JUSTIFICATION FOR OTHER THAN FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION FOR SOLE SOURCE AND LIMITED COMPETITION

1. Agency: DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY Contracting Activity: AVIATION RICHMOND
Document Identification Number: PR#0067005492 Solicitation Number: SPE4A717R0555

☒ FAR Part 15 ☐ FAR Part 12 ☐ FAR Part 13.5

2. The action being approved is the acquisition of supplies/services on other than a full and open competition basis.

☒ New Action ☐ Amendment: _____

3. The supplies/services required to meet the using activity's needs are described as follows:

BRIEF DESCRIPTION	NSN/PN	QUANTITY	ESTIMATED VALUE
FILTER ELEMENT,FLUI	2915009196611	665	[redacted]

*If too numerous, attach list of NSNs and AMSC codes.

This acquisition:

Type of Contract (may include number of base years)_____

☒ will not include an option.

☐ will include a _____% option for increased quantity. Total estimated dollar value of option quantity is $_____.

☐ will include an option to extend the term of the contract for a period of _____ months, exercisable in increments of _____ months. Total estimated dollar value of all option periods is $_____. The maximum dollar value of all option periods is $_____.

The total estimated dollar value of this acquisition is: (inclusive of any options) $_____

The maximum dollar value of this acquisition is: (inclusive of any options) $_____

4. The statutory authority permitting other than full and open competition is 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) – Available from only one or limited number of sources.

5. The acquisition is restricted to the only source(s) of supply known to have the capability of furnishing the required supplies/services. Source(s) are:

CAGE	COMPANY NAME
94330	JSN, INC. DBA WIRE CLOTH FILTER
73030	HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION
45934	ONTIC ENGINEERING

The use of authority 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) is based on:

☒ AMC 1. Suitable for competitive acquisition for the second or subsequent time.

☐ AMC 2. Suitable for competitive acquisition for the first time.

☐ AMC 3. Acquire, for the second or subsequent time, directly from the manufacturer.

☐ AMC 4. Acquire, for the first time, directly from the manufacturer.

☐ AMC 5. Acquire directly from the sole source contractor, who is not the manufacturer.

☐ AMSC A. The Government's right to use data in its possession is questionable. This code is under review and will be updated when the review is complete.

☐ AMSC B. This must be acquired from a manufacturing source(s) specified on a source control drawing.

☒ AMSC C. This part required engineering source approval by the design control activity in order to maintain the quality of the part.

☐ AMSC D. The data needed to acquire this part is not economically available.

☐ AMSC H. The Government does not have sufficient, accurate, or legible data to purchase this part from other than the current source. This code is under review and will be updated when the review is complete.

- ☐ AMSC K. This part must be produced from class 1 castings under MIL-STD-2175.
- ☐ AMSC L. The annual buy value of this part falls below the screening threshold established by DoD.
- ☐ AMSC M. Manufacture of this part requires use of master or coordinated tooling.
- ☐ AMSC N. Manufacture of this part requires special test and/or inspection facilities to maintain function or system integrity.
- ☐ AMSC P. The rights to use the data needed to purchase this part from additional source(s) are not owned by the Government and cannot be purchased, developed, or otherwise obtained.
- ☐ AMSC Q. The Government does not have adequate data, lacks rights to data, or both. It is not economical to buy the data or rights to the data.
- ☐ AMSC R. The Government does not own the data or rights to the data needed to purchase this part from another source. It is not economical to procure the data or rights to the data.
- ☐ AMSC S. Acquisition of this item is restricted to Government approved source(s) because the item involves military sensitive technology.
- ☐ AMSC U. The cost to the Government to breakout this part and acquire it competitively has been determined to exceed the projected savings over the life span of the part.
- ☐ AMSC V. This part has been designated a high reliability part. Probability of failure would be unacceptable. The engineering activity has determined that data to define and control reliability limits cannot be obtained.
- ☐ AMSC Y. The design of this part is unstable. Engineering, manufacturing, or performance characteristics indicate that the required design objectives have not been achieved.
- ☐ AMSC Z. This part is a commercial/no-developmental/off-the-shelf item.
- ☐ Other:_____

6. Efforts to ensure that offers are solicited from as many sources as practicable are:
   - ☒ The acquisition will be synopsized on or about 3/8/2017 and any firm requesting a solicitation copy will be provided one.
   - ☐ A synopsis exception cited in FAR 5.202 applies: (Explain) _____
   - ☐ Manufacturers of similar products identified in the market survey will be solicited.
   - ☐ Dealers of the manufacturers will be solicited.
   - ☒ Other: (Explain) Sources sought posted in FED BIZ on 3/9/2017. There were no interested sources received in response to the sources sought notice.

7. I hereby determine that the anticipated cost to the Government will be fair and reasonable. (Explain) THE ACQUISITION SPECIALIST ANTIC PATES THAT THE PRICE RECEIVED N RESPONSE TO THE SOLICITATION WILL BE DETERMINED FAIR AND REASONABLE, BASED ON THE PREVIOUS PROCUREMENT HISTORY DETERMINED REASONABLE AND ADEQUATE PRICING COST DATA WILL BE REQUESTED FROM VENDOR.

8. A market survey as defined by FAR 10:
   - ☐ was conducted, and firms indicating an interest in the acquisition will be solicited. Market research efforts, include: _____
   - ☒ was not conducted due to: THE APPROVES SOURCES ARE INDICATED IN A SOURCE CONTROLLED DRAWING IN WHICH THE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO REVISE OR ALTER. ADDITIONAL RESEARCH WOULD NOT RESULT IN ADDITIONAL COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS.

9. Additional facts supporting other than full and open competition are:
   - ☐ Based upon the technical certification on file, technical data is not available and cannot be developed to permit full and open competition. The data presently available reflects the minimum needs of the Government.
   - ☐ When FAR 6.302-1 is cited for follow-on acquisitions as described in 6.302-1(a)(2)(ii), an estimate if the cost to the Government that would be duplicated and how the estimate was derived. _____

☒ Other: If any response is received from the sources sought after the deadline, they will be considered for future buys.

10. A listing of sources, if any, indicating an interest in writing in the acquisition are: (if none, state none)

CAGE	COMPANY NAME

11. The actions, being taken to overcome barriers to competition before any subsequent acquisition are made: (Explain)

Synopsis completed. Any Source approval packages will be submitted to the product specialist for review in accordance with alternate offer clauses L04 and M06 to be included in the solicitation.

APPROVAL

APPROVAL LEVELS OF THE JUSTIFICATION – FAR 6.304

☒ not exceeding $700,000…….....……. Contracting Officer
☐ over $700,000 not exceeding $13,500,000…… Competition Advocate

TECHNICAL/REQUIREMENTS PERSONNEL CERTIFICATION – FAR 6.302-2(c)

Supporting data that is the responsibility of technical or requirements personnel and which form the basis for this justification have been certified as complete and accurate by the cognizant technical/requirements Personnel. Signature of technical/requirements personnel is not required.

DLA COUNSEL AVIATION – DLAD 1.691(e)(ii)

DLA Counsel Aviation has reviewed this Form S53 and concluded it creates a legally sufficient justification for restricting competition pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) and FAR 6.302-1 in acquisitions for NSNs in the federal supply system.

CONTRACTING OFFICER – FAR 6.303-2(b)(12)

I hereby certify that the information contained in this justification is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. If not exceeding $700,000, this signature serves as the approval.

Name and Title	Signature	Phone	Date
	[redacted]RICE.A. Digitally signed by BROWN.LATRICE.A Date: 2017.03.29 10:[redacted]		

COMPETITION ADVOCATE – FAR 6.304(a)(2)

The Competition Advocate for the procuring activity designated pursuant to FAR 6.501 has reviewed and approved this Justification for a proposed contract over $700,000, but not exceeding $13.5 million.

Name and Title	Signature	Phone	Date