AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
J	1		20

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
0002	08 JUN 2026		

6. ISSUED BY	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)*	CODE
W6QK ACC-APG 6515 INTEGRITY COURT, ABERDEEN PROVING GROU MD 21005-5001 ABERDEEN PROVING GROU, MD 21005-5001 UNITED STATES NOAH LANE, EMAIL: NOAH.R.LANE.CIV@ARMY.MIL TELEPHONE: 520-725-6744	W91CRB	SCD: PAS:	

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*	(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER
	☒	W91CRB26RA020
		9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)* 13 MAY 2026
	☐	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER
		10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*
CODE / FACILITY CODE		

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended. ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

SEE SECTION G - CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
☐	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

This amendment will extend this solicitation to 9 July 2026 at 10AM.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
		TODD STRASAVICH	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		*(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	09 JUN 2026

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Section A - Solicitation/Contract Form

The following changes have been made:

INFORMATION	FROM	TO
Response Due Date	04 Jun 2026	09 Jul 2026

Section B - Supplies or Services & Prices or Costs

The following CLIN(s) / SLIN(s) / ELIN(s) were modified:

0002

INFORMATION	FROM	TO	AMOUNT +/-
Description	ADMAS unit assembly	Engineering Support Services and Unit Assembly	
Estimated Quantity	1	1	
Unit Price	USD 3,000,000.00	USD 9,000,000.00	USD +6,000,000.00
Amount	USD 3,000,000.00	USD 9,000,000.00	USD +6,000,000.00

The following CLIN(s) / SLIN(s) / ELIN(s) were deleted:

Item	Supplies / Services	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Amount

0003	ODCs Claimant Program Code: S1 Pricing Arrangement: Cost No Fee	1	Job		

Section E - Inspection and Acceptance

The inspection and acceptance locations for the following CLIN(s) / SLIN(s) / ELIN(s) were deleted:

0003
Inspection and Acceptance Location - DoDAAC: W81C5M

Section F - Deliveries or Performance

The delivery information for the following CLIN(s) / SLIN(s) / ELIN(s) were deleted:

0003
Period of Performance

Section J - List of Attachments

The attachments table has changed from:

Identifier	Document Name	Document Description	Reference Identifier	Date	Line Item	Page Numbers	Document Type	Provided Under Separate Cover
0001	B08 ATC Sceintific and Enginering	Performance Work Statement		05 May 2026			Attachment	No

	Support Services Performance Work Statement							
0002	B08 Attachment 2 Scientific and Engineering Support_Price_Model 05052026	Cost/Price_Model		05 May 2026			Attachment	No
0003	B08 Wage Determination 2015-4265 16 January 2026	Wage Determination		06 Feb 2026			Attachment	No
0004	Labor Category Descriptions Scientific and Engineering	Labor Category Description		06 Feb 2026			Attachment	No
0005	2025.05.08 - QASP Scientific and Engineering	Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan		06 Feb 2026			Attachment	No
AA	A001 Monthly Progress Report	Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL)		06 Feb 2026	0002		Exhibit	No
AB	A002 Monthly Financial Status	Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL)		06 Feb 2026	0002		Exhibit	No
0006	Sample Task	Sample Task		12 May 2026			Attachment	No

To:

Identifier	Document Name	Document Description	Reference Identifier	Date	Line Item	Page Numbers	Document Type	Provided Under Separate Cover
0001	B08 ATC Sceintific and Enginering Support Services Performance Work Statement	Performance Work Statement		05 May 2026			Attachment	No

0002	B08 Attachment 2 Scientific and Engineering Support_Price_Model 06082026	Cost/Price_Model		05 May 2026			Attachment	No
0003	B08 Wage Determination 2015-4265 16 January 2026	Wage Determination		06 Feb 2026			Attachment	No
0004	Labor Category Descriptions Scientific and Engineering	Labor Category Description		06 Feb 2026			Attachment	No
0005	2025.05.08 - QASP Scientific and Engineering	Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan		06 Feb 2026			Attachment	No
AA	A001 Monthly Progress Report	Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL)		06 Feb 2026	0002		Exhibit	No
AB	A002 Monthly Financial Status	Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL)		06 Feb 2026	0002		Exhibit	No
0006	Sample Task	Sample Task		12 May 2026			Attachment	No

The following contract documents were added:

B08 Attachment 2 Scientific and Engineering Support_Price_Model 06082026

The following contract documents were deleted:

B08 Attachment 2 Scientific and Engineering Support_Price_Model 05052026

The following contract documents Page Counts were changed:

DOCUMENT PAGE COUNTS		
DOCUMENT NAME	FROM	TO
B08 Attachment 2 Scientific and Engineering Support_Price_Model 06082026		none

Section L - Instructions, Conditions, & Notices to Offerors or Quoters

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

A. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION (Section L)

1. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

a. Each volume shall be submitted electronically via email. Any portion of the proposal that is changed (as a result of negotiations or proposal revisions) should be annotated and dated. Each volume shall be clearly labeled with its Title and a copy number (e.g., copy 1 of 5).

b. Each paragraph should be single spaced and shall be separated by at least one blank line. A standard, 12-point minimum font size applies. Arial or New Times Roman fonts are required. Tables and illustrations may use a reduced font size no less than 8-point and may be produced in landscape mode.

c. The following volumes of material shall be submitted:

Volume	Title	Digital Copies	Maximum Pages
I	Technical (Factor 1)	1	25
II	Past Performance (Factor 2)	1	25
III	Price (Factor 3)	1	No page limit
IV	Solicitation, Offer and Award Documents, and	1	No page limit

	Certifications / Representations		

NOTE: Pages that exceed the required page limitations will not be evaluated. Additional pages over the maximum allowed will be removed or not read and will not be evaluated by the Government.

2. PROPOSAL FILES

a. Format. The submission shall be clearly indexed . Each volume shall be clearly identified and shall begin at the top of a page. All pages of each volume shall be appropriately numbered and identified by the complete company name, date and Request for Proposal (RFP) number in the header and/or footer. MS Word (doc) files shall use the following page setup parameters:

Margins - Top, Bottom, Left, and Right - 1"

From Edge - Header, Footer 0.5"

Page Size, Width - 8.5"

Page Size, Height - 11"

The following additional restrictions apply:

b. File Packaging. All of the proposal files shall be compressed (zipped) into one file entitled (Company Name Scientific and Engineering Support Services) proposal zip using WinZip version 6.2 or later, or as separate uploads in their native format, i.e., doc, xls, ppt, etc., and provided via email. Files shall be in read-only format, using PDF files. All price breakdown information to aide in the price evaluation shall be submitted in Microsoft Office Excel Read/Write format and viewable in Microsoft Excel 2007**Please note - Self extracting exe files are not acceptable.

c. Content Requirement. All information shall be confined to the appropriate file. Each file of the proposal shall consist of a Table of Contents, Summary Section, and the Narrative discussion. The Summary Section shall contain a brief abstract of the file. Proprietary information shall be clearly marked. The following shall be included in the Narrative discussion:

(i) **VOLUME I - Technical Factor 1**. One Technical volume will be submitted in accordance with the Performance Work Statement (PWS) and all other requirements described in the Solicitation and all attachments.

(ii) **VOLUME II - Past Performance.** This volume shall contain past performance information regarding recent and relevant contracts for the prime offeror and their major subcontractors who will perform in support of this contract

Contract information shall be submitted for contracts performed during the past three years, from the issue date of this RFP. Relevant efforts are defined as services/efforts that are the same as or similar to the effort (as compared to North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code 541330 required by the RFP. Past performance information concerning the offeror shall be provided first, followed by each proposed major subcontractor, in alphabetical order. The Offeror shall also submit the written consent of its major subcontractors to allow the disclosure of its subcontractor's past performance information to the Offeror. In addition, letters of commitment shall be included for all major subcontractors for their past performance to be considered. **NOTE:** Submissions. Offerors are discouraged from submitting contact reference information from a contractor who may be proposing for this requirement. This volume shall be organized into the following sections:

(1) Section 1 - Contract Descriptions. This section shall include the following information in the order below.

a. Offerors must make clear within the submitted references whether their role was a Prime Contractor or a Subcontractor. If the work was performed as a subcontractor, also provide the following information:

i. Contractor organization

ii. Current address

iii. Point of Contact

iv. Place of Performance

v. CAGE Code

vi. E-mail address

vii. Telephone

b. Offerors shall submit current address, e-mail address and telephone number for all the below:

i. Government contracting activity (Procuring Contracting Officer) Government's technical representative/Contracting Officer's Representative

ii. Government contract administration activity (Administrative Contracting Officer's name).

iii. Contract Number and, in the case of Indefinite Delivery type contracts, GSA contracts, and Blanket Purchase Agreements, include Delivery Order Numbers.

c. Contract Type (specific type such as Fixed-Price (FP), Cost Reimbursement (CR), Time & Material (T&M), etc.). In the case of Indefinite Delivery contracts, indicate specific type (Requirements, Definite Quantity, and Indefinite Quantity) and secondary contract type (FP, CR, T&M, etc.).

d. Awarded price/cost of the submitted references.

e. Final or projected final price/cost.

f. Original delivery schedule, including dates of start and completion of work.

g. Final or projected final, delivery schedule, including dates of start and completion of work.

(2) Section 2 - Performance. Offerors shall provide a brief narrative explanation of each contract listed in Section 1, Contract Description, describing the objectives achieved and detailing how the effort is relevant to the requirement of this RFP.

(a) For any contracts that did not/do not meet original schedule or technical performance requirements, provide a brief explanation of the reason(s) for the shortcomings and any corrective action(s) taken to avoid recurrence. The offerors shall list each time the delivery schedule was revised and provide an explanation of why the revision was necessary. All Requests for Deviation and Requests for Waiver shall be addressed with respect to causes and corrective actions. The offerors shall also provide copy of any Cure Notices or Show Cause Letters received on each contract listed and a description of any corrective action implemented by the offeror or proposed subcontractor. The offerors shall indicate if any of the contracts listed were terminated and the type and reasons for the termination.

(b) For all contracts, the offeror shall provide data on all manufacturing warranty returns.

(4) Section 3 - New Corporate Entities. New corporate entities may submit data on prior contracts involving its offices and employees. However, in addition to the other requirements in this section, the offeror shall discuss in detail the role performed by such persons in the prior contracts cited. Information should be included in the files described in the sections above. Letters of Commitment shall be included in the proposal for these employees to be considered.

(5) Past Performance Questionnaire. For all contracts identified in Section 1, Contract Descriptions , a Past Performance Questionnaire must be completed and submitted. The offeror shall complete Part I of the Past Performance Questionnaire and e-mail the questionnaire to both the Government contracting activity and technical representative responsible for the past/current contract. The POCs shall be instructed to electronically complete Part II of the questionnaire and e-mail the entire questionnaire to the Contracting Office no later than the proposal due date, to noah.r.lane.civ@army.mil. The offeror shall e-mail the Contracting Officer a list of all the POCs who were sent a questionnaire. The Government must receive this list no later than the proposal's due date. The POC List shall be submitted in Word for Windows Table Format to include the following fields: Solicitation Number; Company Name; Contract Number; Government Agency; POC Last Name, First Name; POC Title; POC Telephone Number; POC E-mail Address; and Date E-Mail to POC (month/day).

(i) **VOLUME III -Price**

The total evaluated price will be the sum of all CLINs on tab "Section B" of the Price Model spreadsheet tool included in the Solicitation as Attachment 2. In order to be considered for award, all Offerors must complete and submit the completed Price Model in accordance with the instructions provided therein. The total price of the FFP CLIN will be set at award. All prices evaluated during proposal will be to determine the best value to the Government based on a total of all labor categories priced.

Offeror price proposals will be evaluated based on the stated criteria in Section M. This volume shall consist of all information, required to support proposed costs and prices. Certified cost and pricing data are not currently required; however, the Government reserves the right to request such data prior to award. The information submitted in this volume shall comply with FAR 15.408, Table 15-2, and the requirements set forth below. There are no page limitations for this volume.

(1) The offeror shall ensure that the information submitted in this volume is consistent with and fully supports the amounts set forth in the SF33 and continuation sheets.

(2) The proposal shall set forth a summary of the total estimated costs by cost element and shall provide a breakdown of the proposed estimated costs of each CLIN 0001 and 0002 separately, including all direct and indirect charges and fees. In order to maintain a minimum level of commonality between proposals, each offeror is required to complete (in entirety) the Price Model provided as Attachment 2 and fully price the sample task Attachment 4. The spreadsheets contained in the Cost Model tool shall be completed for each year. All documentation furnished to support the cost summaries are to be provided in a format chosen by the offeror that is easily traceable to the cost summary sheets. Each offeror and any subcontractors shall submit both a protected and unprotected copy (showing formulas, etc) of the cost summary spreadsheets as part of their cost/price proposal.

(3) The specific direct labor rates utilized to price the proposal must be identified in the cost and pricing proposal only. The proposal shall set forth a breakdown of the direct and indirect rates by category and the rationale thereof. The same support data shall be furnished for all major subcontractors.

(5) Direct labor hours and rates shall be delineated by labor category by contract year for the offeror and each subcontractor. The labor hour delineation must be directly traceable to the same information proposed in the Technical Volume.

(6) Pursuant to the Service Contract Act of 1965, the determination by the Secretary of Labor as to the applicable minimum monetary wage and fringe benefits will be made part of any resulting contract. The offeror shall provide a crosswalk of the proposed labor categories against the applicable Area Wage Determinations.

(7) For proposal preparation purposes, the expected or approximate date for initiation of contract performance is 20 June 2026.

(8) The offeror shall submit a complete breakdown of costs by major subcontractor. A major subcontractor is defined as a member of the offeror's overall team who is expected to perform 10% or more of the proposed effort.

(9) All offerors are required to document that they are financially capable of performing the proposed effort in accordance with FAR 9-104-1(a) & DFARS 253.209-1. Suitable supporting documentation should include financial statements and auditor's letters.

(iv) VOLUME IV - SOLICITATIONS, OFFER AND AWARD DOCUMENTS AND CERTIFICATIONS /REPRESENTATIONS

Certifications and Representations - Each offeror shall complete (fill-in and signatures) the solicitation sections indicated below using the file (without modification to the file) provided with the RFP. An authorized official of the firm shall sign the SF 33 and all certifications requiring original signature. An Acrobat PDF file shall be created to capture the signatures for submission.

Section A - Standard Form 33 (SF 33), Solicitation, Offer, Award and any Amendments

Section B - "Supplies or Services and Prices," with the offeror's proposed line item prices inserted in the appropriate spaces.

Section K - Representations, Certification and Other Statements of Offerors

Fill-In Clauses:

52.229-11 Tax on Certain Foreign Procurements-Notice and Representation.

252.204-7017 Prohibition on the Acquisition of Covered Defense Telecommunications Equipment or Services-Representation.

DFARS 252.203-7005 Representation Relating to Compensation of Former DoD Officials (NOV 2011)

DFARS 252.204-7008 Compliance with Safeguarding Covered Defense Information Controls

Section L- Instructions, conditions, and notices to offerors or respondents

52.219-4 Notice of Price Evaluation Preference for HUBZone Small Business Concerns. (Deviation)

Solicitations, Offer and Award Documents and Certifications/Representations shall not be addressed separately from that submitted in VOLUME IV - SOLICITATIONS, OFFER AND AWARD DOCUMENTS AND CERTIFICATIONS /REPRESENTATIONS.

DISCUSSIONS

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Government reserves the right to award without entering into Discussions in accordance with FAR 15.306(a)(3).

Section M - Evaluation Factors for Award

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

B. BASIS FOR AWARD (Section M)

The source selection for Scientific and Engineering support Services is a competitive, best value trade-off conducted in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) **Subpart 15.3,** as

supplemented by the Defense FAR Supplement (DFARS) **Subpart 215.3.** The Government expects to award approximately one (1) T&M and Cost type contract on a best value trade-off basis between the Technical, Price and Past Performance factors.

The Technical factor is significantly more important than the Price factor, which is equally important to the Past Performance**.** To receive consideration for award, a rating of no less than "Acceptable" must be achieved for the technical factor and past performance factors. The price factor must be determined to be fair and reasonable.

Offerors are cautioned that the award may not necessarily be made to the highest technical rating or lowest price offered and the government reserves the right to make award without conducting discussions.

C. FACTORS TO BE EVALUATED

The following evaluation factors will be used to evaluate each proposal: Award will be made to the offeror whose proposal is most advantageous to the Government based upon an integrated assessment of the evaluation factors described below. The Government reserves the right to make award based upon the Price factor in the event that the Technical and Past Performance evaluation results of all the offerors' proposals are substantially the same.

Award Eligibility: Based on the foregoing, the Government will select for award the proposal(s) determined to be the most advantageous to the Government considering all Section M evaluation factors. Further, prior to award, the offeror(s) must be determined eligible in accordance with the following:

Responsibility: To be eligible for award, apparent awardees must be deemed "responsible" in accordance with FAR Subpart 9.1

Factor 1 Technical (O/G/A/M/U)

Factor 2 Past Performance (A/U)

Factor 3 Price (Fair and Reasonable)

Factor 1: Technical Factor: The overarching evaluation approach will utilize the following rating scheme for the technical factor.

The offerors shall address the factor within its technical proposal. Offerors must receive a rating of acceptable or better.

COMBINED TECHNICAL/RISK RATINGS	
Rating	**Description**
Outstanding	Proposal indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements and contains multiple strengths, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low.
Good	Proposal indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements and contains at least one strength, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low to moderate.
Acceptable	Proposal meets requirements and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements. Strengths and weaknesses are offsetting or will have little or no impact on contract performance. Risk of unsuccessful performance is no worse than moderate.
Marginal	Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and/or risk unsuccessful performance is high.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet requirements of the solicitation, and thus, contains one or more deficiencies, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is unacceptable. Proposal is not awardable.

Definitions:

Strength: an aspect of an offeror's proposal that has merit or exceeds specified performance or capability requirements in a way that will be advantageous to the Government during contract performance.

Weakness: a flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance. See FAR 15.001.

Significant Weakness: A flaw in the proposal that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

Deficiency: a material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level. See FAR 15.001.Risk: as it pertains to source selection, is the potential for unsuccessful contract performance. The consideration of risk assesses the degree to which an offeror's proposed approach to achieving the technical factor or sub-factor may involve risk of disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance, the need for increased Government oversight, and the likelihood of unsuccessful contract performance.

***Note that a separate "risk rating" will not be assigned as risk of unsuccessful performance is incorporated into the Combined Technical/Risk Rating definitions.**

Factor 2: Past Performance:

The Past Performance factor will be evaluated as acceptable or unacceptable using the Offeror's demonstrated record of recent and relevant experience in providing products/services related to the contract requirements.

Factor3: Price:

The resulting award will be an T&M and FFP IDIQ. Price reasonableness will be utilized in the evaluation of the T&M rates.

D. EVALUATION APPROACH

All proposals shall be evaluated by the Source Selection Team (SST).

1. The overarching evaluation approach for all factors is as follows:

a. Response. The proposal will be evaluated to determine whether the offeror's methods and approach have completely considered, defined, and satisfy the requirements specified in the RFP.

b. Feasibility of Approach. The proposal will be evaluated to determine the extent to which the proposed approach is workable and the end results achievable. The proposal will be evaluated to determine the extent to which successful performance is contingent upon proven

devices and techniques. The proposal will be evaluated to determine the extent to which the offeror is expected to be able to successfully complete the proposed tasks and technical requirements within the required schedule.

The Technical Factor

Step 1. The technical factor will be rated in accordance with Table 1 below. To be rated as "Acceptable" under the technical factor, the proposal shall clearly address the topics listed in Section L and demonstrate the offeror's understanding of the technical requirements presented in the PWS. The offeror will also submit proposals for the sample task provided which will be evaluated based on criteria from each sample task definition.

Proposals shall be rated according to the table below based on meeting all requirements to receive an acceptable rating. Any strengths given for exceeding requirements will be used to determine the overall technical factor rating.

TABLE 1 - COMBINED TECHNICAL/RISK RATINGS

Color	Rating	Description
Blue	Outstanding	Proposal indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements and contains multiple strengths, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low.
Purple	Good	Proposal indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements and contains at least one strength, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low to moderate.
Green	Acceptable	Proposal meets requirements and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the

		requirements, and risk of unsuccessful performance is no worse than moderate.
Yellow	Marginal	Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is high.
Red	Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet requirements of the solicitation, and thus, contains one or more deficiencies, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is unacceptable. Proposal is not awardable.

Step 2. The technical proposal is evaluated and rated based on strength of technical proposals to PWS requirements and rigor of the completed sample task (Attachment 4 Sample Task) ensuring criteria requested within the sample task are addressed.

Price information provided as part of the sample task proposal will only be evaluated as an estimate to determine price realism of proposal and technical understanding of the task. Sample task cost will not be part of the technical or cost factor evaluation.

Past Performance Factor. Each offeror's past performance proposal will be rated as either "Acceptable or Unacceptable" and is based on an assessment of the past performance submitted and the Government's expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort. This assessment is based on the offeror's record of relevant and recent past performance information that pertain to the product/services described in the solicitation. The Government will evaluate whether the offeror's present/past performance is relevant or not relevant to the services described in the solicitation. The Government will also evaluate how well the offeror performed on the contracts detailed in the offeror's proposal.

The Government will focus its evaluation on the forms and questionnaires provided by the Offeror in its proposal and may consider data obtained from other sources, including data in Government

files or data obtained through interviews with personnel familiar with the contractor and their current and past performance Government contracts for same or similar services that are relevant and recent.

Under this factor, the Government may evaluate third party references provided as to each Offerors and major subcontractor's relevant and recent efforts, to verify the probability of successful accomplishment of the required effort. Relevant efforts are defined as services/efforts that are the same as or similar in size and complexity to the portion(s) of the PWS that the prime offeror or applicable major subcontractor is proposed to perform. Recent efforts are defined as those performed during the last five years, from the issue date of this RFQ.

Marginal ratings in CPARS may be considered Unacceptable. Areas where third party reference information will be sought are indicated on the Past Performance Questionnaire attached to this Solicitation. Where a reference indicates that an Offeror's performance was Unacceptable or Marginal in a particular area, the Government will consider any information provided by the Offeror to explain or rebut any Unacceptable or Marginal performance in a particular area. The burden is on the Offeror to identify in its proposal any and all extenuating circumstances which may bear on the Government's evaluation of unfavorable reference information.

For the past performance factor, offerors will be evaluated in accordance with the chart below. This rating considers the assessed quality of the relevant/recent efforts gathered.

TABLE 1 -Past Performance Factor	
Rating	Description
Acceptable	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror's performance record is unknown. (See note below).
Unacceptable	

	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror's performance record is unknown

In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR 15.305 (a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown (or "neutral") past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, a neutral rating shall be considered "acceptable."

Price Factor. Adjectival ratings shall not be used for offerors' Price proposals. The Government will fully evaluate and negotiate all priced Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs) for award. For award purposes, the total proposed price for all CLINs will be added together into a total sum (contract value). The evaluation of all option CLINs will not obligate the Government to exercise any option. The Offeror's Cost/Price proposal will be evaluated as follows.

The Price factor will not be evaluated with adjectival ratings but will be evaluated for fair & reasonableness. The total prices submitted for all CLINs (0001) and the unit prices submitted on attachment **B08 Attachment 2 Scientific and Engineering Support_Price_Model 06082026** will be evaluated for fairness and reasonableness.

Part 9 adequate resources: The information submitted IAW FAR 9.104-1(a) will only be assessed for those offerors included in the competitive range. The information will be assessed to determine the offeror's possession of or the ability to obtain adequate financial resources in order to perform a potential contract borne from the solicitation.

Price Analysis: CLINs are T&M and FFP:

- IAW FAR 15.404-1, the analytical techniques and procedures used in the price analysis will be determined by the Contracting Office;

- IAW FAR 15.404-1(a)(2), price analysis will be used when certified cost or pricing data is not required;

- IAW FAR 15.404-1(b), an offeror's proposed CLIN prices, will be evaluated for price fairness and reasonableness; and

Proposed price will not be adjectively rated. A total price will be evaluated for each Offeror. The total evaluated price will be the summation of Section B, CLINs, in the RFP.

DISCUSSIONS

1. GENERAL INFORMATION. In accordance with FAR 15.306(d), discussion sessions with each offeror may be held. Should discussions take place, all offerors in the competitive range will be allowed a minimum of 5 calendar days to submit Final Proposal Revisions.

2. DISCUSSION SCHEDULING. If discussions are conducted, the Contracting Officer will schedule the discussion sessions, and each offeror will be notified of the time and place at least 2 business days prior to their discussion session. Appropriate security clearances should be provided by the offerors in sufficient time to process the requests. The Contracting Officer will provide additional instructions with the notification. The discussion sessions will take place at a facility of the Government's choosing in the Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland area or via teams.

3. AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS: The Government reserves the right to award without entering into Discussions in accordance with FAR 15.306(a)(3).