AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
	1		4

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
0002	10/07/2025		

6. ISSUED BY	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)*	CODE
CONTRACT SPECIALIST USCG, CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIT JUNEAU 709 WEST 9TH STREET, ROOM 817 JUNEAU, AK 99801		CONTRACTING OFFICER USCG, CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIT JUNEAU 709 WEST 9TH STREET, ROOM 817 JUNEAU, AK 99801	

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO., STREET, COUNTY, STATE AND ZIP CODE)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.
POTENTIAL RMACC Contractors	☒	70Z08725RRMACCIII
		9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)* 09/29/2025
	☐	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
		10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*
CODE / FACILITY CODE		

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

☐	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (*Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE REFERENCED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER (SPECIFY TYPE OF MODIFICATION AND AUTHORITY)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☒ is required to sign this document and return 1 copy to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (ORGANIZED BY UCF SECTION HEADINGS, INCLUDING SOLICITATION/CONTRACT SUBJECT MATTER WHERE FEASIBLE.)

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS 70Z08725RRMACCIII INDEFINITE DELIVERY/INDEFINITE QUANTITY REGIONAL MULTIPLE AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (RMACC)

A. This amendment is issued to answer Contractor questions received October 1-4, 2025.

B. PROPOSAL DUE DATES REMAIN UNCHANGED. SEE TABLE SECTION L OF RFP.

C. PLEASE RETURN A SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT WITH YOUR PROPOSAL.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or Print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
		CHERYL A. BERRY, CONTRACTING OFFICER, USCG	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR *(Signature of person authorized to sign)*	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	16C. DATE SIGNED

Amend 0002: Response to Contractor questions.

Q1: L.5 Proposal Submission Instructions - L.5.2 SAM registration state "Offeror shall ensure current registration on the SAM Website, www.sam.gov, including Annual Representations and Certifications are complete and updated for this procurement. A copy of the current registration to include the socioeconomic category shall be submitted with proposal. If a Representation or Certification required by Section K of the RFP is not provided in SAM, include the representation or certification in your price proposal. NOTE: If an offeror fails to demonstrate SAM.gov registration with the required socioeconomic categories, then their proposal does not meet government requirements and may not be evaluated further."

RFI – Does each offeror need to print out the Reps and Certs of our SAM registration and include them in Phase I? If yes, no price proposal exists in phase I, where are we to include it?

A1: This is a typo—Reps & Certs should be submitted with technical proposal. This documentation is not counted in the page limitations. Price proposals will be part of Phase II of the solicitation.

Q2: L.6 Specific Phase I Instructions – states "Offerors are to submit proposals entitled "RMACC III Region [identify Region #] Phase I Proposal" via email to include the SF1442, Section K Completed Representations and Certifications, completed K.1 and K.2, and documentation addressing Phase I Evaluation Factors one through four. Offerors proposing on multiple Regions must submit separate proposals for each region. Offerors must adhere to the Evaluation Factor page limits and requirements. It is the Contractor's responsibility to ensure the proposal package is received in the USCG Outlook mail inbox by the deadline. Timestamp of sent file will not be considered."
However, L.7. Electronic Proposal Submission for Regional Offers states that we are only allowed to submit two PDF electronic files - the first email shall contain all elements required by Section L, except for photo pages incorporated into Attachment B. A second email will be allowed for photo pages only.

RFI - Would the government please clarify that we are allowed to submit four separate PDF documents? 1 for the SF 1442, 1 for Section K Completed Representations and Certifications, 1 for Section L, and one for photo pages incorporated into Attachment B?

A2: For each Region your company wishes to compete—only 2 email submissions with one pdf file not to exceed 20MB and the page limitations set by Section L are required.
Email 1, file one shall contain-- the SF1442, Section K Completed Representations and Certifications, completed K.1 and K.2, and documentation addressing Phase I Evaluation Factors one through four.

Email 2, file two shall contain photos of cited project examples only.
If you are competing in multiple regions—the RFP responses go to different POC—therefore 2 files/emails per region required.

Q3: In Section H.10 minimum bonding requirements are identified. As some regions are set-aside for 8(a) Business Development and contractors are building its capacity, will the contractor be considered if bonding levels are lower than the minimum bonding requirements at the time of submission?

A3: Contractor must meet minimum bonding capacity as stated within the RFP in order for their proposal to be fully evaluated. A letter from your bonding surety stating you will have the capacity stated in the RFP at time of RMACC III award is sufficient. Note: Phase II of the RMACC III solicitation will require Bid Bond for the seed project.

Q4: To what extent does the geographic boundary between a proposed project's location and the target region impact its overall relevancy under the Corporate Experience factor? Specifically, if an Offeror is bidding on Region 7 (USCG Southeast District), but submits a project located in the Florida Panhandle (which falls in Region 8 – USCG Heartland District), will the project be considered less relevant solely because of the administrative boundary? We can demonstrate that the project meets or exceeds all other relevancy criteria (size, scope, complexity, completion status).

A4: The project would not be considered less relevant; however, as stated in the RFP, "Work Experience within the Geographic Area: Significant experience working within the geographic area of the contract **may result in a higher rating**."

Q5: For RMACC III proposal response, we respectfully request you consider adding a few years to the Project Relevancy in Past Performance (i.e. projects up to 10 years will be considered as relevant experience). The reason for this is that during the COVID shutdown, not much was accomplished, and we have very relevant projects that hover around a 10-year cutoff (which we would like to use to show competence).

A5: FAR 42.1503 (g) states that Agencies shall use the past performance information in CPARS that is within three years (**six for construction and architect-engineer contracts**) of the completion of performance of the evaluated contract or order, and information contained in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), *e.g.*, terminations for default or cause. In accordance with this clause, USCG will accept projects within 6 years from the due date of the RFP.

Continued Next Page

Q6: In previously published answers Question #1 Response stated "To be eligible for the Region 9 8(a) set-aside, your firm's official SAM.gov registration must reflect an active 8(a) certification at the time of proposal submission and maintained throughout the contract period. Self-certifications are not acceptable." Contractors are eligible for the contract period under the 8(a) rules as long as SAM Registration indicates 8(a) certification at time of award. Please confirm that's what was meant was **SAM Registration (not the 8(a) certification)** must be active throughout the contract term.

A6: As stated in the Consolidated QA for RMACC III document posted to SAM.gov 09/25/2025, the Contractor must have 8(a) certification in SAM Registration. The SBA makes final eligibility determination of any apparent successful offerors prior to contract award IAW 13 CFR 124.503(i)(1)(i). Contractor awarded under an 8(a) set-aside must be active in the 8(a) program to continue under the contract throughout subsequent option periods. If a contractor graduates from the 8(a) program during contract performance, they may continue to compete during the current contract period but will no longer be eligible for future options periods.

Q7: If a formal Teaming Agreement is the vehicle to be used to describe the relationship with our DOR *and* they will be acting in this capacity throughout the contract period, can one of DOR's past projects be submitted as one of the 5 past performances?

A7: This question has been addressed in the Consolidated QA for RMACC III document posted to SAM.gov 09/25/2025, question #47. USCG does not request experience of teaming agreements. If the (2) contractors are forming an official joint venture, projects cited under experience must include at least one project from each member.