Questions/Clarifications from Contractors
1 Oct 2025:

1. Definition of 'on-call support requirements' per Section 4.3.1
    a. There is no section 4.3.1.

2. Clarification of vehicle/equipment ownership expectations versus vendor lease
    a. It is up to the contractor if they decide to rent or own.

3. More detail on base access credentialing timelines for subcontracted labor
    a. Base access differs depending on the base/location. More information can be given in the future on a case by case basis.

4. Does the Government anticipate that the LMA would be responsible for managing ship dockings on any class of ship identified, including MSC ships in the 7th fleet AOR during the term of this contract?
    a. We are not sure at this time. This is a potential, and we would want contractors to have this capability should this become a requirement. For MSC the answer is no.

5. Will all contractors operating in the 7th fleet AOR, and permanently stationed in Singapore be required to obtain and maintain a secret clearance? Will they also be required to have a CAC card for entrance into any US facility?
    a. Security clearance will be required for specific positions as laid out in the final version of the PWS.CAC is not required. It is the responsibility of the contractor to ensure its contractors gain access to all facilities, US or not. A CAC can help with this, but is not required. It is up to the KTR to determine if they want their teams to have a CAC or not.

6. 3.1.3 Does the Government require only US Citizens, or can US Persons also be allowed to work on the base LMA contract?
    a. Contractors must be able to obtain security clearance for specific positions, so US Citizenship status will be required for those positions, all other positions may be US Persons.

7. Will the Government Sponsor the Contractors in Singapore during the duration of this contract? Including physical and logical access via systems like the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS) and Mission Partner Identity, Credential, Access Management (MP ICAM). Does the Government intend to invoke DFARS 225.371-5 CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL SUPPORTING U.S. ARMED FORCES DEPLOYED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES (OCT 2023)?
    a. The government will sponsor each member of the LMA team with a FIN. (Foreign Identification Number. A FIN will allow members of the LMA team to live in Singapore Visa free, and be exempted from Singapore income taxes. Bidders may not bid any visa or tax costs for their proposed LMA team members. LMA team members must live and reside in Singapore full time. FIN's will not be provided for anyone who does not live and reside in Singapore full time. FIN's for the LMA team will not allow them to be a part of the COE exempt car buying program, foreign driver's license conversion program, or the fuel savings program. FIN's shall not be provided for teaming partners or sub-contractors. If a LMA team member with a FIN wishes to get a Singapore driver's license they must communicate to the Singapore government that they are not eligible for diplomatic status when converting the

license. Anyone who does otherwise will be reported to the Singaporean government for listing false status under Singaporean law. The government will only sponsor LMA team members full time based in Singapore. For systems like the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS) and Mission Partner Identity, Credential, Access Management (MP ICAM) the government will support. The government is unsure at this time if it will invoke DFARS 225.371-5 CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL SUPPORTING U.S. ARMED FORCES DEPLOYED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES (OCT 2023). This will be determined in the official solicitation.

8. 3.1.12.2 – Does the Government expect the Contractor to maintain a minimum CFM inventory on-site in Singapore to provide anticipated service to the fleet? Does the Government anticipate the same for GFM?

a. Yes the Government expects the Contractor to maintain a minimum CFM inventory on-site in Singapore to provide anticipated service to the fleet. No the government does not anticipate the same need for GFM.

9. 3.1.15 – Can the Government provide Section J for the purposes of responding to this RFI?

a. This will be included in the RFP.

10. For work to be performed at US Naval bases in Guam and Japan, will the Government provide additional warehouse space or support? Or does the Government expect the Contractor to arrange for support in all areas of the AOR?

a. No, the government will not provide additional warehouse space or support for work to be performed at US Naval bases in Guam and Japan. Government expects the contractor to arrange its own support in all areas of the AOR per availability as specified by each delivery order issued under this single award IDIQ. Contractors will bid the costs of this under each DO, not under this single award IDIQ solicitation.

11. 3.4.1.2.5 – Does the Government expect that Engineering support must be located in Singapore?

a. Yes. The government requires all LMA positions to be in Singapore. Nothing shall be sent for action back to CONUS. All capability from the LMA team, to include but not limited to, engineering support, contracting authority, planning, estimating, and the entering of binding agreements with the US Government, shall be in Singapore.

12. 3.4.4.2-4 – Is the alternate location required to be a US manned facility, and meet all NAVSEA security requirements? Must it be managed by US Citizens?

a. No, the alternate location is not required to be a US manned facility. No, it is not required to meet NAVSEA security requirements. No, it is not required to be managed by US Citizens, unless position requires security clearance as laid out in the PWS.

13. Please confirm whether a memorandum of understanding with a potential teaming partner would be sufficient to satisfy Section 3.4.5.5

a. Details on teaming partner arrangement specifics will be laid out in the solicitation.

14. Will a bidder establishing prime/subcontractor arrangements using subcontracts rather than Teaming Agreements as defined in the FAR satisfy the Teaming Arrangement requirements identified in the RFI?

a. Teaming arrangements are expected as defined in FAR 9.601(2). The specific details on how teaming arrangements will be evaluated will be disclosed in the solicitation package.

15. Will the customer provide a list of approved suppliers?

a. No, a list will not be provided. There is no list of approved or not approved suppliers. It is up to the bidder to determine its approach for which suppliers to select in order to accomplish this contract most effectively.

16. Will all availabilities adhere to NAVSEA Standard items, specifically for ESB (Expeditionary Sea Base) vessels, rather than following the GTR (General Technical Requirements) for MSC Military Sealift Command) work scope requirements?

a. Yes. All availabilities will adhere to NAVSEA Standard Items with zero exceptions. The work SRF DET Singapore conducts on MSC operated ESB's is all accomplished to NAVSEA Standard Items as is the same for every vessel under this contract.