AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE 1 OF PAGES 7	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	10/27/2025	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)

6. ISSUED BY CODE

CONTRACT SPECIALIST
USCG, CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIT JUNEAU
709 WEST 9TH STREET, ROOM 817
JUNEAU, AK 99801

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

CONTRACTING OFFICER
USCG, CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIT JUNEAU
709 WEST 9TH STREET, ROOM 817
JUNEAU, AK 99801

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO., STREET, COUNTY, STATE AND ZIP CODE)

POTENTIAL RMACC Contractors

CODE | FACILITY CODE

☒ 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. 70Z08725RRMACCIII
9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)* 09/29/2025

☐ 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

☐	14. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (*Specify authority*) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE REFERENCED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER (SPECIFY TYPE OF MODIFICATION AND AUTHORITY)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☒ is required to sign this document and return 1 copy to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (ORGANIZED BY UCF SECTION HEADINGS, INCLUDING SOLICITATION/CONTRACT SUBJECT MATTER WHERE FEASIBLE.)

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS 70Z08725RRMACCIII INDEFINITE DELIVERY/INDEFINITE QUANTITY REGIONAL MULTIPLE AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (RMACC)

A. This amendment is issued to revise sections of RFP altered in previous amendments, revise answers to questions19, 23 & 50 from Amendment 0004, release Revised Attachment A, and release Revised Attachment B.

B. REMINDER THE PROPOSAL DUE DATES REMAIN UNCHANGED PER DATES PROVIDED IN AMENDMENT 0004.

C. PLEASE RETURN A SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT WITH YOUR PROPOSAL.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or Print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)* CHERYL A. BERRY, CONTRACTING OFFICER, USCG	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR *(Signature of person authorized to sign)*	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	16C. DATE SIGNED

REMINDER: Offerors shall use the revised RFP and all amendments when preparing their proposal responses.

This amendment makes the following changes to the RFP and previous amendments issued:

1. Revises changes made to RFP in Amendment 0004.

DELETE L.5.a. Formatting Requirements
Offerors are to submit proposals in Times New Roman, 12-point font, size 8x11 pages. Margins are limited to standard 1" from all sides, 10-point font can be used for exhibits, captions and graphics. Page Limits are listed in each Factor. Cover page, Table of Contents and section tabs/separation pages may be included and do not affect the page limitations. Attachments A, B, & C are formatted by USCG and shall not be altered. The USCG limits submission to **20MB**. Note – Attachment B allows Photos which may be submitted as an additional email.
REPLACE L.5.a. Formatting Requirements
Offerors are to submit proposals in Times New Roman, 12-point font, size 8x11 pages. Margins are limited to standard 1" from all sides, 10-point Calibri font can be used for exhibits, captions and graphics. Page Limits are listed in each Factor. Cover page, Table of Contents and section tabs/separation pages may be included and do not affect the page limitations. Attachments A, B, & C are formatted by USCG and shall not be altered. The USCG limits each email submission to **20MB**. Note – Attachment B allows Photos which may be submitted as an additional email.

Revision from Amendment 0004
DELETE L.5.1. Offerors shall demonstrate their corporate experience by submitting a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects that best exemplify their firm's experience pertinent to the scope of work described in this solicitation. Newly formed joint ventures (JVs) or mentor-protégé teams without relevant JV and/or mentor-protégé experience must submit project examples from both entities, for a combined total of 3 to 5 projects

DELETE Remainder of Section Revised RFP:
Project Relevance and Scope:
a. Similar Size, Scope, and Complexity: Submitted projects shall demonstrate similar size, scope, and complexity to the projects anticipated under the Real Property Maintenance and Construction Contract (RMACC). The anticipated RMACC scope of work includes, but is not limited to, real property repairs, maintenance, general construction, marine construction, demolition, historical restoration, and remediation. Anticipated facility types encompass, but are not limited to, operations buildings, hangars, boat houses, firing ranges, residential and light commercial buildings (including mechanical and electrical systems), site utilities, waterfront facilities, electronic surveillance/security construction, dredging, and airports/runways.
b. Contract Value: Each submitted project must have a contract value of approximately $500,000 to $20,000,000.

c. Completion Date: Each project must have achieved substantial completion (defined as 65% completion) within the six-year period immediately preceding the issuance date of this Request for Proposals (RFP).
d. Geographical Preference: Projects performed within the designated geographical region of the specific RMACC will receive preferential evaluation.
e. Project Definition: For the purpose of this submission, a 'project' is defined as a construction effort executed under a single task order or contract.
f. Project Delivery Methods:

a.Submissionsshouldprioritizeprojectscompletedusingprojectdeliverymethodssuch as Design-Build (including One-Step Turnkey) and Design/Bid/Build.

b.**MandatoryDesign-BuildExperience**:Atleastone(1)ofthesubmittedprojectsmust clearly demonstrate experience utilizing the Design-Build method of delivery.

i. Definition of Design-Build: For evaluation purposes within this RFP, the Design-Build method of delivery is specifically defined as a fixed-price contract or task order founded upon a performance specification, which seamlessly integrates both the design and construction phases of any facility or real property requirement (including repairs).
g. Project Description Requirements:
a. For each submitted project, Offerors must provide a description of sufficient detail to allow for a thorough evaluation of its relevancy to the RFP requirements.
b. Offerors have the option to include additional descriptive content on the Project Information Sheet (Attachment B) beyond the minimum information requested in item 1. above.
h. Required Submissions for Factor 1:
a. Offerors shall provide the following completed attachments for all projects submitted under

Factor 1:
Attachment A: Experience Overview Sheet (limited to 1 Excel file)
Attachment B: Experience Information Form (limited to 3 pages)

i. Page Limitations for Attachment B (Experience Information Form):

a. To ensure fairness and consistency in evaluation, the Project Information Sheet (Attachment B) is subject to the following strict page limitations per project: Experience Information Form:

i. Project Details(Textual Content): A maximum of **three (3) pages** dedicated to the project description, scope of work, and delivery method. No additional lines or content extending beyond this limit will be accepted.

ii. Photographs: A separate, single page (**maximum one page**) may be included exclusively for relevant project photographs. This dedicated photo page is not counted towards the three-page limit for textual project details.

b.Anycontentorpagessubmittedbeyondtheseclearlydefinedlimitswillnotbe reviewed or considered during the evaluation process.

j. Use of Affiliate, Subsidiary, or Parent Firm Experience: If an Offeror is using experience information of an affiliate, subsidiary, or parent that is not the name as exactly stated on the SF1442, the proposal shall describe the business relationship between the firms and clearly demonstrate that the affiliate, subsidiary, or parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the awarded regional IDIQ contract.

REPLACE L.5.1. Factor 1 Offerors shall demonstrate their corporate experience by submitting a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects that best exemplify their firm's experience pertinent to the scope of work described in this solicitation. Newly formed joint ventures (JVs) or mentor-protégé teams without relevant JV experience must submit at least one (1) project example from both entities, but no more than combined total of 3 to 5 projects. Submission of more than five (5) projects is prohibited; if offeror submits more then five (5) USCG will only review the first five (5) from Attachment A.

- Project Definition: For the purpose of this submission, a 'project' is defined as a construction effort executed under a single task order or contract.

Projects submitted shall meet all stated criteria. Noncompliant projects will be rejected. If, after removing noncompliant projects, an offeror has fewer than three (3) relevant projects, the proposal will not be considered for further evaluation.

Offerors will use REVISED Attachment A (Excel) to list the 3 to 5 relevant projects and meet requirements of letters b., c., and e below.
Offerors will use REVISED Attachment B to meet requirements of letters a., d., f, and g below.

Project Relevance and Scope:

a. Similar Size, Scope, and Complexity: Submitted projects shall demonstrate similar size, scope, and complexity to the projects anticipated under the Regional Multiple Award Construction Contract (RMACC). The anticipated RMACC scope of work includes, but is not limited to, real property repairs, maintenance, general construction, marine construction, demolition, historical restoration, and remediation. Anticipated facility types encompass, but are not limited to, operations buildings, hangars, boat houses, firing ranges, residential and light commercial buildings (including mechanical and electrical systems), site utilities, waterfront facilities, electronic surveillance/security construction, dredging, and airports/runways.
b. Contract Value: Each submitted project must have a contract value of approximately $500,000 to $20,000,000.
c. Completion Date: Each project must have achieved substantial completion (defined as 65% completion) within the six-year period immediately preceding the issuance date of this Request for Proposals (RFP).
d. Geographical Preference: Projects performed within the designated geographical region of the specific RMACC **may receive** preferential evaluation.
e. Project Delivery Methods:
   i. Submissions should prioritize projects completed using project delivery methods such as Design-Build (including One-Step Turnkey) and Design/Bid/Build.
   ii. **Mandatory Design-Build Experience**: At least one (1) of the submitted projects must clearly demonstrate experience utilizing the Design-Build method of delivery.
      - Definition of Design-Build: For evaluation purposes within this RFP, the Design-Build method of delivery is specifically defined as a fixed-price contract or task order founded upon a performance specification, which seamlessly integrates both the design and construction phases of any facility or real property requirement (including repairs).

f. Project Description Requirements:
   i. For each submitted project, Offerors must provide a description of sufficient detail to allow for a thorough evaluation of its relevancy to the RFP requirements.
   ii. Offerors will use Experience Information Sheet (Attachment B) to provide project description and will complete items 5-8 of Attachment B as applicable.

g. Use of Affiliate, Subsidiary, or Parent Firm Experience: If an Offeror is using experience information of an affiliate, subsidiary, or parent that is not the name as exactly stated on the SF1442, the proposal shall describe the business relationship between the firms and clearly demonstrate that the affiliate, subsidiary, or parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the awarded regional IDIQ contract.

Required Submissions for Factor 1: USCG provided attachments have been formatted and are acceptable with the margins provided. Do not alter layout of forms.

a. Offerors shall provide the following completed attachments for all projects submitted under Factor 1:
Attachment A: Experience Overview Sheet (limited to1Excel file)
Attachment B: Experience Information Form (limited to 4 pages)

i. Page Limitations for Attachment B (Experience Information Form):
Project Details (text-only): Use up to **four (4) pages** for the project description; scope of work; delivery method; and affiliate, subsidiary or parent company relationships and involvement (if applicable) and—responses to Items 5–8 of Attachment B (Items 6 & 7 are applicable to Design-Build only). Attachment B is provided in Word for ease of use. You may alter or expand Item 4 to fit your content, but do not modify any other fields on the form. The total text must still be no more than **four (4) pages**. No additional lines or content beyond this limit will be accepted. Upon completion of the three to five Attachment B forms, they should be compiled and submitted as a section within the one single Phase 1 pdf file (which also includes Factors 2 through 4) that is submitted in the email along with the Attachment A excel. They should not be submitted as separate Word files.

Photographs: You may include **one separate page per Factor 1 project** solely for relevant project photographs. Thess dedicated photo pages are optional and do not count toward the four-page text limit. The photo page for each project shall be combined as one pdf file for proposal submission.

Any content or pages submitted beyond these clearly defined limits will not be reviewed or considered during the evaluation process.

Revised from Amendment 0004:
DELETE L.5.2.1 Submission Priority (CPARS vs. PPQ):

- o CPARS: If a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation is available for a submitted project, it shall be submitted directly with the proposal. Offerors shall prioritize the submission of CPARS evaluations where available.
  Interim CPARs are acceptable and preferrable to PPQ.
- Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ): If a completed CPARS evaluation is not available for a submitted project, Offerors shall provide a client-completed Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ). The PPQ must be in the prescribed format provided as Attachment C – NAVFAC/USACE/USCG PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ) or in a substantially similar format that provides equivalent information. It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure the PPQ is completed by the client and submitted with the proposal by the closing date.

Offerors shall submit only one past performance document per cited project in Factor 1.
REPLACE L.5.2.1 Submission Priority (CPARS vs. PPQ):

- CPARS: If a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation is available for a submitted project, it shall be submitted directly with the proposal. Offerors shall prioritize the submission of CPARS evaluations where available.
- Interim CPARs are acceptable and preferrable to PPQ.
- Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ): If a completed CPARS evaluation is not available for a submitted project, Offerors shall provide a client-completed Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ). The PPQ must be in the prescribed format provided as Attachment C – NAVFAC/USACE/USCG PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ) or in a substantially similar format that provides equivalent information. It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure the PPQ is completed by the client and submitted with the proposal by the closing date.

Offerors shall submit only one past performance document per cited project in Factor 1. The exception is if the offeror is citing Affiliate, Subsidiary, or Parent Firm Experience, in this case CPARS and PPQ are accepted.

2. Revises answers to questions 19, 23 & 50 from Amendment 0004:

Q19: RFP Section L.5.2, Item 1. States if a completed CPARS evaluation is available for a submitted project, it shall be submitted. If an Interim CPARS evaluation is available, is it acceptable to include both the Interim CPARS and a PPQ with the proposal?
DELETE A19: See revised Section L.5.2.1 at beginning of this document.
REPLACE A19: See revised Section L.5.2.1 at beginning of this document.

Continued Next Page

Q23: L.6.1, Factor 1, Corporate Experience: Demonstrated Project Experience, No. 1 Project Relevance and Scope, b. Contract Value, "Each Submitted project must have a contract value ranging from $500,000 to $20,000,000. Can projects less than $500K but greater than $250K be submitted?
DELETE A23: The projects submitted must have a value ranging from $500,000 to $20,000,000 to be considered during the evaluation of Phase I.
REPLACE A23: Per L.5.1.a "…contract value of approximately $500,000 to $20,000,000."

Q50: May offerors submit corporate experience and past performance references for subcontractors, affiliates, joint venture partners, etc., and will those be evaluated the same as if it were performed by the prime offeror?
DELETE A50: No, project experience as required in Factor 1 should be only from offeror that acted as Prime Contractor of the project. L.6.3.a provides insight of the offerors established business relationships in the region of competition.
REPLACE A50: Yes, per Section L.5.1.j. Provided the experience submitted meets the requirements of the evaluation factors, evaluations will be the same.

3. Revises Attachments A & B, see files uploaded to SAM.gov.