W58RGZ-26-R-0008

Attachment 0029 Government Response to Industry Questions (Formal RFP)

(AFM-East and AFM-West)

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government Response
1	Section L			L.3.2 & L.3.3	Portions of L.3.2 Factor 2: Past Performance and L.3.3 Factor 3: Cost requirements are missing. Past	Can the Government please provide an updated copy of Section L to remove the repeated sections and include the missing sections?	Correct version of Section L Attachment 0019 was uploaded via SAM.gov on 27 May 2026; in addition provided with RFP amendment 0001
2	Section M			M.4.1	Under Factor 1: Technical, Section M.4.1 states, "The Technical factor will be assigned an adjectival rating which includes the assessment of risk. " However, the adjectival ratings are not included (NOTE - in the draft RFP, there was a redacted table listing the ratings).	Will the Government please provide a table listing and defining the adjectival ratings?	No, see updated Section M Attachment 0020 as incorporated via RFP amendment
3	Section M			M.4.2	Under Factor 2: Past Performance on page 6, Section	Will the Government please provide the relevancy criteria and the	No, see updated Section M Attachment 0020 as incorporated via RFP amendment
4	Section C	24-25	3.2.1.30	Attachment 0008, Section 3	The current PWS and Attachment 0008 include	Does the Government intend to revise the PWS and supporting	No
5	Section L			L.2.1	Entry Gate Criteria. In the FFRP the Entry Gate Criteria was changed based on an industry question #93 and consideration to create a level playing field to promote competition.	Given the significant differences between Army and non Army aviation maintenance systems (GCSS-A vs NAVAIR/OOMA/AFTO, TAMMS vs Navy/USAF maintenance records, Army Maintenance Standards , (AR 750-1,/AR95-1/ATP 3.04.7), would the Government consider refining the experience criteria to specify U.S. Army aircraft RW maintenance experience to better align with the contract's mission needs and AMCOM/AFMD's mission needs?	No
6	Section L			L.2.1	Entry Gate Criteria. In the FFRP the Entry Gate Criteria was changed based on an industry question #93 and consideration to create a level playing field to promote competition.	Given that the requirement is for Army rotary-wing field maintenance, would the Government consider revising the corporate experience entry-gate to specifically require Army RW maintenance experience or DOW RW maintenance experience, rather than allowing broader DoW or USG aviation experience that may not reflect Army unique platforms, technical data, sustainment processes or operating conditions?	No
7	Section L			Pricing Instructions	Nationality Mix	Section L requires offerors to propose fixed T&M FBLRs by labor category, location, and CLIN. Attachment 0009 states that employment of indigenous personnel and TCNs is subject to PCO/COR approval and that TCN/indigenous labor rates will be negotiated with the PCO. For purposes of the T&M FBLRs in	Refer to Section L.3.3.4.5(2) and Attachments 0017 and 0018 Cost Price Templates for instructions on how to propose T&M CLINs in addition to outlined labor categories and/or locations.
8	Section L			Pricing Instructions	Nationality Mix	Section L requires offerors to propose fixed T&M FBLRs by labor	See Response to Question 7
9	Section L			L.3.2.3-L.3.2.7	Based on the draft RFF, L.3.2.5 Past Performance Questionnaire is outside the 25-page limits. However, Section L.3.2.3 Subcontracts, L.3.2.4 New Corporate Entities, L.3.2.6, Submissions, and L.3.2.7 Small Business Participation Past Performance are not part of the past performance narrative but seem to fall within the 25 pages.	Will the Government please consider also allowing responses to Sections L.3.2.3, L.3.2.4 , L.3.2.6, and L.3.2.7 to be outside the 25-page limits?	No, see updated Section M Attachment 0020 as incorporated via RFP amendment
10	Section M			Section M	Small Business Volume rating criteria: It states that we should reference 3.1.4.1.2 to obtain the rating criteria for the small business plan however that section is non-existent	Will the Government please populate Section M with the correct small business rating criteria.	No, see updated Section M Attachment 0020 as incorporated via RFP amendment
11	Section J		PWS 2.6.5-2.6.6	Att. 0017 Tabs 1, 5; East OCONUS locations and Q&A #12 received on 5-18-2026	OCONUS workweek assumptions	In response to Q&A #12, the government identified 1,912 for CONUS and OCONUS locations except for Kuwait (3,744) & Iraq (4,368) as the man year estimates. Please confirm this is for	Refer to Section L.3.3.4.5(2) T&M Rate Schedule Instructions, Para 3.
12	Section J		PWS 2.6.5-2.6.6	Att. 0018 Tabs 1, 5; West OCONUS locations and Q&A #13 received on 5-18-2026	OCONUS workweek assumptions	In response to Q&A #13, the government identified 1,912 for Full time Equivalent (FTE) man-year for all locations, except for contingency locations. Please confirm this is for evaluation purposes	Refer to Section L.3.3.4.5(2) T&M Rate Schedule Instructions, Para 3.
13	Section L			L.1.1.1 Electronic Submission / L.1.1.2 Alternate Submission Procedures / L.1.2.1 Table 1	The RFP requests proposals submitted via SAFE or email (if DOD SAFE is not operational), and it also states 2 digital copies in the content requirement table in paragraph L.1.2.1.	Does the Government require an additional set of 2 digital copies (DVDs/CDs) in addition to the electronic submission via DOD SAFE or email in paragraphs L.1.1.1 and L.1.1.2?	Sections L.1.1.1 and L.1.1.2 refers to submission instructions (method proposal submissions will be submitted to the KO) and L.1.2.1 outlines the "Content Requirement" for each proposal submission. Please ensure you adhere to all instructions outlined Attachment 0019.

14	Section L			L.1.1.1 Electronic Submission / L.1.1.2 Alternate Submission Procedures / L.1.2.1 Table 1	The RFP requests proposals submitted via SAFE or email (if DOD SAFE is not operational), and it also states 2 digital copies in the content requirement table in paragraph L.1.2.1.	Does the Government require an additional set of 2 digital copies (DVDs/CDs) in addition to the electronic submission via DOD SAFE or email in paragraphs L.1.1.1 and L.1.1.2?	Sections L.1.1.1 and L.1.1.2 refers to submission instructions (method proposal submissions will be submitted to the KO) and L.1.2.1 outlines the "Content Requirement" for each proposal submission. Please ensure you adhere to all instructions outlined Attachment 0019.
15	Section L	PWS Page 6-7, 17, and 36	PWS 1.5, 3.1.7, 4.5		L.3.1.4 Logistics and Government Furnished Property (GFP) Management	How will the Government provide validated baseline GFP inventories and joint inventory reconciliation support during Transition-In and Transition-Out activities at each site, including OCONUS/FMS locations?	Please refer to Section M.4.1 Attachment 0020 for evaluation approach
16	Section L	PWS Page 6-7, 17, and 36	PWS 1.5, 3.1.7, 4.5		L.3.1.4 Logistics and Government Furnished Property (GFP) Management	How will the Government provide validated baseline GFP inventories and joint inventory reconciliation support during Transition-In and Transition-Out activities at each site, including OCONUS/FMS locations?	See Response to Question 15
17	Section L	PWS Page 12 and 29	PWS 2.8.6, 4.1, and 4.1.4		L.3.1.7(a): Approach to meeting Security requirements within DD Form 254 across the region (CONUS and	Will the Government clarify which sites and mission sets require classified processing/storage capability under the DD254 versus	No
18	Section L	PWS Page 12 and 29	PWS 2.8.6, 4.1, and 4.1.4		L.3.1.7(a): Approach to meeting Security requirements within DD Form 254 across the region (CONUS and	Will the Government clarify which sites and mission sets require classified processing/storage capability under the DD254 versus	No
19	Section L	PWS Page 31, 32, 33, and 35	PWS 4.3, 4.3.1, 4.3.5, and 4.3.6		L.3.1.7(c): Approach to meeting Environmental Management requirements across the region (CONUS and OCONUS) per the PWS.	At locations where contractor operations generate hazardous waste or stormwater impacts, will environmental permits remain Government-held or require contractor ownership/management?	At Government-Owned, Contractor-Operated (GOCO) facilities, environmental permits follow a shared responsibility model: the Government retains overall accountability as property owner, while contractors are legally responsible for daily environmental compliance and liable for violations. The Government is the facility "Owner," and contractors are the "Operators," both signing hazardous waste permits as co-permittees. Contractors directly manage and dispose of their generated solid and hazardous waste. For stormwater and wastewater under CWA/NPDES, the Garrison Commander holds the primary permits, but contractors must either be co-permittees or obtain separate permits for their specific discharge activities.
20	Section L	PWS Page 31, 32, 33, and 35	PWS 4.3, 4.3.1, 4.3.5, and 4.3.6		L.3.1.7(c): Approach to meeting Environmental Management requirements across the region (CONUS and OCONUS) per the PWS.	At locations where contractor operations generate hazardous waste or stormwater impacts, will environmental permits remain Government-held or require contractor ownership/management?	See Response to Question 19
21	Section L	p. 13 and 14	L.3.2, L.1		Starting on page 14, Section L is repeated, starting with L.1 again, and intersecting the Past Performance volume instructions. Past Performance instructions start on page 13.	Will the Government update Section L to provide the past performance instructions in their entirety?	Correct version of Section L Attachment 0019 was uploaded via SAM.gov on 27 May 2026; in addition provided with RFP amendment 0001
22	Section L	p. 13 and 14	L.3.2, L.1		Starting on page 14, Section L is repeated, starting with L.1 again, and intersecting the Past Performance volume instructions. Past Performance instructions start on page 13.	Will the Government update Section L to provide the past performance instructions in their entirety?	See Response to Question 21
23	Section L	p. 21	L.3.2		Page 21 continues the past performance discussion from Section L.3.2 through L.3.2.1.1.9. However, page 22 relates to the Price volume instructions. No further Past Performance factor instructions appear in Section L.	Will the Government update Section L to provide complete Past Performance Factor instructions?	Correct version of Section L Attachment 0019 was uploaded via SAM.gov on 27 May 2026; in addition provided with RFP amendment 0001
24	Section L	p. 21	L.3.2		Page 21 continues the past performance discussion from Section L.3.2 through L.3.2.1.1.9. However, page 22 relates to the Price volume instructions. No further Past Performance factor instructions appear in Section L.	Will the Government update Section L to provide complete Past Performance Factor instructions?	See Response to Question 23
25	Section L	p. 22-25			Pages 22 and 23 are repeated on pages 24 and 25	Will the Government remove the repeated pages?	Correct version of Section L Attachment 0019 was uploaded via SAM.gov on 27 May 2026; in addition provided with RFP amendment 0001
26	Section L	p. 22-25			Pages 22 and 23 are repeated on pages 24 and 25	Will the Government remove the repeated pages?	See Response to Question 26
27	Section C	17	3.1.7	Attachment 0011 Government Furnished Property Listing AFM III East and West	The first page of Attachment 0011 titled "Government Furnished Property Listing For Aviation Field Maintenance (AFM) - West" - the following 5271	Will the Government provide an Excel listing for property by site in the AFM West AOR?	No
28	Section C	6	1.3	Attachment 0018 COST PRICE TEMPLATE -AFM WEST	Tab 2a. T&M Schedule _Prime CONUS.Option Period 1, Proposed Health and Welfare (H+W) lists sites in the AFM East AOR.	Will the Government correct this to reflect sites in the AFM West AOR?	No corrections are required; all applicable sites outlined in the spreadsheet are correct. At a minimum, all CBA locations are included, along with some locations for "potential" requirements to assist in estimating labor rates.
29	Section C	6	1.3	Attachment 0018 COST PRICE TEMPLATE -AFM WEST; Attachment 0004 Areas of Responsibility, AFM West.	Tab 1 (T&M Labor Hours) and throughout the CONUS Tabs, there are listings for Helena, MT. Attachment 0004 lists Billings, MT on pages 5 and 6.	Will the Government clarify which site location is correct?	The ARNG HQ is in Billings, MT but the work is done in Helena, MT. The CBA is for Helena, MT (same mission). Attachment 0004 updated to clarify.

30	Section C	6	1.3	Attachment 0004 AFM WEST AOR / Attachment 0018 COST PRICE TEMPLATE -AFM WEST	There is no listing for Non-Standard sites Houston and Tucson in Tab 1 (T&M Labor Hours) and throughout the CONUS Tabs of Attachment 0018. Attachment 0004 lists Houston (ARNG) and Tucson (LUH) as Non-	Will the Government add Houston and Tucson to Attachment 0018?	No. Neither site is a CBA location, therefore they would fall under the CONUS T&M rates. Only the CBA locations are broken out in their own TAB for differing rate agreements.
31	Section C	6	1.3	Attachment 0018 COST PRICE TEMPLATE -AFM WEST / Attachment 0004 AFM WEST AO	Tab 1 (T&M Labor Hours) and throughout the CONUS Tabs, there is a site listing for Santa Teresa but there is no listing for Santa Teresa in Attachment 0004, page 4, paragraph 1.7. Santa Teresa is listed on page 8 of Attachment 0004 as a CBA site (paragraph 6.11).	What is the Hub or Standard site that Santa Teresa falls under and what is the mission with 2 FTE?	These FTE are out of and tied to Ft. Bliss- LRC. The only reason there is a different pricing TAB is that the location is covered under a CBA (different one from the Ft. Bliss CBA). The mission for these two is light LUH maintenance activities. Attachment 0004 updated to reflect LUH mission.
32	Section L			Attachment 0019 - Section L Pages 14-20 and Page 18, Section L.1.2.3, Attachment 0020 - Section M Section M.4.3.1 Attachment 0029 - Government Answers to Industry Questions,Questions 30 and 40	Section L appears to include duplicate information on pages 14-20 as well as multiple missing sections related to Vol III – Past Performance and Vol IV – Cost/Price. A comparison between the DRFP and FRFP Section L shows that Sections L.3.2.2-L.3.3.4.1 are not included in the FRFP. Since Government's answers to Questions 30 and 40	Request the Government provide an updated Section L including complete instructions for Vol III - Past Performance and Vol IV - Cost/Price.	Correct version of Section L Attachment 0019 was uploaded via SAM.gov on 27 May 2026; in addition provided with RFP amendment 0001
33	Section L			Page 18	L.1.2.5 Use of Artificial Intelligence in (AI) tools in Proposal Evaluation	As the Government may employ AI Tools to assist in the review of proposals, what AI Tool will the Government use?	The Government may use various evaluation methods, including AI-assisted tools, at its discretion during any phase of the proposal evaluation.
34	Section M			Attachment 0020- Section M	M.4.2 Performance Confidence Assessment states "The final step is for the team to arrive at a single	Request the Government update Section M to include the referenced chart.	Rating charts will not be provided so sentence revised. Refer to RFP amendment for updated Attachment 0020.
35	Section L			Attachment 0028 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS (CBAs)- AFM III EAST AND WEST	Attachment 0028 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS (CBAs)- AFM III EAST AND WEST doesn't appear to contain all the documentation for Ft. Cavazos.	Can the government provide the CBA information pertaining to Ft. Cavazos?	Attachment 0028 updated to reflect CBA for Ft Cavazos
36	Section L			Attachment 0004 AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY-AFM III EAST AND WEST	Page 16 of Attachment 0004 AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY-AFM III EAST AND WEST doesn't include the Lexington, OK or Yakima, WA within the 6.	Can the government confirm that these locations should be included within that section?	Attachment 0004 updated to reflect all 15 West CBA's currently in effect
37	Section L			Attachment 0028 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS (CBAs)- AFM III EAST AND WEST	Attachment 0028 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS (CBAs)- AFM III EAST AND WEST doesn't appear to contain documentation for Santa Teresa, NM	Can the government provide the CBA information pertaining to Santa Teresa, NM?	Attachment 0028 updated to reflect CBA for Santa Teresa, NM
38	Section L			Attachment 0028 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS (CBAs)- AFM III EAST AND WEST	Attachment 0028 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS (CBAs)- AFM III EAST AND WEST contains multiple references to the Marana and Yuma location.	Can the government identify which CBA documentation should be utilized for the WAATS/Marana/Yuma, AZ site?	The Silverbell Heliport is covered by two separate CBA's. One is strickly for the LUH mechanics in Marana and the other is both Marana-Yuma for the other missions. The CBA narrative's indicate which is which.

W58RGZ-26-R-0008

Attachment 0029 Government's Reponse to Industry Questions (Site Visit)

(AFM-East & West)

Question #	Question	Government's Response
1	When can offerors expect the final RFP?	Please regularly check SAM.gov for any applicable opportunities that may be of interest.
2	Will the Government release another draft before the final RFP?	No.
3	How many days will Offerors have to prepare responses before they are due?	Please refer to Formal RFP for proposal turnaround times.
4	Can the Government provide instructions on how to price non-productive time such as cyclic inventories, FOD walks, etc.?	No (examples are considered billable hours under the contract requirements).
5	Can the USG conduct two separate phases to save time, money and resources. For example: Phase 1 Entry Gate July; Phase 2 Tech/PP Sep	No.
6	How many NMP component line items are in the program?	None at this time. Is a historical/future capability.
7	Is there any special certification required for the NMP program for technicians?	No. See Attachment 0007 Qualifications and Job Descriptions.
8	If yes to Question #7, are the certifications in the job descriptions provided with the RFP?	N/A.
9	Are there any special certifications required to run FEDS or APU test stands?	See Attachment 0007 Qualifications and Job Descriptions.
10	Will oral presentations be used for this solicitation requirement?	Please refer to Formal RFP.
11	What tool control software is used for each site and is it used world-wide?	See Attachment 0001 Performance Work Statement and Attachment 0005 Government ERP-MRP Hyperlinks and Email Addresses.
12	Since it seems the primary reason for OCONUS Entry Gate Criteria requirement is to ensure the contractor has experience managing and deploying contractors OCONUS, would the USG consider modifying the Entry Gate Criteria for OCONUS work to allow contractors with any type of OCONUS contract management experience?	No.
13	Are all the tools Government provided? Is the Contractor responsible to provide any tools?	No. Yes. See Attachment 0001 Performance Work Statement.
14	Does the Government oversee the scheduled maintenance of all the specialty equipment? Are any of the repair costs on the Contractor?	No. No. See Attachment 0001 Performance Work Statement.
15	To increase the competitive landscape, would the Government revise the gate criteria to permit rotary-wing contracts outside of the DOW?	See revised entry gate criteria.
16	Will the Pre-Conference slide deck be provided to those who were in attendance and/or posted to SAM?	No.
17	Based on the updates SAMs notice this effort reflects NAICS code 488190 with a size standard of $40 Million, is this correct?	Yes.
18	Is there an estimated time when the PWS will be provided?	Draft PWS was provided with the Draft RFP; please refer to Formal RFP for updated version.

W58RGZ-26-R-0008

Attachment 0029 Government's Response to Industry Questions

(AFM-East & West)

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
1	Section J			Attachment 0012	The QASP includes several Attachments (i.e., Attachment 15.1 - 15.5), but these appear to be links that are not accessible.	Can the Government please provide Attachments 15.1 - 15.5?	Sample forms are included in the updated QASP template, Attachment 0012.
2	Section L	19-21	L.3.3.4.5(j)-(k)	Section B x013/x023; Section H H-1(c),(f)	Housing CLIN pricing basis / burden	Please confirm if the government intends to provide plugged values for evaluation purposes for the CNF Housing Allowance CLINS (T&M x013 series and Contingency x023 series). If so, please update Attachments 17 and 18 accordingly.	Confirmed. The values for all Cost No Fee CLINs will be included in the final RFP.
3	Section L	19-21	L.3.3.4.5(j)-(k)	Section B x010/x020 labor; x013/x023 housing	Avoid COLA/LQA overlap	Please confirm that the Government intends offerors to include COLA and other non-LQA OCONUS differentials/allowances in the T&M and CPFF labor CLINs, while proposing only Living Quarter Allowance (LQA) in the CNF Housing Allowance CLINs. If so, please confirm whether the Government will evaluate those elements as proposed, or apply any normalization/limitation intended to avoid overlap between COLA and LQA.	Confirmed. All differentials/allowances with the exception of the CNF Housing Allowance shall be included in the T&M and CPFF labor CLINs.
4	Section L	27-28	L.3.3.4.5(l)	Section H H-1(b),(e)	CNF plugs / burden treatment	Please confirm whether the Government will provide evaluation/plug values for the CNF Material/Non-Material and Travel CLIN families (x011/x021 and x012/x022, respectively). If so, please confirm whether those values will be included in Attachments 0017/0018 or provided separately, and whether those values are inclusive of any applicable indirect/burden.	Confirmed. The values for all Cost No Fee CLINs will be included in the final RFP.
5	Section B	130-136	2011AA/2012AA/2013AA; 2021AA/2022AA/2023AA	Section L 27-28	Residual non-labor cost placement	Please identify the Government's intended CLIN family for the cost elements outside the CNF Material/Non-Material, Travel, and Housing CLIN families, including but not limited to consumable materials, training certifications, country-specific sponsorship fees, customs/clearance fees, import/export fees, local registration fees, or other employer/employee-related OCONUS costs not expressly listed in Section B.	Mission related Consumables - purchased through the US supply system if available, otherwise MAT/Non-MAT; Training Certifications - NET is handled through the MAT/Non-MAT IAW PWS and Section B, otherwise is a requirement/qualification for employment and cost of the employing Contractor. Passports/Visas/Other documents required to enter/exit a specific OCONUS location is reimbursable through Mat/Non-MAT as specificed in Section B; and any other employer/employee related OCONUS costs not expressly listed in Section B are not individually reimbursed.
6	Section B	131-136	2012AA/2013AA; 2022AA/2023AA	Section H H-5; L.3.1.1 Scenarios	TDY lodging vs. LQA assumption	Please provide the proposal assumption offerors should use to distinguish costs that belong in the CNF Travel CLINs (airfare, rental car, lodging, and subsistence) from costs that belong in the CNF Housing Allowance CLINs (LQA), including how to treat short-term TDY deployments, rotational assignments, billeting/messing provided by the Government or host nation, vs. longer-term stationed personnel. Please clarify CLINs and/or sites for which TDY applies or does not apply in the bid.	For the Technical Scenario section cost is not evaluated, see L.3.1.1.i. Only the application/considerations of the CMP, and specifics such as team, man-hour estimation, to support scenario - no actual cost data should be included. In additon,offerors should state proposal assumptions utilized.
7	Section L	attachment 25 wage determination	attachment 25 wage determination			Please confirm when the Government intends to release unredacted CBAs to all Offerors.	Please refer to updated Attachments.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
8		11	PWS 2.8	2.8.5	The Performance Work Statement (PWS) requires the contractor to implement an On-the-Job Training (OJT) program to support cross-training efforts, with the provision that this training will be provided "at no additional cost to the government." since it's not listed in 2.8 as an allowable training expense. This raises a concern because the program can have employees to participate in OJT for several months and multiple employees may be in the program simultaneously. During this time, these employees may be performing what would normally be a billable labor tasks as part of their over the shoulder training. Clarification is needed to determine if the government intended for such labor hours to be non-billable under the contract.	In PWS Section 2.8, On-the-Job Training (OJT) (2.8.5) is stated as being provided at no additional cost to the customer. Does this imply that the labor hours of employees performing "over-the-shoulder" maintenance during OJT will not be billable to the government?	No. Any hours spent performing logistics or maintenance tasks and normally considered billable labor are billable to the government.
9		11	PWS 2.8	2.8.5	The Performance Work Statement (PWS) requires the contractor to implement an On-the-Job Training (OJT) program to support cross-training efforts, with the provision that this training will be provided "at no additional cost to the government." since it's not listed in 2.8 as an allowable training expense. This raises a concern because the program can have employees to participate in OJT for several months and multiple employees may be in the program simultaneously. During this time, these employees may be performing what would normally be a billable labor tasks as part of their over the shoulder training. Clarification is needed to determine if the government intended for such labor hours to be non-billable under the contract.	In PWS Section 2.8, On-the-Job Training (OJT) (2.8.5) is stated as being provided at no additional cost to the customer. Is it the Government's intent that the labor hours of employees performing "over-the-shoulder" maintenance during OJT will not be billable to the government?	See response to question 8.
10	Section L	Attachment 0017 Tabs 5, 6a	L.3.3.4.5(k)	Tab 5 CPFF Labor Hours (Iraq/Kuwait); Tab 6a CPFF Direct Labor Mapping	Single direct labor rate across Iraq/Kuwait	Please confirm that Attachment 0017 Tabs 5 and 6a are intended to require a single weighted direct labor base rate for each CPFF labor category across both Iraq and Kuwait. If so, please explain the rationale for how this rate should be proposed or consolidated (e.g. hours by location). If not, please revise the Attachments (17 and 18) to allow location-specific price build-ups.	Tab 5 provides the RFP directed labor hours for each location to be allocated across the offerors proposed team. A single weighted direct labor base rate for both Iraq and Kuwait is not required. Offerors may adjust Tabs 6a.-6e. to accomodate the proposed cost structure to include adding additional rows and/or tabs as required. Offerors are responsible for ensuring that all calculations in each TAB are accurate and reflective of the offeror's proposed price.
11	Section L	Att. 0018 Tabs 5, 6a	L.3.3.4.5(k)	Tab 5 CPFF Labor Hours (Korea); Tab 6a CPFF Direct Labor Mapping	Korea-only CPFF direct labor basis	Please confirm whether Attachment 0018 Tabs 5 and 6a are intended to require a single weighted direct labor base rate for each CPFF labor category because Korea is the only location shown on the West CPFF Labor Hours tab. If additional West CPFF locations are expected, please revise the template to permit location-specific direct labor rates.	For proposal estimating purposes, the Offeror shall assume that the entire CPFF term Contingency Ops effort for the AFM West Region will occur in Korea.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
12	Section J	PWS 2.6.5-2.6.6	Basic Schedule Information	Att. 0017 Tabs 1, 5; East OCONUS locations	OCONUS workweek assumptions	Please provide the Government's intended standard workweek and productive-hours assumption for each OCONUS location bidders are expected to price under the T&M and CPFF CLINs, including Iraq, Kuwait, and all other East OCONUS locations.	Refer to Attachment 0001, paragraphs 2.6.5. & 2.6.6. Assume: Full time Equivalent (FTE) man-year to be 1912 hrs for all locations except for contingency locations and Kuwait FMS. All Local Labor Laws apply. * Iraq contingency estimated with 44 hr over-time (OT) for a total of 84 hr weekly or 4368 hr man-year (no holidays figured in). * Kuwait Contingency has local laws that effect labor….assume work 6 days a week with a day of paid rest. Work hours are figured at 12 hr days 6 days a week, no holidays, for a 3744 man-year. * Kuwait FMS has a 24 hr requirement so assume shift work, two 12 hour shifts. Same 3744 man-year in calculations although the FMS team takes holidays and vacation time.
13	Section J	PWS 2.6.5-2.6.6	Basic Schedule Information	Att. 0018 Tabs 1, 5; West OCONUS locations	OCONUS workweek assumptions	Please provide the Government's intended standard workweek and productive-hours assumption for each OCONUS location bidders are expected to price under the T&M and CPFF CLINs, including Korea and all other West OCONUS locations.	Refer to Attachment 0001, paragraphs 2.6.5. & 2.6.6. Assume: Full time Equivalent (FTE) man-year to be 1912 hrs for all locations but for contingency locations. All Local Labor Laws apply.
14	Section J	Att. 0004 / East Scenarios	Housing/billeting assumptions	Section B x013/x023 housing CLINs; East OCONUS locations	Government/host housing by location	Please identify which East OCONUS locations, if any, will have Government- or host-nation-provided housing, billeting, and/or meals, and which locations should be priced assuming contractor-provided housing/LQA.	Information is in Scenario. SPOT orders for particular locations will specify billeting and meals. Currently, Kuwait Contingency, FMS and Iraq authorize Housing & Meals.
15	Section J	Att. 0004 / West Scenarios	Housing/billeting assumptions	Section B x013/x023 housing CLINs; West OCONUS locations	Government/host housing by location	Please identify which West OCONUS locations, if any, will have Government- or host-nation-provided housing, billeting, and/or meals, and which locations should be priced assuming contractor-provided housing/LQA.	Information is in Scenario. SPOT orders for particular locations will specify billeting and meals. Currently, no West locations are authorize Housing & Meals.
16	Section L	Att. 0017 Tabs 5, 6c	L.3.3.4.5(k)	Tab 5 CPFF Labor Hours (Iraq/Kuwait); Tab 6c Indirect Rates & Fixed Fee	Single indirect structure across Iraq/Kuwait	Please confirm whether Attachment 0017 Tabs 5 and 6c are intended to require a single indirect/fringe structure across both Iraq and Kuwait for the East CPFF CLIN. If not, please revise the template or confirm that offerors may apply location-specific indirects/fringe within the model without breaking the required format.	Tab 5 provides the RFP directed labor hours for each location to be allocated across the offerors proposed team. A single indirect rate structure for both Iraq and Kuwait is not required. Offerors may adjust Tabs 6a.-6e. to accomodate the proposed cost structure to include adding additional rows and/or tabs as required. Offerors are responsible for ensuring that all calculations in each TAB are accurate and reflective of the offeror's proposed price.
17	Section L	Att. 0018 Tabs 5, 6c	L.3.3.4.5(k)	Tab 5 CPFF Labor Hours (Korea); Tab 6c Indirect Rates & Fixed Fee	Korea-specific CPFF indirect structure	Please confirm whether Attachment 0018 Tab 6c is intended to represent the Korea-specific indirect/fringe structure for the West CPFF CLIN. If additional West CPFF locations may be ordered, please explain how offerors should accommodate any location-specific indirect/fringe differences within the required template.	Tab 5 provides the RFP directed labor hours for each location to be allocated across the offerors proposed team. Offerors may adjust Tabs 6a.-6e. to accomodate the proposed cost structure to include adding additional rows and/or tabs as required. Offerors are responsible for ensuring that all calculations in each TAB are accurate and reflective of the offeror's proposed price. For proposal estimating purposes, the Offeror shall assume that the entire CPFF term Contingency Ops effort for the AFM West Region will occur in Korea.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
18	Section L	Att. 0018 Tabs 5, 6d, 6e	L.3.3.4.5(k)	Tab 5 Korea; Tab 6d CPFF Estimate Detail; Tab 6e CPFF FBLRs	Single Korea-based CPFF loaded rates	Please confirm whether the West CPFF CLIN is intended to be priced using a single Korea-based set of loaded hourly rates for each labor category. If additional West CPFF locations may be ordered, please explain how offerors should account for location-specific differences in labor law, holidays, workweeks, fringe, and other cost drivers. If not, please revise the template to permit location-specific loaded rates.	Tab 5 provides the RFP directed labor hours for each location to be allocated across the offerors proposed team. A single direct labor base rate for Korea is required (Korea is considered one location). Offerors are responsible for ensuring that all calculations in each TAB are accurate and reflective of the offeror's proposed price. For proposal purposes, the Offeror shall assume that the entire CPFF term Contingency Ops effort for the AFM West Region will occur in Korea. Tab 6e requires individual fully burdened "loaded" labor rates for each contract labor category proposed by the prime and any subcontractor's supporting the CPFF CLINs. Tabs 6a-6d require specific cost element detail (base labor, indirect cost, subcontractor costs, fixed fee) used to estimate the total proposed price for each CPFF CLIN.
19	Section M	Att. 20	Section M, Pg 1	Section M and SF 33 A3	Single offeror awarded both region contracts	Section M and SF 33 A3 have contradicting statements. The SF 33 A-3 states, " A contractor may not be awarded contracts for both regions", and Section M states, "If one offeror is determined to be the best value in both regions, that offeror may be awarded contracts for both regions". Please clarify which is correct.	SAM.gov announcement was updated 6 April revising SF 33 Narrative A-3)b) to read as follows: "Two separate contracts will be awarded, one contract for each region, AFM III West and AFM III East."
20	Section M	Att. 20	Section M pg 5, 7, 8, 11	Section M tables 2, 3, 4, 5	Evaluation Rating Criteria	All tables within section M have the rating criteria redacted. Please advise when the Govt will release that information so contractors can plan accordingly	The Government will not provide unredacted rating tables in Section M.
21	Section M	Att. 20	Section M pg 1	Section M table 1	Evaluation Factors	The Evaluation Factors/Order of Importance table on page 1 indicates that "Cost Price factor is more important than Past Performance factor", but goes on to say "The non-cost/price factors are significantly more important than the Cost/Price factor". This comes across as a contradiction, recommending that table 1 show past performance as the second most important factor in order to align with the fourth statement in table 1 and removes the contradiction.	The non-Cost/Price factors **combined** are significantly more important than the Cost/Price factor. Question left out the word combined. Table is correct.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
22	Section M	Att. 20	Section M	Section M	Entry Gate Criteria	DFRP Documentation refers to “Army Aviation Field/Sustainment Level Maintenance,” and elsewhere references “rotary wing” aviation. Recommending the Government consider adjusting the verbiage to reflect Army Aviation Rotary Wing Field/Sustainment Level Maintenance throughout the documents and within the Entry Criteria for standardization across all documents.	No.
23		Exhibit A	DD From 1423 CDRL	DD Form 1423 CDRL	Electronic Format for CDRLs	Exhibit A - DD From 1423 CDRL states that all data will be delivered in Microsoft Office 365 format, “version 2024 or later”, and via email, but there may be government systems (e.g., PIEE WAWF, SPM, etc.) required for actual submission. Please clarify whether all CDRL/data deliverables must be delivered exclusively via e-mail in MS365 format, or if submission through Government systems (e.g. PIEE, WAWF, SPM) is also required.	Yes. Some may have a requirement to input the data into a particular government system (Attachment 0006 & Attachment 0005), But ALL CDRLs, must be delivered in Mircosoft Office 365 format via email as an overarching requirement.
24		PWS 4.3	PWS 4.3		Conflict Resolution Process When Regulations Differ	In the event of conflicting requirements among Federal, State, Local, Army, and Contract environmental instructions, what is the Government’s prescribed dispute resolution/decision authority? Is the resolution documented in writing, and does it relieve the contractor of liability if followed in good faith?	The Contracting Officer (KO) is the Government's prescribed dispute resolution authority for contracts.
25		QASP	QASP		The Contractor Performance Metrics documentation states an acceptable pass will be 90% or higher unless otherwise defined, but the QASP does not explicitly confirm this standard for all PWS elements.	Is a 90% pass/fail standard the default acceptable quality level for all contract performance measurement and reporting unless otherwise specified for a particular metric? If not, please provide a controlling reference for setting quality acceptance thresholds across all monitored activities.	No. Re-read Attachment 0008 Metrics & Attachment 0012 QASP. Only one metric states "a pass will be 90% or higher".....not ALL monitored activities/PWS elements.
26	Section I	265	I-156	DRAFT RFP Clause Section I 252.228-7001GROUND AND FLIGHT RISK (Mar 2023) on Page 265, AR 95-20 Section 9.4.3, and DFARS 228.370-2(c) Foreign military sales.	Applicability of 252.228-7001GROUND AND FLIGHT RISK (Mar 2023) at FMS Locations	It is our understanding that, if the FMS customer has accepted the standard Letter of Offer and Acceptance Standard Terms and Conditions, as described in DoD 5105.38-M, Security Assistance Management Manual, they have assumed risk of loss. Can the Government identify the FMS Locations where Foreign Customers have agreed to assume the risk for loss or destruction of, or damages to aircraft relative to the anticipated Non-U.S. Performance Locations?	The GFRC applies to this U.S. Army Contract as we operate under U.S Army rules and regulations to include the FMS that operate under an Army Airworthiness Release. Contractor is still potentially liable for any GFRC claims if found at fault.
27		CBA	CBA		Collectively-Bargained Agreements (CBA)	It appears CBA data must be resubmitted annually per CDRL and remarks, but the process and its impact on pricing updates is not described. If changes in CBA terms (wages, benefits) require annual re-submission, may contractors submit updated pricing reflective of new CBA costs/benefits, or is this limited to administrative documentation only? Please clarify the CBA update process regarding cost proposals and contract price adjustments.	Please provide CDRL Data Item No that contains this data.
28	Section A	2	A-1 h.		...A wage determination(s) is provided at Section J, Attachment 0025 along with Collective Bargaining Agreements (Joint Base Lewis McChord, Fort Irwin, Fort Carson and Holloman AFB).	There are several sites missing that are included in Attachment 0025 (i.e. Wheeler AAF Hawaii and Yakima Training Center). Is this intended to be a full list of the applicable CBAs?	Narrative A-1 h. revised. Please refer to updated RFP incorporating Attachment 0028
29	Section L	Page 6	L.3.1.i.a	RFP Attachment 0007	Section L.3.1.i.a requires identification of “critical positions,” but this term is undefined in Attachment 0007.	Will the Government provide a definitive list of "critical positions," or are Offerors expected to define such roles in the Comprehensive Management Plan (CMP)?	Organizational Diagrams should be all inclusive. If there are other positions that the contractor feels are "critical positions" to the execution of the mission, Offerors may define those roles.
30	Section L	Page 17	L.3.3.1	RFP Attachment 0017	Section L allows cost narratives in PDFs, but Excel is mandated for pricing data. This creates format inconsistencies for Offerors.	Can the cost/pricing narrative include cost tables in PDF format, or must all financial data, including tables, be exclusively submitted in Excel?	Please refer to Section Attachment 0019, L.3.3.1 for Cost/Price Submission instructions.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
31	Section K	354	K-12		252.204-7007 ALTERNATE A, ANNUAL REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS (OCT 2025) OCT/2025 Substitute the following paragraphs (b), (d), and (e) for paragraphs (b) and (d) of the provision at FAR 52.204-8:	Did the Government intentionally omit the FAR Clause 52.204-8, Annual Representations and Certifications (Mar 2026) by either reference or full text?	All Clauses have been reviewed and concurred with by our internal legal review; as a result any addtions and deletions is IAW with legal guidance.
32	Section L	T&M Schedule Instructions	Para J		Paragraph states: "The RFP specified labor categories have been mapped to the appropriate CBA categories in each AFM regional (East and West) cost/price workbook", however there is only an SCA code reference listed, no CBA references.	Does the government intend to provide the applicable CBA labor category crosswalk.	The RFP does not include the CBA labor catgory crosswalk. The offeror is required to map the appropriate CBA categories to the RFP/contract labor categories in each AFM regional (East and West) cost/price workbook. Section L.3.3.4.5 (2) has been revised " The offeror shall map the appropriate CBA categories to the RFP specified labor categories in each AFM regional (East and West) cost/price workbook.
33	Section L	Pg 366 of 368		L-24, DFARS 252.234-7998	Offeror is required to submit a comprehensive plan (EVMS) for complaince with the guidelines in EIE-748 if they propose to use a system that has not been determined by the the Cognizant Federal Agency (CFA) to be in complaince with EIE-748.	Which volume is the comprehensive plan (EVMS) required to be submitted under and will it be included with the list of items excluded from the page count limitation in section L.1.2.1?	Submission of a comprehensive plan (EVMS) is a Contractual Requirement in Section I-193. Removed from Section L. Comprehensive plan submission is not part of the evaluation process for source selection. Please refer to the submission instructions for all Volumes outlined in Section L, Attachment 0019.
34	Section L	Pg 1 of 32		L.1.2.1 - Content Requirements	Offeror is required to submit a comprehensive plan (EVMS) for complaince with the guidelines in EIE-748 if they propose to use a system that has not been determined by the the Cognizant Federal Agency (CFA) to be in complaince with EIE-748.	Will the compprehensive plan (EVMS) be evaluated under one of the Section M evaluation factors for award?	See question 33.
35	Section L	Pg 366 of 368		L-24, DFARS 252.234-7998	Offeror is required to provide documentation that the CFA has determined the proposed EVMS compliant with EIA-748 or submit a compehensive plan for achieving compliance.	Is proposed EVMS solution required to be determined compliant by the CFA for the the prime offeror, or can the requirement be met with a subcontractor/teaming partner with their CFA determined complaint system?	See question 33, also see Attachment 0019 paragraph L.2.
36	Section L	Pg 1 of 32		Paragraph L.1.1.	Offeror is required to submit a separate proposal for each of the two regions and each will be evaluated separately.	If discussions are held, will they be joint discussions with AFM-East and AFM-West? If the current plan is not to have joint discussions, recommend considering this appraoch to enable common findings between the two proposals to be addressed singularily versus two separate submissions and discussions on the same topic.	The Government is unable to provide any future discussions approach at this time.
37	Section L	Pg 1 of 32		Paragraph L.1.1.	Offeror is required to submit a separate proposal for each of the two regions and each will be evaluated separately.	Since volumes I and III will be the same between the two proposals, can the proposal instructions be updated to allow for one version of these volumes to be submitted. As currently set up, these two proposal volumes will have to have a different cover page and page headings to capture which regional proposal it is associated with, even though the content of the volumes will be the same information.	No.
38	Section L	Pg 1 of 32		Paragraph L.1.1.	Offeror is required to submit a separate proposal for each of the two regions and each will be evaluated separately.	Will the award decision for AFM-East and AFM-West be made entirely independent of the other, without regard to any proposed efficiencies or cost reductions there may be in a single company managing both regions?	Please refer to Attachment 0020, Section M for detailed information on the Evaluation Approach.
39	Section L	Pg 4 of 32		Paragraph L.2.1	Offeror is required to provide documentation for proof in meeting entry gate criteria to include a copy of the contract, Performance Work Statement.	Since government contracts often encompass numerous attachments, please confirm that the contract documentation required is limited to just the base contract document (e.g. Sections A-J) and the PWS.	Please refer to Attachment 0019, Section L.2.1 for submission instructions on the data requried for Entry Criteria criteria

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
40	Section L	Pg. 14 of 32		Paragraph L.3.2.5	For all contracts identified in L.3.2.1.1, Contract Descriptions, a Past Performance Questionnaire (RFP Attachment 0024) must be completed and submitted.	Will the Government accept the most recent CPAR of the past performance reference in lieu of completing Past Performance Questionnaires?	Please refer to Attachment 0019, Section L.3.2.5 for detailed submission instructions as it relates to the Past Performance Questionnaire
41	Section L	Pg. 16 of 32		Paragraph L.3.3.2	Provide a separate Table of Contents showing each file submitted as part of the Cost/Price Volume(s) with a short description of the contents of the file.	Per instructions, the separate Table of Contents to be included within the price narrative or as a separate file. If a separate file is required, can the Government please update Table in Section L.1.2.1 to include a list of additional attachments to be submitted?	Government is unclear of concern since instructions are clearly stated as it refers to Table of Contents requirements; no updates will be made.
42	Section A	Page 2	DD Form 254	Clearance timing affects staffing.	Clearance timing affects staffing.	Will interim clearances be acceptable for transition-in personnel pending final adjudication?	No.
43	Section B	Pages 9-10	CLIN 1013AA	DoS Regs	Housing drives cost risk.	For OCONUS locations, does the Government anticipate providing Government-owned or leased housing, or should offerors assume LQA in all cases?	LQA is a plug number for proposal purposes excluding the wrap/indirect rates. See reponse to question 14.
44	Section B	Page 10	CLIN 1020AA	Att 0004	Clarifies CPFF activation rules.	What are the typical triggers and authorization timelines for activating Contingency Operations CPFF CLINs?	Please refer to RFP Section B, CLIN 1020AA narrative as to when CPFF CLINs will be utilized.
45	Section B	Page 14	CLIN 1030AA	Service Contract Reporting	Avoids duplicative reporting.	Can the Government confirm whether the annual service contract report supersedes or complements other CDRL labor reporting?	Please adhere to all reporting requirements outlined in all applicable documents provided.
46	Section B	Page 17	CLIN 1110AA	Att 0017/0018	Labor rate escalation affects T&M competitiveness.	Will escalation be permitted in future option-year T&M labor rate tables, and if so, how will it be applied?	For labor categories subject to the requirements of SCLS, labor rate adjustments will be in accordance with RFO FAR 52.222-43 Fair Labor Standards Act and Service Contract Labor Standards-Price Adjustment (Multiple Year and Option Contracts).
47	Section B	Page 252	Section C	eCRAFT	Access delays create compliance risk.	What is the expected timeline for provisioning eCRAFT access post-award, and how will outages be handled for compliance purposes?	See Attachment 0005 Government ERP/MRP Systems, Hyperlinks and Email Addresses; Any additional eCraft guidance will be provided before contract award.
48	Section H	Sec H	H-1	Att 0027	Option strategy clarity.	What factors historically drive option exercise decisions for AFM contracts (e.g., performance metrics, funding stability)?	Please refer to RFO FAR 17.207 outlining guidance for all determining factors of when options may be exercised.
49	Section C	Page 20	3.2.1.	2.1.	The last sentence of this paragraph appears incomplete - it says: "The Contractor shall be IAW paragraph 2.1. Paragraph 2.1 references Flight and Ground Operations Requirements.	Is there additional text missing from this sentence?	No.
50	Section C	Page15	3.1.4.3	3.2.4.4.	The Contractor EVM system shall be determined to be IAW Electronic Industries Alliance (EIA) 748 by a Cognizant Federal Agency (CFA).	What is the Cognizant Federal Agency (CFA) that will make the determination that the Contractor EVM is IAW EIA 748E for this contract?	The Cognizant Federan Agency (CFA) will be determined upon contract award.
51	Section C	Page 15	3.1.4.3	3.1.4.4.	The Contractor EVM system shall be determined to be IAW Electronic Industries Alliance (EIA) 748 by a Cognizant Federal Agency (CFA).	What is the timeline of the determination; that is, can an Offeror submit their EVM system for determination by the CFA prior to proposal evaluations?	See response to question 50.
52	Section C	Page 6	1.3.	3.2.1.	National Maintenance Program (NMP)	What is the scope of the current AMCOM NMP requirements?	See Attachment 0001 paragraph 3.2.3.1.
53	Section C	Page 14	3.1.1	Attachment 4 (Areas of Responsibility and Missions by Location for AMCOM Field Maintenance (AFM) - East Region	The Government indicates the Hub Location for AFM East is Fort Campbell an will have both Government Regional and Site Staff.	Is it the Government's expectation that the Offeror will co-locate the AFM East Program Management Team proximal to Fort Campbell?	No. Only site specific program management personnel would co-locate proximal to a particular site.
54	Section C	Page 6	1.3	Att 0004 – AOR & Missions	Understanding historical workload is necessary for realistic staffing, pricing, and risk management across MDS and sites.	Can the Government provide historical workload data (e.g., number of resets, MWOs, ACEs, depot repairs) by MDS and site for the past 2–3 years?	No. Man-hours are T&M/CPFF and PWS describes mission requirements. Due to workload uncertainty the capabilities and the ability to manage diverse and mulitple locations IAW Attachment 0004 is what has been provided.
55	Section C	Page 9	2.6.2.1	SBPCD	Understanding evaluation focus improves proposal alignment.	Will the Government directly evaluate the qualifications and past performance of proposed small business subcontractors?	Refer to Section M.4.2 Para 2.
56	Section C	Page 10	2.6.6 and 2.6.9	Contingency Ops	Surge assumptions affect pricing and staffing realism.	Will surge labor rates be negotiated at contract award, or authorized via later contractual action when contingencies are triggered?	No.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
57	Section C	Page 10	2.6.7	CDRL A024	Overtime approval timeliness affects mission responsiveness.	Are WHBO approvals typically granted proactively based on forecasted mission needs, or reactively after mission execution?	Refer to Attachment 0001 para. 2.6.7 and Exhibit A for timeline expectations.
58	Section C	Page 14	2.1.0.2	Att 0004 – AOR & Missions	Regional crossover affects travel, surge staffing, and cost controls.	How frequently was regional crossover exercised under the incumbent contract, and were cross-regional missions short-term or extended duration?	See question 85.
59	Section C	Page 15	3.1.4.3–3.1.4.4	CDRL A001	Clarifying acceptable tools reduces audit and compliance risk.	Has the Government previously accepted alternative EVM tools that interface with IPMDAR, or is a specific solution preferred?	Refer to Exhibit A.
60	Section C	Page 16	3.1.5.4	ACRN / CLIN Structure	Funding behavior materially affects cost management risk.	Historically, how often has additional funding been added after the 70% ACRN/CLIN notification threshold?	Mission and fund need/availability dependent.
61	Section C	Page 17	3.1.6	Att 0005 – Government ERP/MRP	System access timelines affect readiness and reporting compliance.	Will Government ERP/MRP system user licenses, accounts, and access be provisioned at award, or are they the contractor’s responsibility?	Yes, it is the Contractor's responsibility to ensure personnel has all applicable system user license, acounts and access upon contract award/transition in, as outlined in Attachment 0005.
62	Section C	Page 24-25	3.2.1.30–3.2.1.31	Att 0008 – Contractor Performance Metrics	Offerors need insight into expected sustainment volumes to size labor and backshop capabilities accurately.	Are Reset, AIMS, OCSM, and ASER workloads projected to increase, decrease, or remain steady during the base and option periods?	Workload is based upon reimbursable missions at time of award. Future is unpredictable. Assume, remain steady, but surge and de-surge methodology is critical.
63	Section C	Page 37	4.5, 4.5.1	FAR 52.237-3	Early access to baseline data reduces transition risk and service disruption.	Will the Government provide access to incumbent GFP inventories, open work orders, and maintenance status during transition planning?	Representative GFP Inventories are in Attachments 0011/0013/0014/0015. Work orders and Maintenance Status will be available during tranisition-in.
64	Section C	Page 37	4.5.1	Att 0004 – Site Capabilities	Facility conditions materially affect readiness and startup risk.	Are there known facility renovations, relocations, or site closures planned that could impact transition or early contract performance?	No, future occurrences/events is unknown at this time.
65	Section L	Page 3	L.1.2.3	None	Upload ambiguity.	Does the Government prefer a single ZIP file or multiple native-format uploads, and will either be treated identically?	Please refer to Attachment 0019, Section L.1.2.3 for detailed submission instructions that will adequately address question.
66	Section L	Pages 3 and 4	L.1.2.4–5	None	AI review risk.	Can the Government confirm diagrams and graphics inserted as images will not be treated as concealed text?	All diagrams/graphics and any text within, inserted in the proposal allowable page limits, will be evaluated and considered as part of the proposal.
67	Section L	Page 4	L.1.2.5	None	Tool usage clarity.	Will AI-assisted tools be used only for compliance checks or also to identify strengths, weaknesses, and risks?	Per Attachment 0019, Section L.1.2.5, the Government reserves the right to use AI-assisted tools at its discretion during any phase of proposal evaluation.
68	Section L	Page 4	L.2.1	DCMA 8210.1	Evidence strictness.	Will redacted contracts and approval letters be acceptable if Entry Gate requirements are clearly substantiated?	Yes, but ensure it is clearly substantiated meaning "it must be convincing/verifiable/proof."
69	Section L	Page 5	L.3.1	100 pages	Scope clarity.	Is the CMP expected to address both regions in one integrated narrative or in clearly delineated subsections?	Please refer to Attachment 0019, Section L.1.1 for region specific submission instructions
70	Section L	Page 6	L.3.1.ii.b	EIA-748	Evidence scope.	Is objective evidence required for each EIA-748 paragraph, or is narrative explanation sufficient?	Offeror's discretion as long as it satisfies M.4.1 Technical evaluation approach for the CMP element.
71	Section L	Page 6	L.3.1.ii.d	PWS Reporting	Evaluation scope.	Will Offerors be evaluated on the ERP tool used or only the ability to produce required outputs?	Refer to Attachment 0020, Section M for detailed evaluation approach.
72	Section L	Page 6	L.3.1.i.a	Att 0007	Misclassification risk.	Should positions identified as overhead in Attachment 0007 be excluded from direct labor narratives and manning tables?	By definition Overhead is not Direct labor. Narrative-wise, positions, both direct and indirect, should be explained and in manning tables appropriately identified to demonstrate understanding of the Government's intent.
73	Section L	Page 8	L.3.1.1	Scenarios	Timing assumptions.	May Offerors assume Government approvals are timely for scenario execution, or should approval timelines be addressed?	Offeror should state all assumptions in response to Scenarios.
74	Section L	Page 8	L.3.1.1.i	None	Evaluation ambiguity.	Will scenario responses be evaluated independently from the CMP or as demonstrations of CMP implementation?	Refer to Attachment 0020, Section M for detailed evaluation approach.
75	Section L	Page 12	L.3.2	15% Threshold	Threshold impact.	Is the 15% major subcontractor threshold based on total evaluated price per region or total AFM III effort?	Attachment 0019, Section L.3.2.3 specifically provides response to this question.
76	Section L	Page 15	L.3.3	Award Statement	Strategic clarity.	If an Offeror proposes both regions, will evaluations be conducted independently or with enterprise-wide consideration?	Please refer to Attachment 0019, Section L.1.1 for region specific submission instructions.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
77	Section M	Page 1	M.1	FAR 15.101-1	Dual award risk.	If the same Offeror is best value for both AFMEast and AFMWest, will the SSA consider execution risk in the final decision?	Attachment 0020, Section M.1 specifically states what happens if the same Offeror is best value for both regions.
78	Section M	Page 1	M.1.2	FAR 15.308	Documentation.	Does stating 'no tradeoff is necessary' preclude documenting qualitative distinctions?	Preceding sentence in Section M.1.2 provided rationale as to when "no tradeoff is necessary."
79	Section M	Page 4	M.4.1	L.3.1.1	Differentiation.	Are scenario responses evaluated independently or as validation of the CMP?	See question 74.
80	Section M	Page 4	M.4.1	Risk	Subjectivity.	Section M.4.1 does not identify the levels of risk to be used for evaluating Factor 1: Technical. Would the Government please identify the levels of risk it will use (e.g., high, moderate, or low) and provide definitions of those levels?	The Government will not provide levels or risk and/or definitions of those levels.
81	Section M	Page 9	M.4.3.3	L.3.3	Interplay.	If CPFF costs are adjusted, will corresponding technical risk adjustments be reflected in narratives?	All proposal volumes will be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation criteria outlined in Attachment 0020 Section M Evaluation Faactors for Award.
82	Section M	Page 10	M.4.3.4	FAR 15.404-1(g)	Rejection risk.	Will unbalanced pricing be considered in light of mission variability and CLIN utilization?	Yes. Per RFO FAR 15, all offers with separately priced line items or subline items must be analyzed to determine if the prices are unbalanced.
83	Section M	Page 10	M.4.3.5	TEP	Tradeoff basis.	Will tradeoffs rely solely on Total Evaluated Price or also proposed price realism?	Price realism will not be conducted on FFP or T&M CLINs. See RFP Section M.4.3.5.
84		Industry Day		SAM.gov	The Government previously stated there would be an updated industry day.	Will the Government conduct a Pre-Final RFP industry day? If yes, when and where will this industry day take place?	The Government does not have any planned industry days at this time; however, please refer to SAM.gov for any future announcement.
85	Section C	PWS 2.10.2		p. 14	PWS 2.10.2 discusses regional cross over. This implies a single contractor for both East and West Regions or the ability for an East Region contractor to do work in the West Region or vice versa. We believe this may result in CBA conflicts across regions.	Will the Government clarify regional crossover for CONUS work in the East and West Regions?	Different Contracts: Regional crossover may be required if the primary contractor is unable to perform the speciffied mission due to personnel shortages, skill deficits, mission overload, or emergency conditions. The supporting contractor will coordinate directly with the respective Contractign Officers (KOs). Crossover support will be executed via TDY travel for defined, short-term duractions. Same Contract: Under a single contract, regional crossover will be managed as a surge capability triggered by the conditions described above. Historically, this capability has been utilized and remains a potential requirement for future operations.
86	Section C	PWS 3.1.4.5		p. 15	PWS 3.1.4.5 discusses the utilization of eCraft for invoice submission. We understand this to be a Navy system.	Will the US Army eCraft portion be available by contract award and/or final RFP?	See question 47.
87	Section C	PWS 3.1.7.7.4		p. 19	This paragraph states that, "the Contractor's invoice will be reduced by the amount of damage/loss resulting from negligent action or inaction."	The RFP does not provide guidance on this process. Please provide the process for determining the value of damage and how the invoice amount will be reduced.	Recovery for damage/loss is governed by RFO FAR 52.245-1 and administered through the ACO/Property Administrator, with financial recovery determination through issuance of a determination letter after investigation. Recovery of actual funds often handled by DFAS and Pay.gov process.
88	Section H	H-4		p. 5	Attachments 0026 and 0027, Clause H-4, Holiday Reimbursement, states that the TM Labor Rate Tables include an allowance for work on a holiday. However, in Attachments 0017 and 0018, the AFM Rate table does not include a Holiday Rate.	Please clarify spcifically where the Holiday Time rate is located in Attachments 0017 and 0018.	No Holiday Rate. Holidays may be worked based upon mission requirements at rates set forth in the rate tables. H-4 allows for designation of another day as observance of the holiday.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
89	Section L	L.1.1		p. 1	There will be one Request for Proposal (RFP) from which two contracts will be awarded. One contract will be for the East Region (AFM-East), and one contract will be for the West Region (AFM-West). Offerors must submit a proposal for each desired regional contract effort. Regional proposals will be evaluated independently.	Will the Government confirm/advise whether two ***separate proposals*** **must be submitted by an Offeror for the East and West Regions, per L.1.2.1? (i.e., Offeror submits one proposal for AFM East with five Volumes *and* submits one proposal for AFM West with five volumes–mutually exclusive of each other.)**	Please refer to Attachment 0019, Section L.1.1 for region specific submission instructions.
90	Section L	L.1.2.1	Table 1	p. 1	The table requires two digital copies of the proposal.	Please clarify the requirement for two digital copies of the proposal. Does the Government require two separate emails with the same information? Will the Government remove the requirement for two digital copies of the proposal?	Yes. No.
91	Section L	L.2	2nd para	p. 4	Second paragraph states, "If proposing as a Joint Venture or Team, the Teaming or Joint Venture Agreement and Formal Commitment letters should be provided."	Please clarify what specifically is meant by Formal Commitment letters.	IAW RFO FAR 9.104, If offeror relies on a subcontractor or JV partner for key personnel, past performance, or essential capabilities, the agency must obtain “meaningful evidence of commitment.”
92	Section L	L.2.1		p. 4	The Offeror shall provide the following documentation (copy of the contract, Performance Work Statement (PWS), appropriate certificates (example AS9110 Rev.C), and Government Flight Representative (GFR) approval letters (Flight Operation Procedures/Ground Operation Procedures (FOP/GOP)) in electronic format ONLY as standard of proof the entry gate criteria has been met.	Please confirm that Offerors may provide more than one contract to satisfy the Entry Gate Criteria (i.e., provide three separate contracts that collectively meet all of the Entry Gate criteria vs. providing one contract that meets all of the Entry gate criteria).	Yes. Whatever is necessary to verify/prove the conditions/requirements in the particular entry gate is met.
93	Section L	L.2.1		p. 5	In Entry Gate Criterion 2-4, the Government requires experience managing field level rotary wing maintenance. However, the PWS relates to ***aviation*** **maintenance. The PWS does not differentiate between wing type (rotary or fixed wing). The requirement to have rotary wing maintenance is inconsistent with the PWS, and it provides a restrictive barrier to entry.**	Request that the Government change "rotary wing maintenance" to "aviation maintenance." The processes for aviation maintenance are the same regardless of whether it is rotary or fixed wing. To ensure a level playing field and maximize the competitive landscape, will the Government revise the language from, "performing and managing field level rotary wing maintenance" to "performing field level aviation maintenance"?	The Army’s fleet is overwhelmingly rotary-wing and focused on attack, lift, reconnaissance, and utility missions. To change verbiage in PWS, limits the scope. Recommendation considered.
94	Section L	L.2.1		p. 5	ENTRY GATE CRITERION 2. The Offeror must have experience within the last five years (as a prime or subcontractor) performing and managing field level rotary wing maintenance while certified AS 9110 Revision C. The offeror shall provide current copies of its certificate as evidence.	With reference to the word "current," if an Offeror is using a contract that is physically complete, is it acceptable to provide the certificate used under the contract—even if the certificate is no longer current?	Yes, as long as certificate was current at some point in the last five years.
95	Section L	L.2.1		p. 5	ENTRY GATE CRITERION 3. The Offeror must have experience within the last five years performing and managing DoW rotary wing maintenance at multiple geographic locations, simultaneously, and dispersed greater than 500 miles apart.	Will the Government revise the language from "performing and managing DoW rotary wing maintenance at multiple geographic locations, simultaneously, and dispersed greater than 500 miles apart" to "performing USG or DoW aviation maintenance at multiple geographic locations dispersed greater than 500 miles apart."	Partial change made; refer to Section M for revised language.
96	Section L	L.2.1		p. 5	Entry Gate Criterion 4 uses the definition of United States based on FAR Part 22, which is application of labor laws, which is not appropriate for past performance. This is inconsistent with FAR Part 2 definitions, which uses Contiguous United States (excluding Alaska and Hawaii), CONUS, and OCONUS. Further, the PWS refers to CONUS and OCONUS throughout. There is no reasonable basis to use a FAR Part 22 labor law definition for past performance over the FAR Part 2 definition of what is considered CONUS and OCONUS, other than to unnecessarily restrict competition.	Request that the Government replace "United States" with "CONUS" and "OCONUS" to align with FAR Part 2 definitions, and multiple instances of CONUS and OCONUS throughout the RFP and PWS.	Section L.2.1 revised.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
97	Section L	L.3.1.ii.c		p. 6	c. The approach to systematically and continuously partner with the USG to improve program and reduce the annual cost of program management to the USG. Include in the response an approach to collective bargaining agreement negotiations and Service Contract Act changes or updates.	Will the Government confirm collective bargaining agreements (CBA) will only be applicable to CONUS work and not applicable to OCONUS work (i.e., foreign labor unions).	For this evaluation element, only asking for CBAs governed by the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) in the United States; although if employment contracts and foreign labor law knowledge can "improve program and reduce the annual cost of program management", can be included.
98	Section L	L.3.1.4.c		p. 7	This paragraph states that the Offeror should provide its "approach to taking responsibility for and timely resolution of contractor caused damage to aircraft and GFP. See PWS para 2.1." PWS Para. 2.1 does not address loss of GFP.	Should Paragraph L.3.1.4.c reference PWS Paragraph 3.1.7, Property Management?	See revised L.3.1.4.c.
99	Section L	L.3.2.5		p. 14	Attachment 0024, Past Performance Questionnaire, is in pdf format and signed.	May Offerors convert the actual questionnaire to MS Word to support customers being able to electronically fill it out? Or will the Government issue a fillable PDF form?	Offerors can convert to pdf if desired while ensuring all applicable data is included. Government will provide a Word Version; no fillable PDF form will be provided.
100	Section M	M.4.1	Table 2	pp. 5-6	All of the definition criteria for source selection color rating and adjectival rating has been redacted.	Will the Government provide a draft of these definitions for industry to understand what will be the basis for achieving these ratings?	No, rating tables and/or definitions will not be provided.
101	Section M	M.4.2	3rd para	p. 6	The criteria to establish what is relevant may include, but is not limited to, similarity of service/support; the level of complexity; performance under AR 95-20, AS9100 or AS9110 (any revision).	We believe the specific language throughout Section M regarding Army Regulations (i.e., AR95-20) is significantly biased to the incumbent. Will the USG revise the language to state "AR 95-20 or similar combined instruction, such as DCMA 8210.1"?	See "Very Relevant" in same section. Sentence in discussion column reference even states, "may include, but is not limited to".
102	Section M	M.4.4		p. 10	The extent of commitment to use such firms (and enforceable commitments will be considered more favorably than non-enforceable ones).	Will the Government clearly define enforceable versus non-enforceable commitments?	Enforceable refers to legally binding (all parties involved have signed) commitments and non-enforceable is the opposite.
103		Attachment 0025			The CBAs are redacted with the exception of the labor rate. Offerors need to understand the benefit structure of the employees in order to adequately price the proposal.	Will the Government provide unredacted copies of the CBAs?	See response to question 7.
104	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 1X11	Employer Taxes	CLIN 1X11AA notes employer taxes will be reimbursable. Does this include employee taxes that are applicable in foreign locations to include social taxes or income taxes that require contractors to pay or provide allowances on behalf of the employees due to the applicability of certain bi-lateral agreements (TESA, SOFA, etc.)?	Section B CLIN verbiage CLIN 1X11/2X11 changed.
105	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 2X11	Employer Taxes	CLIN 2X11AA notes employer taxes will be reimbursable. Does this include employee taxes that are applicable in foreign locations to include social taxes or income taxes that require contractors to pay or provide allowances on behalf of the employees due to the applicability of certain bi-lateral agreements (TESA, SOFA, etc.)?	See question 104.
106	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 1X11	Employer Taxes	CLIN 1X11AA notes employer taxes will be reimbursable. Does this include taxes for foreign nationals (i.e., Social taxes for Non-TESA employees in Germany)?	See question 104.
107	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 2X11	Employer Taxes	CLIN 2X11AA notes employer taxes will be reimbursable. Does this include taxes for foreign nationals (i.e., Social taxes for Non-TESA employees in Germany)?	See question 104.
108	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 1X22	Travel	Are all deployment and end-of-service travel for OCONUS employees billable as a reimbursable cost under CLIN 1X22AA for travel?	No (initial placement and final end of mission only; no rotation, employment contract re-newal, etcetera are billable in travel CLIN).
109	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 2X22	Travel	Are all deployment and end-of-service travel for OCONUS employees billable as a reimbursable cost under CLIN 2X22AA for travel?	See question 108.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
110	Section J	N/A	N/A	Indigenous personnel	Attachment 0009 - Additional OCONUS requirements and Attachment 0007	Attachment 0007 provides a list of Non TESA labor categories associated with Germany. Are offerors to propose Non TESA personnel (indigenous or TCN) to comply with the Non TESA requirements in Germany? Or will the Non TESA rates be negotiated after contract award?	The Government is unable to determine the basis of this question. Please refer to Section L.3.3.4.5 for proposal submission requirements related to rates and/or labor categories
111	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 2X10	T&M Labor	A number of locations are covered under CBAs. Please confirm all CBA labor premiums for such items as shift differential are to be included in the T&M labor rates?	Confirmed.
112	Section J	N/A	N/A	CBAs	Attachment 0025	Attachment 0025 contains a number of CBAs that are expiring or will expire prior to contract award. How will the Government handle equitable adjustments in the base period for CBAs that were incorporated into the incumbent AFM II contract but not incorporated in the solicitation?	The Government will ensure CBAs are valid and updated if applicable prior to contract award. In addtion, the awardee will have the opportunity to re-negotiate CBAs during the transition-in period with updated rates. The awardee will submit the updated rate attachmments with the newly negotiated rates to the Government prior to full performance period.
113	Section J	N/A	N/A	Labor Categories	Attachment 0010 - Skill Classification and Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template	Will the Government confirm all management and administrative labor categories must be proposed as an indirect or overhead charge and will not be directly billable?	Confirmed.
114	Section J	N/A	N/A	Indigenous personnel	Attachment 0009 - Additional OCONUS requirements	Sections 2.1 and 2.2 provide that Indigenous personnel and Third Country Nationals are subject to the approval of the PCO and shall be negotiated. Please confirm offerors are to propose all US expats in the initial proposal and changes will be negotiated after award?	Refer to Attachment 0019 SECTION L INSTRUCTIONS CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS on how to propose.
115	Section J	N/A	N/A	Indigenous personnel	Attachment 0009 - Additional OCONUS requirements	Section 4.4 requires CRC attendance. Will all labor and travel associated with CRC attendance be reimbursed directly?	Yes (as long as employee meets requirements to be placed in country/does not get denied).
116	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 1X11	Employer Taxes	CLIN 1X11AA notes employer taxes will be reimbursable. Does this include employer taxes levied by cities, counties, states or countries (i.e.. Business & Occupation (B&O) Tax, General Excise Tax (GET), Value Added Tax (VAT), Gross Receipts Tax, etc.)?	See updated Section B CLIN narrative.
117	Section B	N/A	N/A	CLIN 2X11	Employer Taxes	CLIN 2X11AA notes employer taxes will be reimbursable. Does this include employer taxes levied by cities, counties, states or countries (i.e.. Business & Occupation (B&O) Tax, General Excise Tax (GET), Value Added Tax (VAT), Gross Receipts Tax, etc.)?	See updated Section B CLIN narrative.
118	Section J	N/A	N/A	T&M Labor Hours	Attachment 0017 Cost Price Template	Attachment 0017 provides total labor hours on tab (1. T&M Labor Hours) Tabs 2x through 3x require offerors to allocate total hours between prime and sub in addition to regular and OT hours. Will the Government prescribe the number of OT hours to create an equal price evaluation?	Attachment 0017 has been updated to accommodate OT labor hours.
119	Section J	N/A	N/A	T&M Labor Hours	Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template	Attachment 0018 provides total labor hours on tab (1. T&M Labor Hours) Tabs 2x through 3x require offerors to allocate total hours between prime and sub in addition to regular and OT hours. Will the Government prescribe the number of OT hours to create an equal price evaluation?	Attachment 0018 has been updated to accommodate OT labor hours.
120	Section J	N/A	N/A	Direct Labor Base Rates	Attachment 0017 Cost Price Template	Attachment 0017 (6b. Direct Labor Base Rates) requires offerors to propose Direct Labor Base Rates. Will the Government consider amending this to allow for Direct Labor Base Rates to be proposed by location as each location may not have the same Base Labor Rates (i.e.: Kuwait and Iraq)?	See Question 10.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
121	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0018	Attachment 0018 Tabs 2a Section 4 and Tabs 3a through 3z Section 2 require Offerors to propose labor hours to OT.	Is the Government's expectation that Offerors will allocate 1 hour per labor category per location in the OT column to establish an OT Rate for use during contract performance?	Confirmed.
122	Section L	N/A	N/A	Cost/Price	L.3.3.1 Electronic Copy and Attachment 0017 Cost Price Template	Section L.3.3.1 requires a narrative describing the general basis of estimate for all T&M FBLRs as well as a detailed basis of estimate of for all cost plus fixed fee CLINs. Can the Government confirm that a detailed pricing build-up is not required for T&M labor and only Proposed Base Rates, H&W $/hr., and FBLRs are required in Attachment 0017?	Confirmed.
123	Section L	N/A	N/A	Cost/Price	L.3.3.1 Electronic Copy and Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template	Section L.3.3.1 requires a narrative describing the general basis of estimate for all T&M FBLRs as well as a detailed basis of estimate of for all cost plus fixed fee CLINs. Can the Government confirm that a detailed pricing build-up is not required for T&M labor and only Proposed Base Rates, H&W $/hr., and FBLRs are required in Attachment 0018?	Confirmed.
124	Section L	N/A	N/A	Cost/Price	L.3.3.4.5 T&M Rate Schedules and Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template	The Government requires separate T&M billing rates for the prime and each subcontractor. Will the Government considering revising the requirement to only require a prime billing rate inclusive of any subcontract costs to avoid differing billing rates by prime/sub performance and to allow consistent costs by location and ability for contractors to redistribute performance in execution as needed between prime and sub?	No. The offeror must establish fixed hourly rates using separate rates for each category of labor to be performed by each subcontractor and for each category of labor to be performed by the offeror, and for each category of labor to be transferred between divisions, subsidiaries, or affiliates of the offeror under a common control. See RFO DFARS 252.216-7002 Alternate A.
125	Section L	N/A	N/A	Cost/Price	L.3.3.4.5 CPFF CLINs and Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template	Can the Government confirm subcontractor price proposals are only required in the event subcontractors are performing on CPFF LOE CLINs?	Subcontractor base wage rates, Health & Welfare (H&W) rates and fully burdened T&M labor rates are required in the subcontractor(s) T&M rate schedule (Attachment 0017 Cost Price Template and Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template). In addtiion, a fully disclosed cost/price proposal to include unsanitized cost details per RFP Section L.3.3.4.5.3 shall be sumitted for all subcontractor's proposed on the CPFF CLINs.
126	Section L	N/A	N/A	Cost/Price	L.3.3.4.5 CPFF CLINs and Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template	Material/Non Material CLINs and Travel notes amounts are inclusive of indirect costs. Will the Government consider revising the requirement for contractors to propose indirect rates that will be invoiced to the Government to represent a more accurate spend rate of Cost No Fee CLINs as indirect costs could be significantly different by offeror?	The offeror shall include the material/non-material and travel dollar amounts provided in the applicable Cost/Price workbook(s) which are inclusive of indirect costs.
127	Section L	N/A	N/A	Small Business Participation	L.3.4 Factor 4: Small Business Participation	Will the Government consider establishing a minimum small business participation goal as a percent of total contract value in addition to socio-economic goals to establish an acceptable rating?	No, base on internal discussions. Please refer to Section M4.4.4 for the evaluation approach.
128	Section L	N/A	N/A	Organizational Approach	L.3.1 Organizational Approach (Program Management)	Offerors are required to define an organizational approach to overhead positions (Management/Exempt). Will the Government consider providing a listing by region and location any management positions that are considered mission essential and must be proposed by offerors?	See Attachment 0007, QUALIFICATIONS AND JOB DESCRIPTIONS. Also see Question 29.
129	Section L	N/A	N/A	Business Systems	L.3.3.4.3 Business System Status	All prime and subcontractors are required to provide an accounting adequacy/inadequacy determination or a pre-award survey. Does this remain a requirement for subcontractors only performing against T&M CLINs?	Yes.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
130	Section J	N/A	N/A	CPFF FBLRs	Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template	Tab 6a. CPFF FBLRs requires a fixed fee/hr. rate. Will the Government confirm this fixed fee/hr. rate will be a fixed amount per labor category billable per hour based on the level of effort by period of performance?	The fixed fee/hr. rate will **NOT** be a fixed amount per labor category billable per hour based on the level of effort by period of performance. See RFO FAR 16.304-3, RFP Section H-1 6. Level of Effort (Cost Plus Fixed Fee Term Option) and RFP Section L.3.3.4.5.3.c.
131	Section C	12	2.8	Training	2.8 Training	Section 2.8 requires a significant amount of training to be provided to employees in Sections 2.8.3 through 2.8.9. Please confirm the Government is expecting contracts to absorb these costs without reimbursement?	RFO FAR 52.232-7, Payments under Time-and-Materials and Labor-Hour Contracts stipulates that to receive payment, contractors must meet the labor category qualifications specified in the contract, which includes fulfilling all required training.
132	Section C	12	2.8	Training	2.8 Training	Section 2.8 requires a significant amount of training to be provided to employees in Sections 2.8.3 through 2.8.9. Will the Government allow these costs to be included in an indirect rate proposed or are these costs to be expressly unbillable?	RFO FAR 52.232-7, Payments under Time-and-Materials and Labor-Hour Contracts stipulates that to receive payment, contractors must meet the labor category qualifications specified in the contract, which includes fulfilling all required training.
133	Section C	9	2.6.6	Work Hours	2.6.6 Basic Schedule Contingency Support	Contingency work is noted as a minimum workweek of 40 hours with COR approval of up to 84 hours per week. Can the Government provide offerors with the standard workweek for each contingency location to be proposed (Iraq and Kuwait) to allow offerors to develop an accurate compensation package for the work to be performed and ensure the ability to recruit and retain qualified personnel?	See questions 12 & 13.
134	Section C	9	2.6.6	Work Hours	2.6.6 Basic Schedule Contingency Support	Contingency work is noted as a minimum workweek of 40 hours with COR approval of up to 84 hours per week. Can the Government provide offerors with the standard workweek for each contingency location to be proposed (Korea) to allow offerors to develop an accurate compensation package for the work to be performed and ensure the ability to recruit and retain qualified personnel?	See questions 12 & 13.
135	Section C	9	2.6.6	Work Hours	2.6.5 Basic Schedule for CONUS and OCONUS (Non Contingency) Support	Non Contingency OCONUS locations are noted as having a standard 40-hour workweek. Can the Government provide a listing on Non Contingency OCONUS locations that typically perform alternative standard workweeks so offerors can address local labor laws?	See Attachment 0004 Areas of responsibility and Missions by Locations for Locations; see questions 12 & 13.
136	Section C	9	2.6.5	Work Hours	2.6.5 Basic Schedule for CONUS and OCONUS (Non Contingency) Support	Section 2.6.5 allows the COR to determine the core work hours and the ability to require multiple shifts. A number of CBAs require the payment of shift differential. How will the Government reimburse shift differentials when the COR directs multiple shifts requiring unbid shift differentials?	Shift differentials to be factored into the overhead/fringes. Contractor risk.
137	Section C	9	2.6.5	Basic Schedule	Basic Schedule Information for CONUS and OCONUS (Non-contingency) Support	Section 2.6.5. heading references OCONUS but the content provided is silent regarding OCONUS hours. Can the government establish standard work hours for OCONUS locations?	The entire paragraph applies to CONUS and OCONUS, as the heading asserts, unless stated otherwise.
138	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0018		Does the government intend for the CLIN Summary to include OT FBLRs for potential overtime hours performed during contract execution?	The T&M labor CLIN values provided in "Tab 7. CLIN Summary" must reconcile to the T&M labor prices detailed in "Tab 4. T&M Labor Summary" inclusive of all estimated T&M labor (standard and OT).
139	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0025	Current CBAs	Will the government provide all unredacted and current CBA-related documents to include attachment, amendments, supplements, modifications, letter agreements, MOAs, MOUs, etc. for all AFM III East and West locations?	See response to question 7.
140	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0025	NWD	Which AFM III locations will apply the NWD 1999-0316?	All CONUS locations (to include Alaska and Hawaii) not affected by a CBA.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
141	Section M	N/A	N/A	M.2		Can the Government clarify whether the evaluation will be conducted in two distinct phases, consisting of (1) an initial screening to determine which offerors satisfy Entry Gate Criterion 4, followed by (2) a subsequent evaluation of proposals submitted by only those offerors that meet the Entry Gate requirements, or whether the Government intends to conduct a single evaluation process, in which Entry Gate compliance and all other evaluation factors are assessed contemporaneously without a separate phase or time delay?	Please refer to Attachment 0020, Section M.2 for clear details.
142	Section L	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0019	L.2 states "To be eligible for evaluation of Factors 1, 2, 3, and 4, the Offeror must first demonstrate it meets the entry gate criteria…"	Would the Government consider requiring early submission of the Entry Gate Criteria documentation, prior to submission of the full proposal volumes? This would allow offerors an opportunity to validate compliance with Entry Gate requirements before preparing the remainder of the proposal.	No.
143	Section L	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0019	Section L.3.2.5 requires Offerors to email the Contracting Officer a list of all the POCs who were sent a past performance questionnaire no later than the proposal's due date.	Can Offerors submit the list with all required information with their proposal in the Past Performance Volume, in lieu of submitting via a separate email?	No.
144	Section L	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0019	Section L.3.3.4.5.k requires subcontractors to submit unsanitized proposals.	Can the Government clarify whether these submissions are to be provided directly to the Contracting Officer or included within the prime Offeror's Cost/Price Volume submission?	Section L.3.3.4.5 (3) Subcontract paragraph provide clear instructions in response to the question stated as "The prime offeror may include subcontractor, division, subsidiary, and affiliate unsantized cost/price information within the prime offeror Cost/Price proposal submission. If a subcontractor, division, subsidiary, and affiliate considers the RFP required information to be company proprietary, an unsanitized an un-sanitized proposal mut be submitted directly to the Contracting Officer."
145	Section L	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0019	Section L.3.2.2.2 requires data on manufacturing warranty returns.	Can the Government clarify the applicability of this requirement to service-based contracts where warranty return data may not be relevant?	Returns/failures in product reflect on offeror's general reliability and quality processes; all part of past performance.
146	Section L	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0019	Section L.1.2.5 indicates AI tools may be used in proposal evaluation.	Can the Government clarify whether there are specific formatting or structuring considerations Offerors should follow to support automated analysis?	No. Per Attachment 0019, Section L.1.2.5, the Government reserves the right to use AI-assisted tools at its discretion during any phase of proposal evaluation.
147	Section L	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0019	The CMP under section L.3.1.7 is titled, "Management of Security/Safety/Environmental Management Requirements". Item (a) requires an approach to Security and (b) requires an approach to Safety, but there is no item (c) Environmental.	Are Offerors required to provide an approach to meeting Environmental requirements?	Please see revised Attachment 0019, L.3.1.7.c.
148	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0004	5.1 All HUB Locations in paragraph 1.5 shall have AS9110C certification to the site level with flow-down to non-standard and temporary locations. There is no mention of AS9110C certification requirement at Standard support locations listed in paragraph 1.6.	What is the Quality Management System Requirement for Standard support locations listed in paragraph 1.6?	See revised Attachment 0004.
149	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0012	15.0 Attachments appear to be images rather than embedded files	Can the Government provide the excel files embedded in Section 15.0 Attachments and provide them as .pdf files?	Please see response to question 1.
150	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0006	Attachment 0006 posted to sam.gov on 6 April 2026 is titled Additional OCONUS Requirements AFM III East and West. The title on the opened document reads Additional Contract Data Requirement List (CDRL) Information for AMCOM Field Maintenance (AFM) - East & West Regions.	Will the Government re-issue Attachment 0006 with the correct file name?	File name revised.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
151	Section M	N/A	N/A	M.1 Basis for Award	M.1 states "If one offeror is determined to be the best value in both regions, that offeror may be awarded contracts for both regions."	Will the Government confirm that there are no restrictions on award of contracts for the East Region and the West Region?	The Government confirms that there no restrictions on an offeror being awarded both the East and West Region contracts, provided the offeror's proposal represents the best value to the Government and the offeror demonstrates the capacity to perform both requirements simultaneously.
152	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0008	Paragraph 6. Financial Burn Metrics (PWS 3.1.4) Section 6.1 states "Metric will measure Funding Provided vs. Funding Used to Date by effort as compared to time.	Is this metric calculated at completion of an effort? Is the expectation that the Government is going to incrementally fund an effort for a pre-determined time period? Does the "time" reference correlate to a work schedule included with the ACEF proposal submission?	Calculation can occur during any point of effort. Funding received is based upon ACEF time specified and contractor ACEF proposal estimate for the effort.
153	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0008	12. De-Obligation of Remaining Funds lists percentages next to dollar values in Sections 12.2.1 through 12.2.4.	Can the Government clarify what the "no less than XX%" is supposed to measure?	How much of the remaining mission funds is to be safely de-obligated (barring caveat in 12.2).
154	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0009	2. Employment of Third Country Nationals 2.1 states "The Contractor shall employ indigenous personnel, Third Country Nationals (TCN), whenever it is practical, feasible, and an economic advantage to the Government or as directed by the COR or host country legal requirements." 2.2 states "Labor rates for indigenous personnel and Third Country Nationals (TCN) within the country involved shall be negotiated between the PCO and the Contractor."	Is the Government's definition of indigenous personnel the same as, or interchangeable with, Host Country Nationals? Are Third Country Nationals defined as personnel who are not Host Country Nationals or US Nationals?	Yes and yes.
155	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0010	Column A Project Labor Category includes positions not listed in Attachment 0017 Cost Price Template-AFM East. Attachment 0019 Section L requires offerors to provide "information directly traceable to the same information proposed in the Skill Classification Crosswalk tab of RFP Attachment 0010" as it relates to Direct Labor Rates.	Will the Government confirm that Attachment 0010 Skill Classification Crosswalk should only include Direct Labor traceable to Direct Labor Rates proposed in Attachment 0017 Cost Price Template-AFM East?	Attachment 0010 Skill Classification deleted; marked as reserved.
156	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0010	Column A Project Labor Category includes positions not listed in Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template-AFM West. Attachment 0019 Section L requires offerors to provide "information directly traceable to the same information proposed in the Skill Classification Crosswalk tab of RFP Attachment 0010" as it relates to Direct Labor Rates.	Will the Government confirm that Attachment 0010 Skill Classification Crosswalk should only include Direct Labor traceable to Direct Labor Rates proposed in Attachment 0018 Cost Price Template-AFM West?	Attachment 0010 Skill Classification deleted; marked as reserved.
157	Section H	N/A	N/A	H-2	Contractor Organization of Work clause requires Contractor to provide a roster of all key personnel.	Does the Government intend to identify positions it considers as key in the PWS or Section H Clauses? Is identification of key personnel at the Offeror's discretion?	No. Organizational Diagrams should be all inclusive. If there are other positions that the contractor feels are "key positions" to the execution of the mission, Offerors may define those roles.
158	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.3.3.4.5.l	Cost No Fee (CNF) CLINs direct offeror to include dollar amounts provided for Material/Non-Material & Travel CLINs but does not provide dollar amounts in Section B, Section L, or Attachment 0017 Cost/Price Workbooks.	Will the Government include plug numbers in Section B, Section L, or Attachments 0017?	Confirmed. The values for all Cost No Fee CLINs will be included in the final RFP.
159	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.3.3.4.5.l	Cost No Fee (CNF) CLINs direct offeror to include dollar amounts provided for Material/Non-Material & Travel CLINs but does not provide dollar amounts in Section B, Section L, or Attachment 0018 Cost/Price Workbooks.	Will the Government include plug numbers in Section B, Section L, or Attachments 0018?	See question 158.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
160	Section M	N/A	N/A	M.4.4.1	Evaluation Ratings for Factor 4: Small Business Participation Commitment Proposal references use of DoW Source Selection Procedures. Section M.1.2 states the acquisition will use FAR Part 15.101-1 and FAR Subpart 15.3 Source Selection Procedures.	Can the Government confirm that DoW Source Selection Procedures will be used in the evaluation of Factor 4?	Yes, the Government confirms that the DoW Source Selection Procedures will be used in the evaluation of Factor 4: Small Business Participation Commitment Document. RFO FAR 15.101 and RFO FAR Subpart 15.3 establish the foundational regulatory framework for the source selection process. Please note the DoW Source Source Selection Procedures implement and supplement the FAR rather then replace it.
161	Section C	8	2.6.1.1		PWS 2.6.1.1 requires the contractor to ensure flight and ground operation physicals IAW paragraph 2.1.	Will the Government confirm that the cost of flight and ground operation physicals are an allowable cost required to support the statement of work and should be included in the T&M labor rates? Will the Government confirm that the cost of flight and ground operation physicals are an allowable cost required to support the statement of work and should be included in the CPFF labor rates or identified as an absorbed cost?	Cost to provide fully qualified personel is the Contractor's responsibility. The cost of flight and ground operation physicals are not to be directly reimbursable via the Material/Non-material CLIN.
162	Section C	12	2.8.7		PWS 2.8.7 requires the Contractor Environmental compliance designee(s) (ECD) shall complete initial ECD training through the installation of Major Subordinate Command (MSC) environmental compliance point of contact within 15 days of the start of contract performance.	Can the Government confirm that the initial and any recurring ECD training will be provided at no cost to the Contractor?	Yes, the site Garrison/DPW Environmental compliance POC provides this training at no cost; labor time for initial training will be absorbed by contract.
163	Section C	13	2.10 Travel and Off-site Operations	N/A		Can the Government confirm that costs associated with travel and Off-site Operations are included in the values provided under CLINs 1X12?	Travel CLINs are for travel costs in support of the associated effort/mission. ACEF will define mission in the SOO, travel required in support of the mission is included in the travel CLIN. See Section B CLIN description.
164	Section C	13	2.10 Travel and Off-site Operations			Can the Government confirm that costs associated with travel and Off-site Operations are included in the values provided under CLINs 2X12?	See question 163.
165	Section C	13	2.10 Travel and Off-site Operations	N/A		Do the values to be provided for CLINs 1X12 include travel and Off-site operations for Contractor program management personnel?	See question 163.
166	Section C	13	2.10 Travel and Off-site Operations			Do the values to be provided for CLINs 2X12 include travel and Off-site operations for Contractor program management personnel?	See question 163.
167	Section A	N/A	N/A	A-5 b.	A-5 b. states "The Government is not liable for any equitable adjustment should the anticipated start dates not begin upon the dates represented." Section B CLINs XX10AA and XX20AA state that OCONUS differentials/allowances are additional allowances and benefits paid to employees for assignment to foreign areas in excess of an employee's base compensation, including but not limited to those referred to by the Department of State Standardized Regulation as Danger Pay Post Hardship Differential, Cost of Living Allowances, ... foreign services premiums or allowances..."	Given the inability to accurately predict changes to the additional allowances and benefits paid to employees for assignment to foreign areas in excess of an employee's base compensation referred to by the Department of State Standardization Regulation, can the Contractor expect an equitable adjustments to align rates with the Department of State Standardized Regulations?	No.
168	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.2 Entry Gate Criteria	L.2 states "Offeror must first demonstrate it meets the entry gate criteria within the five year period immediately preceding the proposal submission date." The proposal submission date is a variable requirement that could potentially change the recency period for assessing entry gate criteria should the Government issue amendments extending proposal due dates during the procurement process.	Will the Government revise Section L.2 Entry Gate Criteria to read "meets entry gate criteria within the five-year period immediately preceding the RFP release date" to provide a fixed rather than a variable date to determine the 5-year period to meet entry gate criteria?	No, the Government will not revise. The dates are not variable because it is based on data of initial proposal submission, not subseqent proposal submissions. Sentence revised to state the following: Offeror must first demonstrate it meets the entry gate criteria within the five year period immediately preceding the **initial** proposal submission date."

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
169	Section C	14	3.1.1 Program Management		PWS 3.1.1 states "The Contractor shall provide program management for AFMD missions and the Requirements of this PWS…The Contractor shall establish and maintain adequate management structure for efficient supervision of all employees."	Will the Government confirm that the cost of program management is an allowable cost required to support the statement of work and should be included in the T&M labor rates? Will the Government confirm that the cost of program management are an allowable cost required to support the statement of work and should be included in the CPFF labor rates or identified as an absorbed cost?	Program Management will not be directly billed. Would need to be considered as indirect cost.
170	Section C	14	2.11 Data Accession List (DAL)		PWS 2.11 states that the Contractor shall deliver a DAL that "...include but is not limited to Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), workbooks, and any other processes."	Do documents created by the Contractor and submitted as part of the DAL become Government property? Do the incumbent contracts include a DAL requirement and will Contractor-created work breakdown structure (WBS), workbooks, and any other processes be provided as Government Property to AFM III or future AFM IV Contractors for use / reference?	Yes. No.
171	Section C	14	2.10.2 Regional Crossover	Attachment 0025	CBAs are redacted and may include clauses stipulating that contract work cannot be performed by outside sources.	Will the Government provide copies of the unredacted CBAs so that Offerors can adequately assess the risk to successfully perform Regional Crossover?	See response to question 7.
172	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.2 Entry Gate Criteria	L.2 states "Offeror must first demonstrate it meets the entry gate criteria within the five year period immediately preceding the proposal submission date." The periods of performance for the AFM II East and West contracts span 8 years without options to extend services and the AFM III periods of performance span 10 years. Additionally, there appear to have only been 5 contracts awarded to non-OEMs under NAICS 488190 with OCONUS rotary wing maintenance sites since 30 September 2020.	Will the Government revise Section L.2 Entry Gate Criteria to read "meets entry gate criteria within the seven-year period…" to increase the pool of experienced Offerors given the limited number of competitive procurements requiring OCONUS DoW rotary wing maintenance within the last five years?	No.
173	Section J	N/A	N/A	Attachment 0008, Par 6 Financial Burn Metrics (PWS 3.1.4)		To ensure we are aligned with the government's expectations, we would appreciate clarification on the following points: Are the performance ratings (Excellent, Very Good, Satisfactory, etc.) intended to measure the variance between the ACEF-proposed values and the actual burn rate, or are they based solely on the percentage of funding used relative to the period of performance elapsed? For example, if the period of performance is 12 months and, at the end of the first quarter, the burn is at 25%, would this be considered "Excellent" as it is on track for a 100% burn at the end of 12 months? What specific factors are utilized in the calculation of this metric? Is the calculation intended to include all cost elements (e.g., labor, materials, ODCs), or is it the government's intent that this metric be calculated solely against labor hours and cost? How should materials and other non-labor costs be factored into the performance assessment, if at all? Is there a standard formula or reporting template that should be used to calculate and present this metric for government review? This clarification will help ensure accurate and consistent reporting in accordance with contract requirements and the government's intent.	ACEF proposed value is what gets funded for a particular time frame for an effort; all associated CLINS. Intent is to avoid over estimating and not fully utilizing customer funds. Metric measures as explained in paragraph 6.1. Yes. All cost elements, yes, as all make up the mission CLINs. It is understood that material may not have been ordered or received yet, and travel may not have occured or been paid out yet, but there is an expectation of a "checkbook/plan" (CDRL A021, and CDRL A036). No standard reporting template.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
174	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.2.1	Definition of “Offeror”	The draft RFP references the “Offeror” in connection with Entry Gate Criterion 4. Can the Government please clarify whether “Offeror” includes: - The prime contractor only; - The prime contractor together with proposed subcontractors; and/or - Affiliated entities (e.g., parent companies, subsidiaries, or joint venture partners)?	All; however the Offeror is formed, Affiliated entities (only if they are going to be committed to providing support - commitment letters).
175	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.2.1	Subcontractor Experience	To what extent may an offeror rely on the experience of proposed subcontractors to satisfy Entry Gate Criterion 4, particularly where the subcontractor will perform a substantial portion of the relevant maintenance requirements?	Importance of commitment letters or agreements….who is doing what role/responsability in the JV/partnership/Prime-sub relationship, and what experience they have in that role.
176	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.2.1	Joint Venture Experience	In the case of a joint venture, will the Government consider the experience of individual JV members in satisfying Entry Gate Criterion 4, and if so, are there any specific limitations or required demonstrations of performance responsibility?	See above.
177	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.2	Experience During Contract Performance	For purposes of satisfying the five-year recency requirement, will the Government consider contracts where relevant experience occurred at any point during contract performance within the five-year window, even if the contract itself began prior to that period?	Yes.
178	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.2	Alignment with RFP Release Date	Given the potential for variability in procurement timelines between draft RFP issuance and final proposal submission, has the Government considered measuring the five-year recency requirement from the date of final RFP issuance, rather than the proposal due date, to ensure a consistent and equitable evaluation baseline for all offerors?	No.
179	Section L	N/A	N/A	L.2	Intent of Recency Requirement	Is the Government’s intent in establishing the five-year recency requirement to ensure that offerors possess current and relevant operational experience, and if so, would the Government consider clarifying that experience demonstrated within five years of the RFP issuance date satisfies this intent?	Yes that is the intent. See response to question to 168.
180	Section J			Attachment 00025	CBAs are redacted. Unredacted copies of all CBAs are essential for accurately pricing Health and Welfare rates.	Will the Government release unredacted copies of all CBAs prior to release of the final RFP to prevent the incumbent contractor gaining a competitve advantage over offerors that will have less information and time to develop fully burdened labor rates than the incumbent contractor?	See response to question 7.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
181	Section J		3.1.4	Attachment 0008 - Paragraph 6 Financial Burn Metrics	To ensure we are aligned with the government's expectations, we would appreciate clarification related to reporting requirements so that we can ensure accurate and consistent reporting in accordance with contract requirements and the government's intent.	Are the performance ratings (Excellent, Very Good, Satisfactory, etc.) intended to measure the variance between the ACEF-proposed values and the actual burn rate, or are they based solely on the percentage of funding used relative to the period of performance elapsed? - For example, if the Period of Performance is 12 months and, at the end of the first quarter, the burn is at 25% would this be considered "Excellent" as it is on track for a 100% burn at the end of 12 months? - What specific factors are utilized in the calculation of this metric? - Is the calculation intended to include all cost elements (e.g., labor, materials, ODCs), or is it the government's intent that this metric be calculated solely against labor hours and cost? -How should materials and other non-labor costs be factored into the performance assessment, if at all? - Is there a standard formula or reporting template that should be used to calculate and present this metric for government review?	See question 173.
182	Section J	10	2.6.8	Attachment 0001 - Performance Work Statement	Lost hours may be rescheduled. PWS speaks to lost hours as a result of down days, weather days, and safety days. PWS states in the event of 3 consecutive down days, contractor shall seek guidance from COR.	Are government shutdowns that are ongoing for 3+ consecutive days as a result of a lapse in appropriations, considered to be lost work time?	Potentially. Contract funded missions do not lapse unless the funding runs out. Government shutdowns do not necessarily mean missions stop.
183	Section L			L.3.1.1 -j.b.	MWOs	Will the USG provide the MWOs listed in AFM-East Scenario #2 as GFI?	No, not needed for proposal purposes.
184	Section L			L.3.1.1 -k.b.	MWOs	Will the USG provide the MWOs listed in AFM-West Scenario #2 as GFI?	No, not needed for proposal purposes.
185	Section M			M.4.1 (1)-(3)	Factor 1: Technical	M.4.1 (1)-(3) states the technical evaluation approach for the CMP, however, the Section L.3.1.1 cross-references noted are specific to the Scenarios. Will the USG ensure these Section L and M references are aligned?	Correction made.
186	Section L			L.3.3.4.5(a)(3)	Attachment 0010 Skill Classification Crosswalk	Skill Classification Crosswalk Attachment 0010 appears to be required to be submitted with proposals, but it is only referenced in L.3.3.4.5(a)(3) as being traceable to Direct Labor Rates. Will the USG confirm this attachment shall be submitted with proposals, and if so, provide Section L instructions?	Attachment 0010 deleted; marked as reserved.
187	Section L			L.3.2.1.1.3-4	Government Contract Administration Activity	Will the USG confirm that the duplicated references to "Government Contract Administration Activity" referenced in L.3.2.1.1.3 and L.3.2.1.1.4 are intended to reference DCMA and DCAA, respectively?	The Government contract administration activity is usually referred to as "DCMA".
188				Attachment 0024	Attachment 0024 Past Performance Questionnaire	Attachment 0024 is not set up to be filled in by the reviewer. Will the USG either provide a fillable version or confirm that the template may be altered to allow fill-ins?	See response to question 99.
189	Section L			L.1.2.2	Font Size of Headers/Footers	Will the USG confirm that the header and footer font size can be no less than 10-point?	Section L.1.2.2 specifically provides guidance on font requirements. Please adhere to outlined submission instructions ONLY as it relates to font sizes.
190	Section L			L.1.2.2	Blank Line	Will the USG confirm what it considers to be a "blank line" and if using 12-point spacing after the paragraph would be compliant with this requirement?	Per Section L.1.2.2., each paragraph should be single spaced separated by at least one blank line. "Blank line" refers to the actual space between paragrahs along with the required font and font size outlined in this section as well.

Question #	RFP Area	PWS Page Reference	PWS Paragraph Reference	Additional Cross Reference	Discussion	Question	Government's Response
191	Section L			L.1.2.1	Title Page	Will the USG confirm that the title page and/or summary section of each volume is excluded from page count? If so, will the USG add those to the list of excluded sections in listing in Section L.1.21?	Refer to Section L.1.2.1 for page count exclusions; no other revisions will be made.
192	Section L			L.3.1	Factor 1: Technical	Will the USG confirm the Comprehensive Management Plan (CMP) is to address either East OR West (not both) depending on which proposal is being bid? If so, will the USG clarify the wording in this section to change the word "and" to "or"?	Section L.3.1. specifically states CMP is applicable for East and West Aviation Services and Section L.1.1. clarifies region-specific proposal submission requirements.