ATTACHMENT 6 – INDUSTRY QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,
Huntsville Engineering and Support Center

Real Property Support Services
Multiple Award Task Order Contract
Generation III

Solicitation: W912DY26RA026
Estimated Value: $60 Million

This document contains proprietary, or source selection information related to the conduct of a Federal Agency procurement. The office of Federal Procurement Policy restricts the disclosure and receipt of this information (41 U.S.C. Div. B of subtitle I). the unauthorized disclosure of this information may subject both the discloser and the recipient of the contractual, civil, and/or criminal penalties as provided by law.

RPSS III "Draft" Solicitation Industry Questions & Answers		
W912DY26RA026		
#	Contractor Question	Government Response
1	ID# 11811357- Draft PWS requires contractors to have CMMC Level 2 (Self-certified), while Solicitation requires CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) at time of contract award. Many 8(a)s will not yet have achieved Level 2 3rd party assessed due to the costs involved; this will severely limit the level of competition and potential awards. Can the govt clarify and limit it to CMMC Level 2 self-certified as in the PWS?	RFO DFARS clause 252.204-7021 was revised to reflect that CMMC status level to be identified in the solicitation and award at the task order level as either a CMMC Level 1, (Self); CMMC Level 2 (Self); CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO); or CMMC Level 3 (DIBCAC), compliance with which shall be required at task order proposal, task order award, and throughout the entire performance of the task order
2	ID # 11813896 - Will the government please clarify the number and type of key personnel required and the number of key personnel resumes required (minimum and maximum) at time of submission? For example, does the government require only two key personnel as indicated in PWS section 5.1 and 5.2.1 (Program Manager and Program Analyst for GFEBS), with a minimum and maximum of one resume for each position (i.e., two resumes)? In addition to those two key personnel, does the government also require two key personnel for each of the eleven (11) performance objectives listed (2.1 - 2.11), for a total of twenty-two (22) designated key personnel? If the additional 22 are required, does the government expect/require 22 unique key personnel or will some lesser combination suffice? For example, a minimum of "less than 22 resumes" and a maximum of twenty-two (22) resumes? In summary, is the government expecting up to 24 key personnel resumes on this small business set-aside procurement?	The contractor need only provide one resume for a Program Manager and one resume for a Program Analyst. Minimum of two, maximum of four individual resumes who will function as technical managers shall be submitted and must exhibit experiences in one or more property systems of record.
3	ID #11828861 -The instructions state: "The Offeror shall provide resumes for a minimum of two individuals who will function as Technical Managers in each of the fields listed in the PWS paragraph 2, Performance Objectives." - Can a single individual be a Technical Manager in multiple Performance Objective areas?	Yes, a single individual can be proposed for multiple performance objectives. The contractor is reminded that the Government has not requested that each of the individual performance objectives be addressed within the resumes of the technical managers. Within the

		resumes, the contractor is only required to address the five areas listed within the solicitation as requiring subject matter experts.
4	ID# 11828864 - It looks like both Field Validation & Assessment as well as Space Management Support are listed in 2.3. Are those meant to be addressed as individual Performance Objectives?	Those work products are listed under the section titled 'Performance Objectives'. The solicitation does not contain a requirement for the contractor to address individual performance objectives within it's response. It is advisable but not required.
5	ID # 11828870 -With all qualified Offerors receiving a MATOC, how will individual task orders on the MATOC be awarded? How will the government determine which Offeror receives each task order?	Task Order's evaluation criteria will be identified at the TO solicitation which will be provided to all MATOC awardees.
6	ID # 11828877- Are resumes required for any key personnel identified in the PWS (Program Manager, Program Analysts, SMEs) or only Technical Managers for each of the disciplines IAW paragraph 2 of the PWS, as indicated in Section L.	This question has been addressed within the revisions to the PWS and the revised solicitation. Contractors are required to submit resumes for both the Program Manager and the Program Analyst, and minimum of two resumes or maximum 4 for technical managers for disciplines outlined in the solicitation.
7	ID # 11832149 - The Offeror shall provide resumes for a minimum of two individuals who will function as Technical Managers in each of the fields listed in the PWS paragraph 2, Performance Objectives. PWS paragraph 2 lists 11 performance objects. Does the Government require 22 key personnel/subject matter experts to provide this support or was it the Governments intent to reference PWS Section 5. Contractor Key Personnel?	See ID # 11813896 for response.
8	ID #11832158 -The Offeror shall provide resumes for a minimum of two individuals who will function as Technical Managers in each of the fields listed in the PWS paragraph 2, Performance Objectives. PWS section 5. Contractor Key Personnel indicates a Program	See ID # 11813896 for response.

	Manager and a Program Analyst are key personnel. Are two resumes required for each?	
9	ID #11832160 -The Government will evaluate up to two resumes of individuals who will function as Technical Managers in each of the disciplines IAW paragraph 2 of the PWS. How will proposals be evaluated where the offeror proposed less than two resumes for Technical Managers in one or more disciplines?	The Offeror shall provide resumes for the Program Manager, the Program Analyst and a minimum of two individuals but not more than four individuals who will function as Technical Managers. Technical Manager resumes must exhibit experience with one or more real property systems of record.
10	ID #11832170 - Each resume will be evaluated based on the total cumulative years of relative work experience, years of experience with real property systems of record, educational background, and degree level. How will proposals be evaluated where the offeror resumes for Technical Managers that exceed the years of relative work experience, education, and degree level, but simply meet the real property systems of record experience? Is one criteria weighted greater than another?	No, one criteria will not be weighted greater than any other criteria.
11	ID #11832183 - Can you provide clarification on whether both interim and final CPARS evaluations are acceptable for past performance submissions, or if only final CPARS evaluations will be considered?	Yes, a completed interim CPARS evaluation will be acceptable.
12	ID #11832200 - Confirming if base year is 24 months, option period 1 is 36 months and option period 3 is 24 months?	Per the solicitation, section A.4. - The anticipated total ordering period is 60 months, which will consist of a based ordering period of one 24-months period and one 36-months optional period. There is no period 3.
13	ID #11832208 - In reference to the inspection and acceptance location being Redstone Arsenal in Huntsville, AL, will this require annual onsite deliverable review?	No, there is no requirement for annual on-site delivery unless specified within the task order language.
14	ID #11832215 - Can you please identify the potential CUI banner tag levels requiring DFARS 252.204-2020 compliance?	This information will be provided within the task order award.

15	ID #11832215 - Will the designated secret level clearance be sufficient to access the various Army cloud and network environments?	This question will be decided at the point of task order award.
16	ID #1183228 - Based on the current evaluation language, could the Government clarify what specific qualifications, experience levels, or educational requirements will distinguish an "Acceptable" rating from an "Unacceptable" rating for key personnel? For example, are there minimum years of relevant work experience, particular degree fields, or other measurable criteria that must be met to receive an "Acceptable" assessment?	The Government will not define the differentiation between an Acceptable and Unacceptable rating for a key personnel position as a part of the evaluation methodology.