AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES
	1 | 28

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
0004	10/21/2025		

6. ISSUED BY CODE

CONTRACT SPECIALIST
USCG, CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIT JUNEAU
709 WEST 9TH STREET, ROOM 817
JUNEAU, AK 99801

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

CONTRACTING OFFICER
USCG, CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIT JUNEAU
709 WEST 9TH STREET, ROOM 817
JUNEAU, AK 99801

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO., STREET, COUNTY, STATE AND ZIP CODE)

POTENTIAL RMACC Contractors

CODE FACILITY CODE

☒ **9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.** 70Z08725RRMACCIII

9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)* 09/29/2025

☐ **10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.**

10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

☐ 14. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (*Specify authority*) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.

☐ B. THE ABOVE REFERENCED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).

☐ C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:

☐ D. OTHER (SPECIFY TYPE OF MODIFICATION AND AUTHORITY)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☒ is required to sign this document and return 1 copy to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (ORGANIZED BY UCF SECTION HEADINGS, INCLUDING SOLICITATION/CONTRACT SUBJECT MATTER WHERE FEASIBLE.)

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS 70Z08725RRMACCIII INDEFINITE DELIVERY/INDEFINITE QUANTITY REGIONAL MULTIPLE AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (RMACC)

A. This amendment is issued to revise sections of RFP and answer Contractor questions received October 5-14, 2025.

B. REMINDER THE PROPOSAL DUE DATES ARE REVISED. SEE REVISED TABLE OF PROPOSAL DUE DATES ON THE FINAL PAGE OF AMENDMENT.

C. PLEASE RETURN A SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT WITH YOUR PROPOSAL.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or Print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
		CHERYL A. BERRY, CONTRACTING OFFICER, USCG	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	**15C. DATE SIGNED**	**16B UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**	**16C. DATE SIGNED**
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	

REMINDER: Offerors should use Revised RFP and all amendments when preparing their proposal responses.

This amendment makes the following changes to the RFP:

1. DELETE FAR 52.215-22

2. ADD Section L, page 97 provisions incorporated by reference in FULL TEXT

52.204-6 UNIQUE ENTITY IDENTIFIED (OCT 2016)
a) Definition. As used in this provision-
Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) indicator means a four-character suffix to the unique entity identifier. The suffix is assigned at the discretion of the commercial, nonprofit, or Government entity to establish additional System for Award Management records for identifying alternative EFT accounts (see subpart 32.11) for the same entity.
Unique entity identifier means a number or other identifier used to identify a specific commercial, nonprofit, or Government entity. See www.sam.gov for the designated entity for establishing unique entity identifiers.

(b) The Offeror shall enter, in the block with its name and address on the cover page of its offer, the annotation "Unique Entity Identifier" followed by the unique entity identifier that identifies the Offeror's name and address exactly as stated in the offer. The Offeror also shall enter its EFT indicator, if applicable.

(c) If the Offeror does not have a unique entity identifier, it should contact the entity designated at www.sam.gov for establishment of the unique entity identifier directly to obtain one. The Offeror should be prepared to provide the following information:
(1) Company legal business name.
(2) Tradestyle, doing business, or other name by which your entity is commonly recognized.
(3) Company physical street address, city, state and Zip Code.
(4) Company mailing address, city, state and Zip Code (if separate from physical).
(5) Company telephone number.
(6) Date the company was started.
(7) Number of employees at your location.
(8) Chief executive officer/key manager.
(9) Line of business (industry).
(10) Company headquarters name and address (reporting relationship within your entity).

(End of provision)

52.204-16 COMMERCIAL AND GOVERNMENT ENTITY CODE REPORTING (AUG 2020)
a) Definition. As used in this provision –
Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code means–
(1) An identifier assigned to entities located in the United States or its outlying areas by the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Branch to identify a commercial or government entity by unique location; or

(2) An identifier assigned by a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) or by the NATO Support and Procurement Agency (NSPA) to entities located outside the United States and its outlying areas that the DLA Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Branch records and maintains in the CAGE master file. This type of code is known as a NATO CAGE (NCAGE) code.

(b) The Offeror shall provide its CAGE code with its offer with its name and location address or otherwise include it prominently in its proposal. The CAGE code must be for that name and location address. Insert the word "CAGE" before the number. The CAGE code is required prior to award.

(c) CAGE codes may be obtained via–
(1) Registration in the System for Award Management (SAM) at www.sam.gov. If the Offeror is located in the United States or its outlying areas and does not already have a CAGE code assigned, the DLA Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Branch will assign a CAGE code as a part of the SAM registration process. SAM registrants located outside the United States and its outlying areas shall obtain a NCAGE code prior to registration in SAM (see paragraph (c)(3) of this provision).
(2) The DLA Contractor and Government Entity (CAGE) Branch. If registration in SAM is not required for the subject procurement, and the Offeror does not otherwise register in SAM, an Offeror located in the United States or its outlying areas may request that a CAGE code be assigned by submitting a request at https://cage.dla.mil.
(3) The appropriate country codification bureau. Entities located outside the United States and its outlying areas may obtain an NCAGE code by contacting the Codification Bureau in the foreign entity's country if that country is a member of NATO or a sponsored nation. NCAGE codes may be obtained from the NSPA at https://eportal.nspa.nato.int/AC135Public/scage/CageList.aspx if the foreign entity’s country is not a member of NATO or a sponsored nation. Points of contact for codification bureaus, as well as additional information on obtaining NCAGE codes, are available at http://www.nato.int/structur/AC/135/main/links/contacts.htm.

(d) Additional guidance for establishing and maintaining CAGE codes is available at https://cage.dla.mil.

(e) When a CAGE code is required for the immediate owner and/or the highest-level owner by Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 52.204-17 or 52.212-3(p), the Offeror shall obtain the respective CAGE code from that entity to supply the CAGE code to the Government.

(f) Do not delay submission of the offer pending receipt of a CAGE code.

(g) If the solicitation includes FAR clause 52.204-2, Security Requirements, a subcontractor requiring access to classified information under a contract shall be identified with a CAGE code on the DD Form 254. The Contractor shall require a subcontractor requiring access to classified information to provide its CAGE code with its name and location address or otherwise include it prominently in the proposal.

Each location of subcontractor performance listed on the DD Form 254 is required to reflect a corresponding unique CAGE code for each listed location unless the work is being performed at a Government facility, in which case the agency location code shall be used. The CAGE code must be for that name and location address. Insert the word "CAGE" before the number. The CAGE code is required prior to award.

(End of provision)

52.207-6 SOLICITATION OF OFFERS FROM SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS AND SMALL BUSINESS TEAMING ARRANGEMENT OR JOINT VENTIRES(MULTIPLE AWARD CONTRACTS) (AUG 2024)

(a) Definition. "Small Business Teaming Arrangement," as used in this provision-

(1) Means an arrangement where–

(i) Two or more small business concerns have formed a joint venture; or

(ii) A small business offeror agrees with one or more other small business concerns to have them act as its subcontractors under a specified Government contract. A Small Business Teaming Arrangement between the offeror and its small business subcontractor(s) exists through a written agreement between the parties that–

(A) Is specifically referred to as a "Small Business Teaming Arrangement"; and

(B) Sets forth the different responsibilities, roles, and percentages (or other allocations) of work as it relates to the acquisition;

(2) (i) For civilian agencies, may include two business concerns in a mentor-protégé relationship when both the mentor and the protégé are small or the protégé is small and the concerns have received an exception to affiliation pursuant to 13 CFR 121.103(h)(3)(ii) or (iii).

(ii) For DoD, may include two business concerns in a mentor-protégé relationship in the Department of Defense Mentor-Protégé Program (see 10 U.S.C. 4902) when both the mentor and the protégé are small. There is no exception to joint venture size affiliation for offers received from teaming arrangements under the DoD Mentor-Protégé Program; and

(3) See 13 CFR 121.103(b)(9) regarding the exception to affiliation for offers received from Small Business Teaming Arrangements in the case of a solicitation of offers for a bundled contract with a reserve.

(b) The Government is soliciting and will consider offers from any responsible source, including responsible small business concerns and offers from Small Business Teaming Arrangements or joint ventures of small business concerns.

(End of provision)

52.214-34 SUBMISSION OF OFFERS IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE (APR 1991)

Offers submitted in response to this solicitation shall be in the English language. Offers received in other than English shall be rejected.

(End of provision)

52.214-35 SUBMISSION OF OFFERS IN U.S. CURRENCY (APR 1991)
Offers submitted in response to this solicitation shall be in terms of U.S. dollars. Offers received in other than U.S. dollars shall be rejected.

(End of provision)

52.215-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS– COMPETITIVE ACQUISITION (NOV 2021)
(a) Definitions. As used in this provision-
Discussions are negotiations that occur after establishment of the competitive range that may, at the Contracting Officer's discretion, result in the offeror being allowed to revise its proposal.
In writing, "writing," or "written" means any worded or numbered expression that can be read, reproduced, and later communicated, and includes electronically transmitted and stored information.

Proposal modification is a change made to a proposal before the solicitation's closing date and time, or made in response to an amendment, or made to correct a mistake at any time before award.

Proposal revision is a change to a proposal made after the solicitation closing date, at the request of or as allowed by a Contracting Officer as the result of negotiations.
Time, if stated as a number of days, is calculated using calendar days, unless otherwise specified, and will include Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays. However, if the last day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, then the period shall include the next working day.

(b) Amendments to solicitations. If this solicitation is amended, all terms and conditions that are not amended remain unchanged. Offerors shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this solicitation by the date and time specified in the amendment(s).

(c) Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals. (1) Unless other methods (e.g., electronic commerce or facsimile) are permitted in the solicitation, proposals and modifications to proposals shall be submitted in paper media in sealed envelopes or packages (i) addressed to the office specified in the solicitation, and (ii) showing the time and date specified for receipt, the solicitation number, and the name and address of the offeror. Offerors using commercial carriers should ensure that the proposal is marked on the outermost wrapper with the information in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(ii) of this provision.

(2) The first page of the proposal must show–
(i) The solicitation number;
(ii) The name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the offeror (and electronic address if available);
(iii) A statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item;

(iv) Names, titles, and telephone and facsimile numbers (and electronic addresses if available) of persons authorized to negotiate on the offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation; and

(v) Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal. Proposals signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.

(3) Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals. (i) Offerors are responsible for submitting proposals, and any modifications or revisions, so as to reach the Government office designated in the solicitation by the time specified in the solicitation. If no time is specified in the solicitation, the time for receipt is 4:30 p.m., local time, for the designated Government office on the date that proposal or revision is due.

(ii) (A) Any proposal, modification, or revision received at the Government office designated in the solicitation after the exact time specified for receipt of offers is "late" and will not be considered unless it is received before award is made, the Contracting Officer determines that accepting the late offer would not unduly delay the acquisition; and-

(1) If it was transmitted through an electronic commerce method authorized by the solicitation, it was received at the initial point of entry to the Government infrastructure not later than 5:00 p.m. one working day prior to the date specified for receipt of proposals; or

(2) There is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received at the Government installation designated for receipt of offers and was under the Government's control prior to the time set for receipt of offers; or

(3) It is the only proposal received.

(B) However, a late modification of an otherwise successful proposal that makes its terms more favorable to the Government, will be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.

(iii) Acceptable evidence to establish the time of receipt at the Government installation includes the time/date stamp of that installation on the proposal wrapper, other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the installation, or oral testimony or statements of Government personnel.

(iv) If an emergency or unanticipated event interrupts normal Government processes so that proposals cannot be received at the office designated for receipt of proposals by the exact time specified in the solicitation, and urgent Government requirements preclude amendment of the solicitation, the time specified for receipt of proposals will be deemed to be extended to the same time of day specified in the solicitation on the first work day on which normal Government processes resume.

(v) Proposals may be withdrawn by written notice received at any time before award. Oral proposals in response to oral solicitations may be withdrawn orally. If the solicitation authorizes facsimile proposals, proposals may be withdrawn via facsimile received at any time before award, subject to the conditions specified in the provision at 52.215-5, Facsimile Proposals. Proposals may be withdrawn in person by an offeror or an authorized representative, if the identity of the person requesting withdrawal is established and the person signs a receipt for the proposal before award.

(4) Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, the offeror may propose to provide any item or combination of items.

(5) Offerors shall submit proposals in response to this solicitation in English, unless otherwise permitted by the solicitation, and in U.S. dollars, unless the provision at FAR 52.225-17, Evaluation of Foreign Currency Offers, is included in the solicitation.
(6) Offerors may submit modifications to their proposals at any time before the solicitation closing date and time, and may submit modifications in response to an amendment, or to correct a mistake at any time before award.
(7) Offerors may submit revised proposals only if requested or allowed by the Contracting Officer.
(8) Proposals may be withdrawn at any time before award. Withdrawals are effective upon receipt of notice by the Contracting Officer.

(d) Offer expiration date. Proposals in response to this solicitation will be valid for the number of days specified on the solicitation cover sheet (unless a different period is proposed by the offeror).

(e) Restriction on disclosure and use of data. Offerors that include in their proposals data that they do not want disclosed to the public for any purpose, or used by the Government except for evaluation purposes, shall-
(1) Mark the title page with the following legend:
This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed-in whole or in part-for any purpose other than to evaluate this proposal. If, however, a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of-or in connection with-the submission of this data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in this data if it is obtained from another source without restriction. The data subject to this restriction are contained in sheets [insert numbers or other identification of sheets]; and
(2) Mark each sheet of data it wishes to restrict with the following legend:
Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal.

(f) Contract award. (1) The Government intends to award a contract or contracts resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror(s) whose proposal(s) represents the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors and subfactors in the solicitation.
(2) The Government may reject any or all proposals if such action is in the Government’s interest.
(3) The Government may waive informalities and minor irregularities in proposals received.
(4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). Therefore, the offeror’s initial proposal should contain the offeror’s best terms from a cost or price and technical standpoint. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

(5) The Government reserves the right to make an award on any item for a quantity less than the quantity offered, at the unit cost or prices offered, unless the offeror specifies otherwise in the proposal.
(6) The Government reserves the right to make multiple awards if, after considering the additional administrative costs, it is in the Government's best interest to do so.
(7) Exchanges with offerors after receipt of a proposal do not constitute a rejection or counteroffer by the Government.
(8) The Government may determine that a proposal is unacceptable if the prices proposed are materially unbalanced between line items or subline items. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more line items is significantly overstated or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. A proposal may be rejected if the Contracting Officer determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.
(9) If a cost realism analysis is performed, cost realism may be considered by the source selection authority in evaluating performance or schedule risk.
(10) A written award or acceptance of proposal mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time specified in the proposal shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party.
(11) If a post-award debriefing is given to requesting offerors, the Government shall disclose the following information, if applicable:

(i) The agency's evaluation of the significant weak or deficient factors in the debriefed offeror's offer.
(ii) The overall evaluated cost or price and technical rating of the successful and the debriefed offeror and past performance information on the debriefed offeror.
(iii) The overall ranking of all offerors, when any ranking was developed by the agency during source selection.
(iv) A summary of the rationale for award.
(v) For acquisitions of commercial products, the make and model of the product to be delivered by the successful offeror.
(vi) Reasonable responses to relevant questions posed by the debriefed offeror as to whether source selection procedures set forth in the solicitation, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed by the agency.

(End of provision)

52.215-20 REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING DATA AND DATA OTHER THAN CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING DATA (NOV 2021)
(a) Exceptions from certified cost or pricing data. (1) In lieu of submitting certified cost or pricing data, offerors may submit a written request for exception by submitting the information described in the following paragraphs. The Contracting Officer may require additional supporting information, but only to the extent necessary to determine whether an exception should be granted, and whether the price is fair and reasonable.

(i) Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered. If the price is controlled under law by periodic rulings, reviews, or similar actions of a governmental body, attach a copy of the controlling document, unless it was previously submitted to the contracting office.

(ii) Commercial product and commercial service exception. For a commercial product and commercial service exception, the offeror shall submit, at a minimum, information on prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold in the commercial market that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price for this acquisition. Such information may include-

(A) For catalog items, a copy of or identification of the catalog and its date, or the appropriate pages for the offered items, or a statement that the catalog is on file in the buying office to which the proposal is being submitted. Provide a copy or describe current discount policies and price lists (published or unpublished), e.g., wholesale, original equipment manufacturer, or reseller. Also explain the basis of each offered price and its relationship to the established catalog price, including how the proposed price relates to the price of recent sales in quantities similar to the proposed quantities;

(B) For market-priced items, the source and date or period of the market quotation or other basis for market price, the base amount, and applicable discounts. In addition, describe the nature of the market;

(C) For items included on an active Federal Supply Service Multiple Award Schedule contract, proof that an exception has been granted for the schedule item.

(2) The offeror grants the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative the right to examine, at any time before award, books, records, documents, or other directly pertinent records to verify any request for an exception under this provision, and the reasonableness of price. For items priced using catalog or market prices, or law or regulation, access does not extend to cost or profit information or other data relevant solely to the offeror's determination of the prices to be offered in the catalog or marketplace.

(b) Requirements for certified cost or pricing data. If the offeror is not granted an exception from the requirement to submit certified cost or pricing data, the following applies:
(1) The offeror shall prepare and submit certified cost or pricing data, data other than certified cost or pricing data, and supporting attachments in accordance with the instructions contained in 15.408 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses. of FAR 15.408, which is incorporated by reference with the same force and effect as though it were inserted here in full text. The instructions in 15.408 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses. are incorporated as a mandatory format to be used in this contract, unless the Contracting Officer and the Contractor agree to a different format and change this clause to use Alternate I.
(2) As soon as practicable after agreement on price, but before contract award (except for unpriced actions such as letter contracts), the offeror shall submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, as prescribed by FAR 15.406-2.

(End of Provision)

52.215-22 LIMITATIONS ON PASS-THROUGH CHARGES-IDENTIFICATION OF SUBCONTRACTOR EFFORT (OCT 2009)

(a) Definitions. Added value, excessive pass-through charge, subcontract, and subcontractor, as used in this provision, are defined in the clause of this solicitation entitled "Limitations on Pass-Through Charges" (FAR 52.215-23).

(b) General. The offeror's proposal shall exclude excessive pass-through charges.

(c) Performance of work by the Contractor or a subcontractor. (1) The offeror shall identify in its proposal the total cost of the work to be performed by the offeror, and the total cost of the work to be performed by each subcontractor, under the contract, task order, or delivery order.
(2) If the offeror intends to subcontract more than 70 percent of the total cost of work to be performed under the contract, task order, or delivery order, the offeror shall identify in its proposal-

(i) The amount of the offeror's indirect costs and profit/fee applicable to the work to be performed by the subcontractor(s); and
(ii) A description of the added value provided by the offeror as related to the work to be performed by the subcontractor(s).

(3) If any subcontractor proposed under the contract, task order, or delivery order intends to subcontract to a lower-tier subcontractor more than 70 percent of the total cost of work to be performed under its subcontract, the offeror shall identify in its proposal-

(i) The amount of the subcontractor's indirect costs and profit/fee applicable to the work to be performed by the lower-tier subcontractor(s); and
(ii) A description of the added value provided by the subcontractor as related to the work to be performed by the lower-tier subcontractor(s).

(End of provision)

52.219-31 NOTICE OF SMALL BUSINESS RESERVE (MAR 2020)

a) This solicitation contains a reserve for one or more small business concerns identified at 19.000(a)(3). The small business program eligibility requirements apply.

(b) The small business concern(s) eligible for participation in the reserve shall submit one offer that addresses each portion of the solicitation for which it wants to compete. Award of the contract will be based on criteria identified elsewhere in the solicitation.

(End of provision)

52.222-56 CERTIFICATION REGARDING TRAFFICKING IN PERSONS COMPLIANCE PLAN (OCT 2025)

a) The term "commercially available off-the-shelf (COTS) item," is defined in the clause of this solicitation entitled "Combating Trafficking in Persons" (FAR clause 52.222-50).

(b) The apparent successful Offeror shall submit, prior to award, a certification, as specified in paragraph (c) of this provision, for the portion (if any) of the contract that-
(1) Is for supplies, other than commercially available off-the-shelf items, to be acquired outside the United States, or services to be performed outside the United States; and

(2) Has an estimated value that exceeds $700,000.

(c) The certification shall state that-
(1) It has implemented a compliance plan to prevent any prohibited activities identified in paragraph (b) of the clause at 52.222-50, Combating Trafficking in Persons, and to monitor, detect, and terminate the contract with a subcontractor engaging in prohibited activities identified at paragraph (b) of the clause at 52.222-50, Combating Trafficking in Persons; and
(2) After having conducted due diligence, either-

(i) To the best of the Offeror's knowledge and belief, neither it nor any of its proposed agents, subcontractors, or their agents is engaged in any such activities; or
(ii) If abuses relating to any of the prohibited activities identified in 52.222-50(b) have been found, the Offeror or proposed subcontractor has taken the appropriate remedial and referral actions.

(End of provision)

52.225-12 NOTICE OF BUY AMERICAN REQUIRMENT-CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS UNDER TRADE AGREEMENTS (MAY 2014)
a) Definitions. "Commercially available off-the-shelf (COTS) item," "construction material," "designated country construction material," "domestic construction material," and "foreign construction material," as used in this provision, are defined in the clause of this solicitation entitled "Buy American-Construction Materials Under Trade Agreements" (Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause 52.225-11).

(b) Requests for determination of inapplicability. An offeror requesting a determination regarding the inapplicability of the Buy American statute should submit the request to the Contracting Officer in time to allow a determination before submission of offers. The offeror shall include the information and applicable supporting data required by paragraphs (c) and (d) of FAR clause 52.225-11 in the request. If an offeror has not requested a determination regarding the inapplicability of the Buy American statute before submitting its offer, or has not received a response to a previous request, the offeror shall include the information and supporting data in the offer.
(c) Evaluation of offers. (1) The Government will evaluate an offer requesting exception to the requirements of the Buy American statute, based on claimed unreasonable cost of domestic construction materials, by adding to the offered price the appropriate percentage of the cost of such foreign construction material, as specified in paragraph (b)(4)(i) of FAR clause 52.225-11.
(2) If evaluation results in a tie between an offeror that requested the substitution of foreign construction material based on unreasonable cost and an offeror that did not request an exception, the Contracting Officer will award to the offeror that did not request an exception based on unreasonable cost.

(d) Alternate offers. (1) When an offer includes foreign construction material, other than designated country construction material, that is not listed by the Government in this solicitation in paragraph (b)(3) of FAR clause 52.225-11, the offeror also may submit an alternate offer based on use of equivalent domestic or designated country construction material.

(2) If an alternate offer is submitted, the offeror shall submit a separate Standard Form 1442 for the alternate offer, and a separate price comparison table prepared in accordance with paragraphs (c) and (d) of FAR clause 52.225-11 for the offer that is based on the use of any foreign construction material for which the Government has not yet determined an exception applies.
(3) If the Government determines that a particular exception requested in accordance with paragraph (c) of FAR clause 52.225-11 does not apply, the Government will evaluate only those offers based on use of the equivalent domestic or designated country construction material, and the offeror shall be required to furnish such domestic or designated country construction material. An offer based on use of the foreign construction material for which an exception was requested-

(i) Will be rejected as nonresponsive if this acquisition is conducted by sealed bidding; or
(ii) May be accepted if revised during negotiations.

(End of Provision)

52.225-22 NOTICE OF REQUIRED USE OF AMERICAN IRON, STEEL, AND MANUFACTURED GOODS-BUY AMERICAN STATUTE-CONSTRCUTION MATERIALS ALT I (MAY 2014)
(a) Definitions. "Construction material," "domestic construction material," "foreign construction material," "manufactured construction material," "steel," and "unmanufactured construction material," as used in this provision, are defined in the clause of this solicitation entitled "Required Use of Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods-Buy American statute-Construction Materials" (Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause 52.225-21).

(b) Requests for determinations of inapplicability. An offeror requesting a determination regarding the inapplicability of section 1605 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111-5) (Recovery Act) or the Buy American statute shall submit the request with its offer, including the information and applicable supporting data required by paragraphs (c) and (d) of the clause at FAR 52.225-21.

(c) Evaluation of offers. (1) If the Government determines that an exception based on unreasonable cost of domestic construction material applies in accordance with FAR 25.604, the Government will evaluate an offer requesting exception to the requirements of section 1605 of the Recovery Act or the Buy American statute by adding to the offered price of the contract-

(i) 25 percent of the offered price of the contract, if foreign manufactured construction material is incorporated in the offer based on an exception for unreasonable cost of comparable manufactured domestic construction material; and
(ii) 20 percent of the cost of foreign unmanufactured construction material included in the offer based on an exception for the unreasonable cost of comparable domestic unmanufactured construction material.

(2) If the solicitation specifies award on the basis of factors in addition to cost or price, the Contracting Officer will apply the evaluation factors as specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this provision and use the evaluated price in determining the offer that represents the best value to the Government.

(3) Unless paragraph (c)(2) of this provision applies, if two or more offers are equal in price, the Contracting Officer will give preference to an offer that does not include foreign construction material excepted at the request of the Offeror on the basis of unreasonable cost of comparable domestic construction material.

(d) Alternate offers. (1) When an offer includes foreign construction material not listed by the Government in this solicitation in paragraph (b)(3) of the clause at FAR 52.225-21, the Offeror also may submit an alternate offer based on use of equivalent domestic construction material.
(2) If an alternate offer is submitted, the Offeror shall submit a separate Standard Form 1442 for the alternate offer and a separate cost comparison table prepared in accordance with paragraphs (c) and (d) of the clause at FAR 52.225-21 for the offer that is based on the use of any foreign construction material for which the Government has not yet determined an exception applies.
(3) If the Government determines that a particular exception requested in accordance with paragraph (c) of the clause at FAR 52.225-21 does not apply, the Government will evaluate only those offers based on use of the equivalent domestic construction material, and the Offeror shall be required to furnish such domestic construction material. An offer based on use of the foreign construction material for which an exception was requested-

(i) Will be rejected as nonresponsive if this acquisition is conducted by sealed bidding; or

(ii) May be accepted if revised during negotiations.

(End of Provision)

52.225-24 NOTICE OF REQUIRED USE OF AMERICAN IRON, STEEL, AND MANUFACTURED GOODS-BUY AMERICAN STATUTE-CONSTRCUTION MATERIALS UNDER TRADE AGREEMENTS ALT I (MAY 2014)
(a) Definitions. "Construction material," "domestic construction material," "foreign construction material," "manufactured construction material," "Recovery Act designated country construction material," "steel," and "unmanufactured construction material," as used in this provision, are defined in the clause of this solicitation entitled "Required Use of Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods-Buy American statute-Construction Materials Under Trade Agreements" (Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause 52.225-23).

(b) Requests for determination of inapplicability. An offeror requesting a determination regarding the inapplicability of section 1605 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111-5) (Recovery Act) or the Buy American statute shall submit the request with its offer, including the information and applicable supporting data required by paragraphs (c) and (d) of FAR clause 52.225-23.

(c) Evaluation of offers. (1) If the Government determines that an exception based on unreasonable cost of domestic construction material applies in accordance with FAR 25.604, the Government will evaluate an offer requesting exception to the requirements of section 1605 of the Recovery Act or the Buy American statute by adding to the offered price of the contract-

(i) 25 percent of the offered price of the contract, if foreign manufactured construction material is included in the offer based on an exception for the unreasonable cost of comparable manufactured domestic construction material; and

(ii) 20 percent of the cost of foreign unmanufactured construction material included in the offer based on an exception for the unreasonable cost of comparable domestic unmanufactured construction material.

(2) If the solicitation specifies award on the basis of factors in addition to cost or price, the Contracting Officer will apply the evaluation factors as specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this provision and use the evaluated cost or price in determining the offer that represents the best value to the Government.

(3) Unless paragraph (c)(2) of this provision applies, if two or more offers are equal in price, the Contracting Officer will give preference to an offer that does not include foreign construction material excepted at the request of the Offeror on the basis of unreasonable cost.

(d) Alternate offers. (1) When an offer includes foreign construction material, other than Recovery Act designated country construction material, that is not listed by the Government in this solicitation in paragraph (b)(3) of FAR clause 52.225-23, the Offeror also may submit an alternate offer based on use of equivalent domestic or Recovery Act designated country construction material.

(2) If an alternate offer is submitted, the Offeror shall submit a separate Standard Form 1442 for the alternate offer and a separate cost comparison table prepared in accordance with paragraphs (c) and (d) of FAR clause 52.225-23 for the offer that is based on the use of any foreign construction material for which the Government has not yet determined an exception applies.

(3) If the Government determines that a particular exception requested in accordance with paragraph (c) of FAR clause 52.225-23 does not apply, the Government will evaluate only those offers based on use of the equivalent domestic or Recovery Act designated country construction material, and the Offeror shall be required to furnish such domestic or Recovery Act designated country construction material. An offer based on use of the foreign construction material for which an exception was requested-

(i) Will be rejected as nonresponsive if this acquisition is conducted by sealed bidding; or

(ii) May be accepted if revised during negotiations.

(End of Provision)

Continued Next Page

DELETE L.5 Specific Phase I Instructions
Offerors are to submit proposals entitled “RMACC III Region [identify Region #] Phase I Proposal” via email to include the SF1442, Section K Completed Representations and Certifications, completed K.1 and K.2, and documentation addressing Phase I Evaluation Factors one through four. Offerors proposing on multiple Regions must submit separate proposals for each region. Offerors must adhere to the Evaluation Factor page limits and requirements. It is the Contractor’s responsibility to ensure the proposal package is received in the USCG Outlook mail inbox by the deadline. Timestamp of sent file will not be considered.

REPLACE L.5 Specific Phase I Instructions
Offerors are to submit proposals entitled “RMACC III Region [identify Region #] Phase I Proposal” via email to include the SF1442, Section K Completed Representations and Certifications (offeror’s may submit their sam.gov entity information), completed K.3, and documentation addressing Phase I Evaluation Factors one through four. Attachment A required in Section L.5.1.h shall be completed in the Excel form provided and submitted as a separate attachment to email. Offerors proposing on multiple Regions must submit separate proposals for each region. Offerors must adhere to the Evaluation Factor page limits and requirements. It is the Contractor’s responsibility to ensure the proposal package is received in the USCG Outlook mail inbox by the deadline. Timestamp of sent file will not be considered.

DELETE L.5.a. Formatting Requirements
Offerors are to submit proposals in Times New Roman, 12-point font. Margins are limited to standard 1” from all sides. Page Limits are listed in each Factor. The USCG limits submission to **20MB**. Note – Attachment B allows Photos which may be submitted as an additional email.

REPLACE L.5.a. Formatting Requirements
Offerors are to submit proposals in Times New Roman, 12-point font, size 8x11 pages. Margins are limited to standard 1” from all sides, 10-point font can be used for exhibits, captions and graphics. Page Limits are listed in each Factor. Cover page, Table of Contents and section tabs/separation pages may be included and do not affect the page limitations. Attachments A, B, & C are formatted by USCG and shall not be altered. The USCG limits submission to **20MB**. Note – Attachment B allows Photos which may be submitted as an additional email.

DELETE L.5.1. Offerors shall demonstrate their corporate experience by submitting a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects that best exemplify their firm's experience pertinent to the scope of work described in this solicitation.

REPLACE L.5.1. Offerors shall demonstrate their corporate experience by submitting a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects that best exemplify their firm's experience pertinent to the scope of work described in this solicitation. Newly formed joint ventures (JVs) or mentor-protégé teams without relevant JV experience must submit project examples from both entities, for a combined total of 3 to 5 projects

Continued Next Page

DELETE L.5.1.g.b. Offerors have the option to include additional descriptive content on the Project Information Sheet (Attachment B) beyond the minimum information
REPLACE L.5.1.g.b. Offerors have the option to include additional descriptive content on the Project Information Sheet (Attachment B) beyond the minimum information requested in item L.5.1.a. above.

DELETE L.5.2.1 Submission Priority (CPARS vs. PPQ):
o CPARS: If a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation is available for a submitted project, it shall be submitted directly with the proposal. Offerors shall prioritize the submission of CPARS evaluations where available.
o Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ): If a completed CPARS evaluation is not available for a submitted project, Offerors shall provide a client-completed Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ). The PPQ must be in the prescribed format provided as Attachment C – NAVFAC/USACE/USCG PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ) or in a substantially similar format that provides equivalent information. It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure the PPQ is completed by the client and submitted with the proposal by the closing date.
REPLACE L.5.2.1 Submission Priority (CPARS vs. PPQ):
o CPARS: If a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation is available for a submitted project, it shall be submitted directly with the proposal. Offerors shall prioritize the submission of CPARS evaluations where available.
Interim CPARs are acceptable and preferrable to PPQ.
o Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ): If a completed CPARS evaluation is not available for a submitted project, Offerors shall provide a client-completed Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ). The PPQ must be in the prescribed format provided as Attachment C – NAVFAC/USACE/USCG PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ) or in a substantially similar format that provides equivalent information. It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure the PPQ is completed by the client and submitted with the proposal by the closing date.
Offerors shall submit only one past performance document per cited project in Factor 1.

DELETE L.6 Electronic Proposal Submission for Regional Offers
Proposals for each regional offering must be submitted electronically as pdf files. Proposal are being accepted via email only. Limit 20MB – Offerors are allowed to submit two emails for their Proposal. The first email shall contain all elements required by Section L, except for photo pages incorporated into Attachment B. A second email will be allowed for photo pages only. Offerors shall ensure that for every region they intend to submit a proposal, the complete and final proposal package is transmitted to each of the email addresses specified for that region in the table below. Failure to submit to all designated email addresses for a region may result in the proposal being considered non-responsive.
REPLACE L.6 Electronic Proposal Submission for Regional Offers
Proposals for each regional offering must be submitted electronically as pdf files except Attachment A. Attachment A shall be completed in the Excel sheet provided. Proposal are being accepted via email only. Limit 20MB – Offerors are allowed to submit two emails for their Proposal. The first email shall contain all elements required by Section L and Attachment A in Excel format. A second email will be allowed for photo pages only. Offerors

shall ensure that for every region they intend to submit a proposal, the complete and final proposal package is transmitted to each of the email addresses specified for that region in the table below. Failure to submit to all designated email addresses for a region may result in the proposal being considered non-responsive. Copy cheryl.a.berry@uscg.mil and john.wright@uscg.mil when submitting proposals.

REVISES Proposal Due Dates per the Table at the end of this amendment

ANSWERS Questions #1-71

Q1: On Task Order RFPs, for what size project will an offer guarantee (Bid Bond) be required?
A1: The requirement of an offer or bid guarantees for various projects will be at the discretion of the issuing Contracting Office.

Q2: Understanding that bonding capacity is fluid in nature, at what point (preferably before an award is made) will the CEU ask the surety/bonding company to confirm if the contractor can bond a large project?
A2: The requirement to furnish surety bonds comes from the Miller Act (40 U.S.C. 3131–3134) and FAR Part 28; Treasury Circular 570 and 31 CFR Part 223 set the standards for acceptable sureties. Offerors must meet the minimum bonding capability requirements as outlined in the RFP.

Q3: Is there a limitation on awards being made at one time? For example, on Task Order RFPs, if a contractor receives multiple awards that exceed $10 million at one time, is the contractor able to decline to an award without penalty if they are being asked to take on too much at once?
A3: USCG does not have a limit of awards to one single contractor, however, please refer to FAR 52.216-19 which is included in the solicitation, and which establishes order limitations. As stated in Section C.1 of the RFP, “The USCG understands Small Business concerns and will consider each notification from awardees declining to propose on a project; however, the Government reserves the right to off-ramp or select to not exercise any option period for a RMACC awardee that does not submit a proposal on three (3) task order RFPs during the course of each year of the contract. It is the onus of the contractor to provide sufficient rationale for declining to propose on a project.

Q4: So that we can plan appropriately with our surety, could we have an indication of how much of the $4 Billion total may be earmarked for Region 14?
A4: As stated in the RFP, the $4 Billion capacity covers all regions, specific limits will not be established.

Q5: With the current government shutdown and limited government response to questions, will the government consider extending the deadline day-for-day for the shutdown plus an additional two weeks from the last questions answered?
A5: See the Revised Proposal Due Date table on the last page of this amendment.

Q6: Solicitation number on SAM.gov states: 70Z08725RRMACC003. Solicitation number on RFP documents is: 70Z08725RRMACCIII. Please advise which is the correct number to represent on submitted proposals.
A6: For continuity purposes, please use 70Z08725RRMACCIII.

Q7: Please confirm that the Attachment A excel sheet can be included as a separate completed attachment in the first email with the proposal.
A7: See revised Section L.5 at beginning of this document.

Q8: Section L.6.1 Factor 1 outlines that the Offeror is to demonstrate their experience by submitting 3-5 relevant construction projects. Specifically, Item 1.c states that each project *"must have achieved substantial completion (defined as 65% completion) within the six-year period immediately preceding the issuance date of this RFP."*
Is it acceptable to list Design-Build projects which have 100% completed designs but are still currently in progress and have not yet achieved substantial completion according to the RFP definition to demonstrate the Offeror's experience? Allowing projects meeting the above criteria to be listed under Factor 1 would allow an opportunity for offerors with ongoing design-build projects with completed design stages to clearly demonstrate their relevant and mandatory experience with Design-Build project delivery methods.
A8: As stated in the RFP, all cited projects must be at least 65% complete.

Q9: Section L.6.3 Factor 3 requests Offerors to provide a narrative regarding their Regional Management Capabilities and Organizational Technical Capabilities. Specifically, Item L.6.3.a.1 and Item L.6.3.b.1 requests the Offeror to provide a narrative describing relationships with key subcontractors and/or designers that will allow the Offeror the ability to perform task orders within the geographical region.
Is it acceptable to utilize project experience of key subcontractors or designers as part of the Offerors response to Factor 1? i.e. Can key subcontractor or designer project experience be used to satisfy one of the 3-5 relevant construction projects in order to demonstrate the Offerors experience?
A9: No, project experience as required in Factor 1 should be only from offeror that acted as Prime Contractor of the project. L.6.3.a provides insight of the offerors established business relationships in the region of competition.

Q10: From page 95, Section (b) of FAR provision 52.204-7, System for Award Management (NOV 2024). Reference: (2) The Offeror shall enter, in the block with its name and address on the cover page of its offer, the annotation "Unique Entity Identifier" followed by the unique entity identifier that identifies the Offeror's name and address exactly as stated in the offer. The Offeror also shall enter its EFT indicator, if applicable. The unique entity identifier will be used by the Contracting Officer to verify that the Offeror is registered in the SAM. For purposes of complying with FAR Provision 52.204-7(b)(2), which requires the Offeror to enter on the cover page of its offer the annotation "Unique Entity Identifier" followed by its identifier, please confirm whether the SF 1142 (Offer and Award) is considered the "cover page" of the offer for this requirement.
A10: No, the Standard Form (SF) 1442 is not considered the cover page. The Contractor shall follow FAR Provision 52.204-7(b). The SF1442, should the offer be accepted, becomes part of the executed award. The SF 1442 does not affect the page count limitations.

Q11: From page 95, Section (b) of FAR provision 52.204-7, System for Award Management (NOV 2024). Reference: (2) The Offeror shall enter, in the block with its name and address on the cover page of its offer, the annotation "Unique Entity Identifier" followed by the unique entity identifier that identifies the Offeror's name and address exactly as stated in the offer. The Offeror also shall enter its EFT indicator, if applicable. The unique entity identifier will be used by the Contracting Officer to verify that the Offeror is registered in the SAM. Section (2) instructs Offerors to include their name, address, Unique Entity Identifier, and EFT indicator on the cover page. Please clarify whether Offerors may also include a title page, cover letter, and table of contents with their submission, and if so, whether these items are excluded from the proposal page limitations.
A11: See revised Section L.5.a at beginning of this document.

Q12: RFP Section H.33 indicates an email confirmation of the VETS-4212 submission can be requested and received by the offeror and submitted in the price proposal. Please confirm this is to be submitted with the Price Proposal in Phase II, and not with the Phase I Proposal.
A12: As stated in the RFP, VETS-4212 is required in Phase II of the solicitation; Section H.33 provides details on obtaining the document.

Q13: RFP Section K.2 items 2 & 3 require offerors to fill in principal place of performance of the work required under the resulting contract (city, county and state) and the Congressional District of the principal place of performance. As this is a Regional MACC covering various locations within each region, please clarify how offerors should address these items.
A13: See revised Section L.5 at beginning of this document.

Q14: FAR 52.252-1 (RFP Page 97) cautions the offeror that referenced provisions may include blocks that must be completed by the offeror and submitted with its quotation or offer. This Clause also indicates that upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. Please provide the full text of all listed provisions that include blocks that must be completed by the offeror and submitted with its offer.
A14: Provisions provided in full text at the beginning of this document. These provisions do not require any action by the offeror.

Q15: FAR 52.215-20 is referenced on RFP Page 97. As this is a competitive acquisition that will likely include adequate competition, please confirm that neither certified cost or pricing data, nor other than certified cost or pricing data, are required to be submitted by offerors.
A15: Certified Cost or Pricing Data is not required in Phase I of the RMACC III solicitation process. However, USCG reserves the right to request **Other Than** Certified Cost or Pricing Data with RMACC III Solicitation Phase II or at the task order level throughout the term of the contract.

Q16: FAR 52.215-22 is referenced on RFP Page 97. This clause indicates the offeror shall identify (among other things) in its proposal the total cost of the work to be performed by the offeror, and the total cost of the work to be performed by each subcontractor. Will this information be required with the Phase II proposal?
A16: See annotation at the beginning of this document. This provision is stricken from the RFP

Q17: RFP Section L.5 indicates offerors are to submit completed K.1 and K.2. Are we to complete K.3 on RFP Page 94 as well?
A17: See revised Section L.5. at beginning of this document.

Q18: RFP Section L.5.1, Item h. states that Attachment A is "limited to 1 Excel file". Section L.6 states that proposals must be submitted electronically as pdf files. Please confirm Attachment A should be included in the pdf proposal file.
A18: See revised Section L.6 at beginning of this document.

Q19: RFP Section L.5.2, Item 1. States if a completed CPARS evaluation is available for a submitted project, it shall be submitted. If an Interim CPARS evaluation is available, is it acceptable to include both the Interim CPARS and a PPQ with the proposal?
A19: See revised Section L.5.2.1 at beginning of this document.

Q20: Should proposals be submitted only to the applicable regional email addresses listed in the table in Section L.6, or should we copy Cheryl.A.Berry@uscg.mil and John.Wright@uscg.mil?
A20: Proposals should be sent to the designated regional POC listed on page 104 with a copy to both email addresses above.

Q21: Reference RFP Section L.5.1, item g. Project Description Requirements, b.: This states, "Offerors have the option to include additional descriptive content on the Project Information Sheet (Attachment B) beyond the minimum information requested in item 1. Above." We do not find item 1 above. Please advise.
A21: See revised Section L.5.1.g at beginning of this document.

Q22: Reference Attachment B: Question 7 asks for a description of involvement of the designers during the construction phase of the job. Is this applicable to design-build projects only, or is the Government looking for a description of involvement of designers that were contracted by the Owner on a design-bid-build contract?
A22: Offerors shall answer this question for Design-Build projects only. USCG is interested in the involvement of the design team utilized by Prime on these projects.

Q23: L.6.1, Factor 1, Corporate Experience: Demonstrated Project Experience, No. 1 Project Relevance and Scope, b. Contract Value, "Each Submitted project must have a contract value ranging from $500,000 to $20,000,000. Can projects less than $500K but greater than $250K be submitted?
A23: The projects submitted must have a value ranging from $500,000 to $20,000,000 to be considered during the evaluation of Phase I.

Continued Next Page

Q24: L.3 Joint Venture Agreements state "Joint Ventures are allowable on competitive set-asides; however, the joint venture agreement must be received by SBA prior to proposal due date to allow for processing and SBA approval before the award of any resulting contract. Any vendors contemplating a joint venture on this project must advise, in writing, its assigned SBA Business Opportunity Specialist (BOS) as soon as possible. To ensure compliance with established regulations, NO CORRECTIONS AND/OR CHANGES ARE ALLOWED AFTER TIME OF SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL. A joint venture agreement or addendum that has not been approved by SBA is not eligible for award." SBA regulations do not require HUBZone joints ventures to submit joint venture agreements to the SBA for approval. Please confirm this requirement is not applicable for the Region 8 proposals.
A24: As stated in the next sentence of this section cited in the RFP, "For joint ventures not involving 8(a), the finalized joint venture agreement must be provided by the time of contract award and a notarized letter of intent to form a joint venture must be submitted with the proposal." The joint venture written agreement must meet SBA requirements and must be identified as a HUBZone joint venture in the System for Award Management (SAM).

Q25: With proposals that are due later is the due date for questions still 16 October 2025 or can the RFI due date be pushed for proposals due in later months?
A25: The RFI due date must be the same for all regions to ensure fairness amongst all competitors. The RFI period is extended to October 23, 2025 12:00pm PST.

Q26: L.6.1 Factor 1 in Project Relevance and Scope point d. Geographical Preference. This section states that projects "within the designated geographical region of the specific RMACC will receive preferential evaluation". If a project in a neighboring region or on the boarder of a neighboring region is submitted will this be deemed as less relevant? If a potential project for submission is in the panhandle of FL but the proposal is being submitted for the Southeast Region would that be less relevant even though it is in the same state? Same goes for would a project just across the board of Alabama be deemed less relevant for the Southeast?
A26: As stated in Amendment 0002, The project would not be considered less relevant; however, as stated in the RFP, "Work Experience within the Geographic Area: Significant experience working within the geographic area of the contract **may result in a higher rating**."

Q27: L.5.a. Formatting Requirements: Page limits are listed in each factor but are cover letters and table of contents acceptable?
A27: See revised Section L.5.a at beginning of this document.

Q28: L.6.2 – Factor 2 – Past Performance Requirement for Demonstrated Projects (Associated with Factor 1) – Will the Government allow prior PPQs to be submitted for the RMACC III? We are concerned that with the Government shutdown, our ability to receive completed forms in time for submission on November 06 will be hampered by the shutdown.
A28: Yes, if the PPQ correlates to the specific project cited in Factor 1. The proposal deadlines have been extended. If the shutdown continues into November, USCG will reassess and determine next steps.

Continued Next Page

Q29: L.2 – Instructions for Submitting Questions Regarding Solicitation – The due date for questions is listed as October 16, however there is no time listed. Is there a specific time on that date we need to have all questions submitted?
A29: The RFI period is extended to October 23, 2025 12:00pm PST.

Q30: Can smaller font be used for exhibits and graphics?
Q30: See revised Section L.5.a at beginning of this document.

Q31: Attachment A is an excel file. Can this file be submitted in word format within Phase I Proposal Factor 1.
A31: See revised Section L.5. at beginning of this document.

Q32: The current deadline for the Region 5 (USCG East District, formerly District 5) is October 30th, 2025. Will the impact of the government shutdown force the due date to be extended, as the delay in answering questions that may/will impact the Phase I submission.
A32: Please refer to the Revised Proposal Due Date table included with this amendment

Q33: Within the evaluation section of the RFP, CPARS evaluation is the preferred method of reviewing proposed past performance. Is the offer allowed to include PPQ's for each project presented, if the offer does not have CPARS within the system? Please advise?
A33: See revised Section L.5.2.1 at beginning of this document.

Q34: Please clarify the set aside for Region 17, as there is conflicting information in the RFP. Page 5 of 113 shows Total Small Business and Page 106 of 113 shows HUBZone and 8(a).
A34: This was addressed in Solicitation Amendment 0001. Region 17 is designated Total Small Business.

Q35: Section L.5.1. calls for minimum three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects be submitted with the proposal. Please confirm that is we are submitting as a joint venture/mentor-protégé/teaming, we would submit a total of 3-5 projects and not 3-5 each per firm.
A35: See revised Section L.5.1 at beginning of this document.

Q36: Region 14 Oceania District includes Guam. Due to the location, please advise how much advance notice will be given for site visits for projects in this region since office location is based on Oahu where the regional CEU office is located.
A36: Timing of advance notice for site visits will vary, depending on the urgency of the specific project. In general, Region 14/Oceania District (CEU Honolulu) provides approximately 2 weeks' notice before site visits. This is subject to change based upon USCG mission requirements. Site visits will be announced at task order level.

Q37: Federal Government Shutdown – Region 14 SBA Office on Oahu is closed due to the shutdown. We are submitting as a joint venture or mentor-protégé but due to the shutdown, the SBA office cannot accept any applications. May we request a deadline extension.
A37: The proposal deadlines have been extended. If the shutdown continues into November, USCG will reassess and determine next steps.

Q38: Federal Government Shutdown – Region 14 CEU office may be closed. We are unable to get a hold of the Point of Contact that we need to email our proposal to. We are concerned that we will not be able to confirm receipt of our proposal. May we request a deadline extension.
A38: All CEU offices are open and operating. The proposal deadlines have been extended. If the shutdown continues into November, USCG will reassess and determine next steps.

Q39: Consolidated Q&A 65 – If it's a newly established joint venture, would a bonding capacity for one company be sufficient if it meets the required levels.
A39: Treasury Department Circular 570 (Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds) governs which surety companies are acceptable for federal contracts. The offeror must submit a letter from a surety on this treasury circular list confirming bonding capabilities of the offeror as indicated in Block 14 of the completed Form 1442.

Q40: Our firm has an application in process with the SBA for the 8(a) Business Development Program, which we have been informed is currently under review.
May our firm submit proposals for 8(a) set-aside regions in anticipation that our 8(a) certification will be finalized prior to award?
A40: Yes, provided that the 8(a) status is confirmed by time of award.

Q41: If permissible, would documentation confirming that our 8(a) application has been submitted and is currently being processed by SBA satisfy the eligibility requirement at the time of proposal submission?
A41: Yes, submit with proposal response.

Q42: Would the U.S. Coast Guard consider expanding the defined geographic area of performance from Alaska to include the continental United States and its territories?
A42: As stated, and shown in the RFP, this solicitation covers nine (9) regions throughout the continental United States and its territories, to include Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Reference Section A: Introduction.

Q43: Would the U.S. Coast Guard consider expanding the minimum project value threshold to include projects with a minimum value of $500,000?
A43: As stated in the RFP, Section L.5.1.b. Contract Value: Each submitted project must have a contract value of approximately $500,000 to $20,000,000. Therefore, projects with a minimum value of $500,000 that meet the other criteria of Factor 1 are acceptable.

Q44: Would the U.S. Coast Guard consider accepting Alaska state and local government projects that meet the requirements outlined in the RFP?
A44: USCG will review any cited project submitted that meets the criteria as stated in the RFP, Section L.5.1.

Continued Next Page

Q45: Evaluation of Regional Experience
The RFP evaluation criteria reference “regional experience.” Our firm has successfully completed numerous federal Department of Defense (DoD) projects in Northwest Florida, including within areas that lie near or along the delineation line between U.S. Coast Guard Regions 7 and 8.

Q45a: Will the Government consider federal DoD projects located near the Region 7/8 boundary as relevant “Region 7 experience” for the purposes of Phase One evaluation?
A45a: As stated in Amendment 0002, The project would not be considered less relevant; however, as stated in the RFP, “Work Experience within the Geographic Area: Significant experience working within the geographic area of the contract **may result in a higher rating**.” USCG will review any cited project submitted that meets the criteria as stated in the RFP, Section L.5.1.

Q45b:
If the boundary is interpreted strictly per Coast Guard District definitions, will the Government evaluate all comparable federal project experience (including projects located within adjacent or contiguous counties) equally in determining an offeror’s demonstrated experience and past performance?
A45b: As stated in the RFP, Section M.3.1., “Work Experience within the Geographic Area: Significant experience working within the geographic area of the contract may result in a higher rating.”

Q45c:
If applicable, please confirm whether the solicitation’s evaluation team intends to apply any differentiated weighting between “regional experience” and “broader federal experience” in the Phase One scoring methodology.
A45c: As stated in the RFP, Section M, 3.1., “Work Experience within the Geographic Area: Significant experience working within the geographic area of the contract **may result in a higher rating**.”

Q46: Corporate Experience
Request clarification regarding the requirements outlined under Section L.6.1 – Factor 1: Corporate Experience: Demonstrated Project Experience.
Specifically, can a Prime Contractor (Offeror) include project experience completed by its key personnel proposed under the effort to demonstrate relevant expertise if a PPQ can be obtained? Additionally, will such projects be considered equally relevant to those directly performed by the Prime Contractor (Offeror), provided that the roles, scope, and relevance are clearly defined and documented within the submission?
A46: No, project experience as required in Factor 1 should be only from offeror that acted as Prime Contractor of the project.

Continued Next Page

Q47:Since several Government reference POCs are affected by the government shutdown – if CPARS are not available - are we permitted to include previously obtained PPQs that were submitted for other solicitations within this RFP submission?
A47: Yes, if the PPQ correlates to the specific project cited in Factor 1. The proposal deadlines have been extended. If the shutdown continues into November, USCG will reassess and determine next steps.

Q48: Given the current deadline for submitting questions, we respectfully request at least a two-week extension of the RFP due date. This additional time will allow all offerors to review the Government's responses and incorporate any clarifications to ensure complete and well-prepared proposals.
A48: See the Revised Proposal Due Date table on the last page of this amendment.

Q49: Please advise if offerors will be required to recertify their SB status for each issued task order?
A49: No, offerors will not recertify at the task order level. However, per SBA rules 8(a) firms will have to recertify 120 days prior to the end of the 5th contract year.

Q50: May offerors submit corporate experience and past performance references for subcontractors, affiliates, joint venture partners, etc., and will those be evaluated the same as if it were performed by the prime offeror?
A50: No, project experience as required in Factor 1 should be only from offeror that acted as Prime Contractor of the project. L.6.3.a provides insight of the offerors established business relationships in the region of competition.

Q51: Are offerors to submit a completed SF1442 in the technical proposal?
Please clarify whether offerors' proposals should include a single (technical) volume, or multiple volumes? If multiple volumes, what should be the names/titles of those non-technical volumes?
A51: See revised Section L.5. at beginning of this document.

Q52: Request the Government consider expanding the page limit of Factor 3 only from 5 to 7 pages.
A52: Page restrictions remain the same.

Q53: Section K/Representations and Certifications are typically included in a Business or Administrative volume. Please confirm that the Government wishes Representations to be part of the technical volume?
A53: See revised Section L.5 at beginning of this document.

Q54: L.5.2 SAM registration (RFP page 99) states "**a copy of the current registration to include the socioeconomic category shall be submitted with proposal**." QUESTION: To meet this requirement, would it be acceptable to include the offeror's sam.gov entity information as an appendix or exhibit to their proposal that is excluded from page limits?
A54: Yes, USCG finds this acceptable and will exclude the sam.gov pages from limits.

Q55: L.6 Specific Phase I Instructions (RFP page 100) states that offerors are to submit proposals that include "**completed K.1**". QUESTION: To meet this requirement, would it be acceptable to include the offeror's sam.gov entity information as an appendix or exhibit to their proposal that is excluded from page limits?
A55: See revised Section L.5 at beginning of this document. Ensure offerors are using the Revised RFP.

Q56: L.6 Specific Phase I Instructions (RFP page 100) states that offerors are to submit proposals that include "**completed …K.2**". QUESTION: What document should offerors provide to meet this requirement?
A56: See revised Section L.5 at beginning of this document.

Q57: To facilitate easier navigation of proposals, can offerors include divider, e.g., for each factor, that are excluded from page limits?
A57: See revised Section L.5.a at beginning of this document.

Q58: "Per the identified section, the RFP states: ""however, the Government reserves the right to off-ramp or select to not exercise any option period for a RMACC awardee that does not submit a proposal on three (3) task order RFPs during the course of each year of the contract.""
We respectfully request clarification on whether the government would consider increasing this limit or allowing additional flexibility based on workload, contractors participation, and project volume. Increasing or allowing discretion in the off-ramp limit would better reflect realistic proposal bandwidth while still supporting the government's intent to maintain active and responsive contractors."
A58: As stated in Section C.1 of the RFP, "The USCG understands Small Business concerns and will consider each notification from awardees declining to propose on a project; however, the Government reserves the right to off-ramp or select to not exercise any option period for a RMACC awardee that does not submit a proposal on three (3) task order RFPs during the course of each year of the contract. It is the onus of the contractor to provide sufficient rationale for declining to propose on a project.

Q59: It was not indicated in the RFP how many task orders are anticipated to be issued per region each year. Can the government provide an estimated calculation of anticipated task orders to be issued per region each year?
A59: This is difficult to predict as it is dependent upon the USCG mission, urgency of need, availability of funding, and procurement strategy.

Q60: Will the government allow Attachment A- Experience Overview Sheet as an 11x 17 format?
A60: See revised Section L.5. at beginning of this document.

Q61: L.5.3.a regional Management Capabilities has a total page count of five pages. We kindly request the government considers increasing the page limit to 8 to 10 pages to allow the offeror to sufficiently answer the RFP.
A61 : Page restrictions remain the same.

Q62: L.5.3.b Organizational Technical Capabilities has a total page count of four pages. We kindly request the government considers increasing the page limit to 8 to 10 pages to allow the offeror to sufficiently answer the RFP.
A62 : Page restrictions remain the same.

Q70: We request clarification regarding the definition of "project" in Section L.5.1.e, which states: "For the purpose of this submission, a 'project' is defined as a construction effort executed under a single task order or contract."

As the Government has not expressed an interest in first-tier subcontractor, team member's past performance to demonstrate full PWS coverage unless they are organized as a JV, will the Government please consider allowing the small business prime contractor offerors to use individual or cumulative task order experience performed under a single awardee holder of an IDIQ or task order contracts such as a JOC, SATOC, BOSS and SABER for past performance project examples?
A70: As stated in Section L.5.1.e,"… a 'project' is defined as a construction effort executed under a single task order or contract." Cumulative experience is not acceptable. If task order contracts under a JOC, SATOC, BOSS and SABER meet the requirements of Section L.5.1 they will be considered.

Q71: The RFP, Sec. L, states, "Attachment A: Experience Overview Sheet (limited to 1 Excel file)" while the instructions in Sec. L.6 state that "Offerors are allowed to submit two emails for their proposal. The first email shall contain all elements required by Section L, except for photo pages incorporated into Attachment B. A second email will be allowed for photos pages only."

As Attachment A is an Excel file, is it the Government's intent for Offerors to save this into the Word doc file as a table? Or is it the Government's desire to receive the Attachment A, Excel file, in its source format as a separate file to be submitted with the proposal? Please clarify.
A71: See revised Section L.5. & Section L.6 at beginning of this document.

REVISED Proposal Due Dates:

Regional proposals are due on the date in table below by 5:00 PM Eastern time.

RMACC Contract Region	Regional CEU	Contract Set-Aside	Revised Proposal Due Dates
Region 1 (USCG Northeast District, formerly District 1)	CEU Providence	8(a)	19 November 2025
Region 5 (USCG East District, formerly District 5)	CEU Cleveland	SDVOSB	12 November 2025
Region 7 (USCG Southeast District, formerly District 7)	CEU Miami	8(a)	17 December 2025
Region 7.5 (USCG Southeast District, formerly District 7.5)	CEU Miami	To Be Determined	To Be Determined
Region 8 (USCG Heartland District, formerly District 8)	CEU Miami	HUBZone	12 November 2025
Region 9 (USCG Great Lakes District, formerly District 9)	CEU Cleveland	8(a)	17 December 2025
Region 11 / 13 (USCG Southwest/Northwest District, formerly District 11/13)	CEU Oakland	SDVOSB	12 November 2025
Region 14 (USCG Oceania District, formerly District 14)	CEU Honolulu	8(a)	19 November 2025
Region 17 (USCG Artic District, formerly District 17)	CEU Juneau	Total Small Business	19 November 2025