONLY acceptable in graphics, sketches, tables, charts, price matrix, etc. but must be at least 8 point).

L.2.2. Each proposal shall be submitted in the English language in separate factors as set forth below:

Factor	Description	Naming Convention	Required Document Type
1 Technical	Sub-factor 1: Qualification Acceptance Package	C03 Offeror-Name_Technical_1_Qualification	.pdf
1 Technical	Sub-factor 2: Proposed Schedule	C03 Offeror-Name_Technical_2_Schedule	.pdf

2 Past Performance	Previous Production and Delivery Schedule	C03	Offeror-Name_Past-Performance_Schedule	.pdf
2 Past Performance	Adverse Past Performance	C03	Offeror-Name_Past-Performance_Adverse	.pdf
3 Price	Price Matrix	C03	Offeror-Name_Price-Matrix	.xls
Acknowledgements and Signatures	Signed Solicitation, Acknowledgement of Amendments	C03	Offeror-Name_Acknowledgements-Signatures	.pdf
Property Management Plan	Property Management Plan	C03	Offeror-Name_Property Management Plan	.pdf

L.2.3. Proposals shall be submitted electronically to the PCO and Contract Specialists. Due to file size restrictions, proposals must be submitted through DoD SAFE. Other drop box services are not acceptable for proposal submission. Proposals submitted by mail or hand-carried will not be evaluated.

a. Offerors are responsible for requesting a DoD SAFE link from the Contract Specialists.

b. Offerors are responsible for confirming with the Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO) and/or Contract Specialists that the proposal submitted has, in fact, been received by the PCO and/or Contract Specialists. This must be done by the date/time set forth in this solicitation for receipt of proposals.

L.2.4. All questions concerning this procurement, either technical or contractual, must be submitted in writing to the PCO and/or Contract Specialist. No direct discussion between any technical representative and the prospective offeror will be conducted. Questions shall be sent to the following points of contact:

Email: emily.a.kundel.civ@army.mil, PCO
Email: alyson.m.bigham.civ@army.mil, Contract Specialist
Email: caitlyn.l.smith3.civ@army.mil, Contract Specialist

L.2.5. If an offeror believes that the requirements in these instructions contain an error, omission, or are otherwise unsound, the offeror shall immediately notify the points of contact in Section L.2.4 with supporting rationale.

L.3. EVALUATION CRITERIA:

L.3.1. Factor 1: Technical

L.3.1.1. Sub-factor 1: Qualification Acceptance Package: The offeror must be a qualified vendor prior to contract award in order to be considered. See Section L.1.6 above and the source qualification requirements referenced in Section J of this solicitation.

a. The offeror shall provide the qualification acceptance letter, signed by a United States Government Engineer, or equivalent.

L.3.1.2. Sub-factor 2: Proposed Schedule: The offeror must submit a project schedule that demonstrates a clear understanding of the project scope requirements and a reasonable approach to contract execution. The schedule should include the following:

a. Work Breakdown Schedule (WBS): a WBS shall be provided that breaks down the contract into manageable work packages. The WBS should sufficiently provide insight into the major phases and key activities of the contract and should clearly identify the major deliverables and project deadlines.

b. Milestones: the proposed schedule should demonstrate clearly defined milestones with specific completion criteria for the major phases, key deliverables, and logical progression of work.

c. Task Durations: Realistic durations should be assigned to the identified tasks and work packages. Offeror should provide a basis for the estimated durations, such as historical data, industry best practices, or expert judgement with a general rational for the overall project timeline.

L.3.2. Factor 2: Past Performance Information

L.3.2.1. Previous Production and Delivery Schedule The offeror shall provide a current delivery schedule for TY61 or similar item within the same family. This delivery schedule is being submitted for informational purposes only. The offeror shall ensure that the proposed delivery schedule in L.2.2. aligns with the current delivery schedule's obligations and timelines submitted under this paragraph. If the offeror does not have a current delivery schedule for TY61 or similar item within the same family, it shall state as such in its proposal.

L.3.2.2. Adverse Past Performance: Offerors shall identify every recent and relevant contract it was awarded that encountered any performance problems related to the production and delivery of the DODIC(s) listed in this solicitation. This includes every \parrecent contract that was terminated for default or cause, in whole or in part within the past three years of issuance of this solicitation. For any contract falling under these descriptions, please provide the following information:

i. Contract number and Order number, if applicable
ii. Point of contact to include email addresses and telephone number
iii. Provide a copy of any Contract Deficiency Report (CDR) or Quality Deficiency Report (QDR)
iv. State any corrective actions taken to avoid recurrence
v. Describe the extent to which the corrective action has been successful, identifying points of contact who can confirm the success of the corrective measures
vi. Federal Legal Settlements or Fines. The offeror shall identify any Department of War (DoW), formerly Department of Defense (DoD), Federal, or state settlements resulting from a legal action or legal decision (example: OSHA, EPA, State or other)
vii. Cage Code/DUNS
viii. U.S. Government Contracting Activity, address, telephone number
ix. Contracting Officer's name and telephone number
x. Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) name and telephone number
xi. Contract type (i.e. FFP, CPFF, etc.)
xii. Award Price
xiii. Final or projected final price
xiv. Major/Key Subcontractors who performed >25% of the award

L.3.2. Factor 3: Price

L.3.2.1. The offeror must complete the Price Matrix (Attachment 0001) in order to be considered for award. Offeror shall not add any other information to the Price Matrix nor shall any offeror make any changes to the Price Matrix. All information to be filled in by the offeror is highlighted in blue.

a. The U.S. Government reserves the right to require submission of certified cost or pricing data, or other than certified cost or pricing data from the offeror that is adequate to determine the reasonableness of an offer (see FAR 15.403-3).

b. The offeror shall propose a firm fixed unit price for the specified quantity for CLIN 0001 in this solicitation on the Price Matrix. Unit prices shall be limited to two decimal places.

L.3.3. Acknowledgements and Signatures

L.3.3.1. Offeror shall submit one completed signed electronic copy of the complete solicitation, SF33, continuation sheets (Section A through Section M), all applicable fill-ins and acknowledgement of all amendments, if applicable.

*** END OF NARRATIVE L0001 ***

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

M.1. BASIS FOR AWARD

M.1.1. The U.S. Government will evaluate proposals submitted in accordance with this section and will make a determination considering the following factors: Technical, Past Performance and Price. A Trade Off Source Selection in accordance with FAR 15.103-1 will be utilized to select the successful offeror. Award will be made to the offeror that provides the best overall value to the U.S. Government considering the evaluation criteria stated herein. If an offeror is rated as unacceptable in any factor, its proposal will not be considered for award. The U.S. Government anticipates awarding one FFP contract as a result of this solicitation.

M.1.2. The U.S. Government will determine if an offeror is a qualified source based upon the qualified vendor list, along with the submission of the qualification acceptance package, as described below in Section M.3.1.a.

M.1.3. The U.S. Government intends to award the resultant contract without discussions. The PCO may contact offerors for clarifications, as described in Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO) FAR 15.202 and FAR 52.215-1, without opening discussions. However, the U.S. Government reserves the right to conduct discussions and to permit offerors to revise its proposal if determined necessary by the PCO.

a. If the PCO determines discussions are necessary, they will be held in accordance with RFO FAR 15.202.

M.1.4. Selection of the successful offeror will be made following an assessment of each proposal against the solicitation requirements and cited evaluation criteria. The criteria will be used to evaluate and assess the information provided by the offeror in response to the information required by Section M of the solicitation.

M.1.5. Number of Contracts Awarded
The Government intends to award one FFP contract. However, the Government reserves the right to award no contract at all, depending on the quality of the proposals, prices submitted, and the availability of funds.

M.1.6. Correction Potential of Proposals
The Government will consider, throughout the evaluation, the "correction potential" of any proposal uncertainty. The judgment of such "correction potential" is within the sole discretion of the Government. If an aspect of an Offeror's proposal does not meet the Government's requirements and is not considered correctable, the Offeror may be eliminated from the award.

M.1.7. Discussions
If it is determined to be in the best interest of the Government to hold discussions, Offeror responses to Evaluation Notices (ENs) and the Final Proposal Revision (FPR) will be considered in making the source selection decision.

M.2. EVALUATION FACTORS AND RELATIVE IMPORTANCE

M.2.1. Evaluation Factors
The following factors will be used to evaluate proposals:

Factor 1: Technical
Sub-factor 1: Qualification Acceptance Package
Sub-factor 2: Proposed Schedule
Factor 2: Past Performance
Factor 3: Price

M.2.2. Relative Order of Importance of Factors
In accordance with RFO FAR 15.104, all evaluation factors other than Price, when combined, are significantly more important than Price.

M.3. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

M.3.1. Factor 1: Technical

Technical Rating: The technical evaluation will rate the quality of the Offeror's technical proposal to meet the U.S. Government's requirement. Sub-factor 1 will receive an acceptable or unacceptable rating. Technical sub-factor 2 will receive one of the color/adjectival ratings described in the DoD Source Selection Procedures, Table 2A Technical Rating Method, as shown below. The technical ratings focus on the strengths and deficiencies of the Offeror's proposal. The color/adjectival rating depicts how well the Offeror's proposal meets the Technical sub-factor requirements. Subfactor ratings shall not be combined into an overall color/adjectival rating for the Technical factor.

a. Sub-factor 1: Qualification Acceptance Package (Acceptable / Unacceptable)

This sub-factor will be evaluated on an Acceptable/Unacceptable basis. The Offeror must provide a valid qualification acceptance letter

signed by a U.S. Government Engineer or equivalent.

Rating	Description
Acceptable	Proposal meets the requirements of the solicitation.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet the requirements of the solicitation.

**Note: An "Unacceptable" rating renders the proposal ineligible for award.

b. Sub-factor 2: Proposed Schedule (Adjectival/Color and Risk Rating)
This sub-factor will be evaluated to the degree which the schedule demonstrates a clear understanding of project scope, a reasonable approach to execution, and completeness. The evaluation will result in an adjectival/color rating and a separate technical risk rating.

The submission of the proposed schedule must be submitted in Adobe PDF (.pdf).

a. The proposed schedule must include a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) which will be evaluated to determine if the project is broken into manageable work packages, provides insight into major phases and key activities and if major deliverables and project deadlines are identified and reasonable.

b. The proposed schedule must clearly identify milestones which will be evaluated to determine if major phases, key deliverables and logical progression of work are realistic

c. The proposed schedule must depict realistic durations for each of the identified tasks and work packages and provide logical timelines with historical data, industry best practices, or expert judgement for the overall project timeline.

Adjectival/Color Rating:

Color	Rating	Description
Blue	Outstanding	Proposal indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements and contains multiple strengths.
Purple	Good	Proposal indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements and contains at least one strength.
Green	Acceptable	Proposal indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements.
Yellow	Marginal	Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements.
Red	Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet the requirements of the solicitation and, thus, contains one or more deficiencies and is unawardable.

Technical Risk Rating: Assessment of technical risk considers the risk associated with the proposed schedule, manifested by the identification of weaknesses.

Rating	Description Technical Risk
Low	Proposal may contain weakness(es) which have little potential to cause disruption of schedule or degradation of performance. Normal contractor effort and normal Government monitoring will likely be able to overcome any difficulties.
Moderate	Proposal contains a significant weakness or combination of weaknesses which may potentially cause disruption of schedule or degradation of performance. Special contractor emphasis and close Government monitoring will likely be able to overcome difficulties.
High	Proposal contains a significant weakness or combination of weaknesses which is likely to cause significant disruption of schedule or degradation of performance. Is unlikely to overcome any difficulties, even with special contractor emphasis and close Government monitoring.

Unacceptable	Proposal contains a material failure or a combination of significant weaknesses that increases the risk of unsuccessful performance to an unacceptable level.

M.3.2. Factor 2: Past Performance
M.3.2.1. The U.S. Government will not evaluate the current delivery schedule submitted against L.3.2.1. Rather, the U.S. Government will utilize the current delivery schedule to evaluate how feasible and realistic the proposed delivery schedule submitted in L.2.2 is when compared to obligations and timelines identified in the current delivery schedule. The contractor will not be held liable, evaluated negatively or positively, in any way, for the status or merits of their current delivery schedule. Rather, it will be used only as a baseline to ensure the proposed delivery schedule if feasible and realistic.

If the offeror does not have a current delivery schedule for TY61, an evaluation of the feasibility and realistic nature of the proposed delivery schedule compared to current obligations and timelines will not be conducted.

The PCO will crosswalk the current delivery schedule submitted for informational purposes only in Volume 1 - Technical against the delivery schedule evaluated under Volume 2 - Past Performance to ensure consistency across the Volume.

Rating	Description
Relevant	Present/Past Performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities of this solicitation.
Not Relevant	Present/Past Performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities of this solicitation.

**Recent is defined as an effort performed within the last three years.

M.3.3. Factor 3: Price
Only qualified Offerors who are deemed technically acceptable will have their price proposal evaluated. The price proposal will be evaluated for Reasonableness and Total Evaluated Price (TEP).

Reasonableness: The proposal will be evaluated using one or more of the techniques in FAR 15.404 to determine if it represents a price that a prudent person would pay in the conduct of competitive business. Reasonableness is established through adequate price competition, as described in FAR 15.404-1(b)(2)(i) or through price and cost analysis.

Total Evaluated Price (TEP): The U.S. Government will use the total price for all Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs) to determine the TEP for evaluation purposes.

M.3.4. Acknowledgements and Signatures
The U.S. Government will verify that the contractor has submitted a signed solicitation (SF33) and acknowledged all amendments by someone who
is authorized to sign on behalf of the company. Failure to do so may result in the proposal being rejected as non-compliant.

*** END OF NARRATIVE M0001 ***