Attachment 1: Past Performance Questionnaire – youth sports Officals

The 673d Contracting squadron of the Air Force Pacific Air Forces Command is in the process of competitively selecting a source for the Youth Sports officials and scorekeeper's program. The Air Force is looking for a contractor to provide the expertise and management necessary to conduct officiatiung services for a youth military sports program at Joint base Elmendorf-richardson Alaska.

One of the considerations in proposal evaluation is the verification of the offerors' past performance on contracts or other work efforts which reflect the offeror's ability to perform on the proposed effort. We depend on information received from organizations such as yours, which have had first-hand experience with the offeror, for the evaluation of the offeror's performance on those contracts or work efforts.

Our areas of interest regarding the offeror are summarized in the enclosed questionnaire. In order to meet the acquisition milestones, we request your written response no later than 18 March 2026. This schedule will allow us sufficient time to analyze the data prior to the start of negotiations.

To assist you in preparing your response and expediting your reply, the questionnaire may be filled out electronically and e-mailed to: rex.edades@us.af.mil; alexander.tate@us.af.mil and Brian.Gitau@us.af.mil. Please call A1C Alexander tate at 907-552-5731 for any questions or concerns. Your completed questionnaire will become a part of the official source selection records.

Your assistance is greatly appreciated and your prompt response will be one of the keys to the successful and timely completion of this Source Selection.

Date: 2026.02.24 17:39:25
-09'00'

Brian N. Gitau, SrA,USAF
Contracting Officer

1 Atch
Past Performance Questionnaire

Attachment 1**:** Past Performance Questionnaire – youth sports Officals

Section 1: Contract Identification

A. Contractor (Company/Division):

B. Contractor Cage Code:

C. Contract Number:

D. Contract Type (e.g., FFP, FPIF, CPIF, CPFF, etc.):

E. Program Title:

F. Brief Program Description:

G. Program Phase (e.g., Engineering & Manufacturing Development (EMD)):

H. Period of Performance

1. Original Schedule (assuming all options exercised):
   Beginning Date _____ through _____
2. Current Schedule (assuming all options exercised):
   Beginning Date _____ through _____
3. Reason for difference (if applicable):

I. Contract Dollar Value

1. Original maximum contract dollar value (assuming all options exercised): $
2. Current maximum contract dollar value (assuming all options exercised): $
3. Reasons for difference between original and current contract dollar value (if applicable):

J. Description of work performed:

K. Was this a competitively awarded contract? ☐ Yes ☐ No

L. Contractor's Role: ☐ Prime Contractor ☐ Subcontractor ☐ Key Personnel

Note**:** If offeror holds or has held other contracts with your agency/organization in the last three (3) years, please complete separate Past Performance Questionnaire forms for those contracts as well.

Section 2: Customer or Agency Identification

A. Customer or agency name:

B. Customer or agency description (if applicable):

C. Geographic description of work performed under this contract (i.e., local, nationwide, worldwide, other Commands):

Section 3: Respondent Identification

A. Respondent's name:

B. Respondent's title:

C. Respondent's phone/fax number/e-mail address:

D. Length of time (number of years/months) respondent worked on subject contract and description of responsibility/position/role:

E. Other suggested points of contact:

Section 4: Performance Information

In the table below, indicate your rating for the contractor's performance by placing an "X" in the appropriate block to the right of each question. Provide supporting information for each response in the space provided. Attach additional pages if more space is needed. The performance rating scale is defined as follows:

Code	Performance Rating
E	**EXCEPTIONAL** – Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many requirements to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.
V	**VERY GOOD –** Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some requirements to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.
S	**SATISFACTORY** – Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance being assessed contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.
M	**MARGINAL** – Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions or the contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.
U	**UNSATISFACTORY** – Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance being assessed contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

Attachment 1**:** Past Performance Questionnaire – youth sports Officals

N/A **NOT APPLICABLE** – Unable to provide a rating. Contract did not include performance for this aspect, performance was not observed, or information was not available. Do not know.

Quality of Service/ Technical performance / management	**E**	**V**	**S**	**M**	**U**	**N/A**
1. How well did the contractor meet the technical requirements of the contract? SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. How was the contractor ability to hire, maintain, and replace, if necessary, qualified personnel during the contract period? SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. How well did the contractor respond to any outages, emergencies, or changes regarding the contract? SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. How would you rate the contractor's ability to meet timelines/deadlines? SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. how would you rate the contractor's ability to effectively communicate with you regarding all aspects of the contract?. SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. How would you rate the contractor's ability to correct deficiencies in timely manner? SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. How well did the contractor Provide timely resolution of contract discrepancies? SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. How would you rate the contractor's initiative to solve problems? SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Attachment 1: Past Performance Questionnaire – youth sports Officals

Quality of Service/ Technical performance / management	**E**	**V**	**S**	**M**	**U**	**N/A**
9. How would you rate the contractor's overall performance on this contract? SUPPORTING INFORMATION:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
10. Was the contractor ever issued a cure or show cause notice under the referenced contract? If yes, explain. EXPLANATION:	☐ Yes ☐ No					
11. Would you award another contract to this contractor? If not, explain. EXPLANATION:	☐ Yes ☐ No					

IF THIS WAS A GOVERNMENT CONTRACT:

Did this contract include FAR 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, did the contractor comply with the clause? Please explain.

Did this contract include FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, did the contractor comply with the clause? Please explain.

Additional remarks:

[click and pick the date]

Respondent's Signature Date

Thank you for your prompt response and assistance!
Please return this completed questionnaire to:

Mailing Address:
10480 Sijan Ave STE 242, JBER, AK, 99506-2501, United States.

E-Mail to:
rex.edades@us.af.mil
alexander.tate@us.af.mil
Brian.Gitau@us.af.mil