AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
J	1		15

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
0002	29 JUN 2026		

6. ISSUED BY CODE W91247

W6QM MICC FDO FT BRAGG
2175 ROCK MERRITT AVE, STOP A
FORT BRAGG, NC 28310-0000
UNITED STATES
SARAH JACQUES, EMAIL: SARAH.K.JACQUES.CIV@ARMY.MIL TELEPHONE: 401-864-2358

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

SCD: PAS:

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE FACILITY CODE

(X)

☒ 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER
W9124726QA017

9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)*
11 JUN 2026

☐ 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER

10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended. ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

SEE CONTINUATION

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
☐	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

See Schedule

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
		CASEY N. CAMBRIN	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		Casey N. Cambrin *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	29 JUN 2026

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Block 14 (Description of Amendment/Modification): The purpose of this amendment is as follows:
1. Incorporate Government response to submitted questions.
2. Incorporate revised Attachment 1_Performance Work Statement. All changes are in red.
3. Incorporate Wage Determination WD 2015-4377 Revision 31
4. Extend the closing date until 07 July 2026.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged. See Summary of Changes for details.

Solicitation/Contract Form Continuation

The following changes have been made:

INFORMATION	FROM	TO
Response Due Date	30 Jun 2026	07 Jul 2026

Contract Clauses

Additional Information/Notes

The following clauses were deleted:

FAR Clauses Incorporated by Full Text

52.219-4 Notice of Price Evaluation Preference for HUBZone Small Business Concerns. (Deviation 2026-O0038) (Feb 2026)

Notice of Price Evaluation preference for HUBZone Small Business Concerns (Feb 2026) (Deviation 2026-O0038)

(a) Evaluation preference.

(1) Offers will be evaluated by adding a factor of 10 percent to the price of all offers, except-

(i) Offers from HUBZone small business concerns that have not waived the evaluation preference; and

(ii) Otherwise successful offers from small business concerns.

(b) *Waiver of evaluation preference*. A HUBZone small business concern may choose to waive the evaluation preference. If the concern waives the preference, the factor will be added to its offer for evaluation purposes.

☐ Offeror chooses to waive the evaluation preference.

(c) *Joint venture.* A HUBZone joint venture agrees that, in the performance of the contract, at least 40 percent of the aggregate work performed by the joint venture shall be completed by the HUBZone small business parties to the joint venture. Work performed by the HUBZone small business parties to the joint venture must be more than administrative functions.

(End of provision)

Addendum to Contract Clauses

Additional Information/Notes

The following clauses were modified:

52.212-2 Evaluation-Commercial Products and Commercial Services. Nov 2021 hereby reads as follows:

Evaluation-Commercial Products and Commercial Services (Nov 2021)

Evaluation-Commercial Products and Commercial Services (Nov 2021)

(a) The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered. The following factors shall be used to evaluate offers:

1. Price: Overall price will be evaluated. The Price Submission will not be scored or rated. The techniques and procedures described under FAR Part 15.404-1(b) will be the primary means of assessing Price analysis to determine price reasonableness. The be viable for award, an Offerors

price must be determined fair and reasonable, balanced, complete and accurate. The Government shall also evaluate Option Year Pricing including its option to extend services (see FAR Clause 52.217-8). Additional information in accordance with FAR 15.402(a) may be required from the Offeror to support the proposed price. Unbalanced Pricing may be evaluated in accordance with FAR 15.404-1(g), as applicable, to assess potential performance risk which could result in unreasonably high prices

(i) The offeror must submit a proposed price for the line items listed in the solicitation.

(ii) The offeror shall ensure the price is represented in two decimal positions only (example, $0.27, NOT $0.27434).

(iii) Offeror must clearly identify the corresponding line item for each item prices as well as each item's unit price and quantity. A total price of all items shall also be included.

(iv) The Government will conduct a cross walk between the Offeror's detailed Priced Staffing Matrix and Un-priced Staffing Matrix for evaluation of completeness and accuracy

2. The offeror shall incorporate all appropriate discounts in their offer that are applicable to this solicitation. Trade-offs between cost/price and noncost/ price factors are not permitted.

3. Offers shall be good for at least 90 days after submittal.

(b) Options. The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. The Government may determine that an offer is unacceptable if the option prices are significantly unbalanced. Evaluation of options shall not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

(c) A written notice of award or acceptance of an offer, mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time for acceptance specified in the offer, shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party. Before the offer's specified expiration time, the Government may accept an offer (or part of an offer), whether or not there are negotiations after its receipt, unless a written notice of withdrawal is received before award.

List of Contract Documents, Exhibits, or Attachments

The attachments table has changed from:

Identifier	Document Name	Document Description	Reference Identifier	Date	Line Item	Page Numbers	Document	Provided

							Type	Under Separate Cover
0001	Attachment 1_ Performance Work Statement	Performance Work Statement		07 May 2026		33	Attachment	No

To:

Identifier	Document Name	Document Description	Reference Identifier	Date	Line Item	Page Numbers	Document Type	Provided Under Separate Cover
0001	Attachment 1_ Performance Work Statement_Amendment 0002_29JUN26	Performance Work Statement		07 May 2026		33	Attachment	No
0002	Wage Determination 2015-4377	Wage Determination		29 Jun 2026		7	Attachment	No

The following contract documents were added:

Attachment 1_Performance Work Statement_Amendment 0002_29JUN26
Wage Determination 2015-4377

The following contract documents were deleted:

Attachment 1_Performance Work Statement

The following contract documents Page Counts were changed:

DOCUMENT PAGE COUNTS		
DOCUMENT NAME	FROM	TO
Wage Determination 2015-4377	none	7

Addendum to 52.212-1, Instructions to Offerors - Commercial Products and Commercial Services

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

ADDENDUM TO FAR 52.212-1

Replace paragraph (b) entitled "Submission of offers: with the following instructions:

1. GENERAL. These proposal instructions are designed to provide general guidance for preparing responses as well as providing specific instructions on response organization, formal, content and evaluation under FAR Part 15. Offeror should include all documents and information in accordance with the instructions herein. Noncompliance with the solicitation requirements may hamper the Government's ability to properly evaluate the proposal and may result in elimination of the proposal from further consideration for contract award. Offerors are cautioned to follow the instructions carefully and shall present their best technical proposals and prices in their initial proposal submission, as it is the Government's intent to make an award based on initial proposals received without discussions. However, should discussion become necessary, the Government reserves the right to communicate fairly and equally with contractors by entering into exchanges or discussions.

2. The submission of the documentation specified below and any amendments shall constitute the Offeror's acceptance of the terms and conditions of the solicitation, concurrence with the PWS, and contract type. If an Offeror believes that the requirements in these instructions contain an error, an ambiguity, omission, or otherwise deemed unsound, the Offeror shall immediately notify the KO in writing with supporting rationale prior to the proposal due date.

3. All required proposal documents are due by 07 July 2026 , 4:00PM EST. Responses shall be submitted prior to the closing date and time identified above electronically to Sarah K. Jacques, contract specialist, at sarah.k.jacques.civ@army.mil and Casey N. Cambrin, Contracting Officer, at casey.n.cambrin.civ@army.mil.

4. The Offeror shall provide a separate cover sheet with its response and include the following information:

a. Cover sheet shall contain the company's legal name and address, Cage Code, Unique Entity Identifier (UEI), and Tax Identification Number (TIN);

b. The name and title of the person authorized to sign and negotiate the offer;

c. A statement that the offer is valid for at least 90 days;

d. A statement that the offer is submitted in response to Solicitation W91247-26-Q-A017;

e. Additionally, the Offeror shall acknowledge receipt of any amendments issued to the solicitation.

5. Response Organization and Format: The response shall be organized into three (3) separate Volumes. The Volumes are: Volume I (Mission Capability), Volume II (Price) and Volume III (Past Performance). Solicitation response must comply with the page limitations and format specified for each volume. Page limitations and specific information required for each volume are as follows:

Volume	Title	Format	Page Limits
I	Mission Capability	MS Word or Searchable PDF	35 pages
II	Price	Pricing Matrix in MS Excel, (unprotected copy) Pricing Narrative in MS Word or Searchable PDF	No Limit
III	Past Performance	MS Word or Searchable PDF	10 Pages

5.1. Page Limitation: The proposal shall not exceed the page limits stated above. Page limit includes all appendices, charts, graphs, diagrams, tables, photographs, drawings, etc. Page Limit does not include covers for volumes, tables of contents, glossary of abbreviations and acronyms, indices, title pages, cross reference indices, and section dividers/tables if they are inserted solely to provide ease to the reader in locating parts/sections of the proposal. Pages marked "This page intentionally left blank" will not be counted. Pages will be counted if they contain any other information, i.e., diagrams, extraneous data, etc.

5.2. What Constitutes a Page? A page shall be an 8 1/2X 11" digital format. The Offeror shall number each page in order to eliminate any confusion. In the event the Offeror creates an ambiguity in their numbering of pages, the Government may exercise its own discretion in counting pages. If the page limits are exceeded, the pages in excess of the limit shall be removed and will not be considered for evaluations. The Government will not accept any changes to the Offerors proposal after the closing date of the solicitation. If discussions become necessary, page limitations may be placed on responses to Evaluation Notices (ENs) to the Offerors.

5.2.1. Submission Format. Specific guidance regarding format and content is discussed in the following paragraphs. The Government will only read/evaluate the maximum number of pages allowed. The submission content shall be formatted as follows:

a. Text: Single-spaced;

b. Font Size: Microsoft Office Word, Arial 12 font;

c. Margins: One Inch (1") left, right, top and bottom;

d. Minimum use of non-standard paper size is allowable.

Note: The font size requirement does not apply to headers, footers, charts, graphics, figures, and tables; however, the font size must be reasonable and easily readable. Font size other than 12

point is acceptable for call-out boxes, focus boxes, and theme statement boxes provided it is reasonable and easily readable with the naked eye. Page numbering must be sequential throughout the entire document, and new chapters/sections do not restart the page numbering.

6. Solicitation Response Content.

6.1. Volume I - Mission Capability:

6.1.1. General. Offeror shall submit a proposal that is self-sufficient and responds directly to the requirements of the solicitation. The proposal should be clear, concise, and include adequate detail for effective evaluation. The Offeror should address, as specifically as possible, the actual methodology used for accomplishing the PWS tasks. The proposal should not simply rephrase or restate the Government's requirements, but rather should provide convincing rational to address how the Offeror intends to meet these requirements. Statements that the Offeror understands, can, or will comply with the PWS (including referenced publications, technical, data, etc.); statements paraphrasing the PWS or parts thereof (including referenced publications, technical data, etc.); and phrases such as "standard procedures will be employed" or "well known techniques will be used," etc., will be considered unacceptable and may be grounds to eliminate the proposal from further consideration for contract award.

6.1.2. Offerors should assume that the Government has no prior knowledge of their experience, and that it will base its evaluation on the information presented in the Offeror's proposal. The proposal should contain sufficient information to enable the Government to fully evaluate and determine the Offeror's capability to comply with the requirements identified in the solicitation.

6.1.3. Mission Capability Content: Volume I consist of three (3) subfactors. Subfactor 1a Phase-In Approach; Subfactor 1b Management Approach; and Subfactor 1c Staffing Approach. Volume I shall not include pricing information. The Mission Capability (Volume I) response should provide a sound and feasible approach that addresses all applicable requirements of the solicitation, PWS and the associated attachments. It shall also include the following:

a. Phase-In Approach: The Offeror shall provide a plan to affect a smooth and orderly transfer of contract responsibility IAW PWS Part 1.21.1. The thirty (30) calendar day Phase-In Plan shall include a chart/timeline of events that discusses who will manage the phase-in and what procedures will be used to assume full operational control without break or degradation of critical service, by the start date of reaching Full Operational Capacity (FOC).

b. Management Approach: The Offeror shall address the methodology for planning, organizing, directing, and controlling its staff in performance of the tasks identified in the PWS, and associated attachments. It shall also include the following:

i. The Offeror shall provide an organizational chart which identifies the management structure of the proposed management Team. The offeror shall identify all members of their team compliment (key personnel and any utilization of subcontractors).

ii. The Offeror shall demonstrate how they will minimize personnel turnover, recruit and retain qualified and experienced personnel, and cover office operations during employee absences.

iii. Contingency Plan for Mission -Essential Contractor. The Contractor shall develop a plan in accordance with DFARS 252.237-7024 that addresses the following:

i. Identify provisions made for the acquisition of essential personnel and resources, if necessary, for continuity of operations for up to 30 days or until normal operations can be met.

ii. Challenges associated with maintaining essential contractor services during an extended event, such as a pandemic that occurs in repeated waves

(i)The time lapse associated with the initiation of the acquisition of essential personnel and resources and their actual availability on site;

(ii) The components, processes, and requirements for the identification, training, and preparedness of personnel who are capable of relocating to alternate facilities or performing work from home;

(iii) Any established alert and notification procedures for mobilizing identified "essential contractor service" personnel; and

iv) The approach for communicating expectations to contractor employees regarding their roles and responsibilities during a crisis.

c. Staffing Approach. The staffing plan shall include an un-priced labor matrix that identifies all members of their team by labor category Service Contract Act (SCA labor codes and titles) and identify any SCA exempt labor positions. The offeror's unpriced labor matrix shall also include number of Full Time Equivalent (FTEs) and/or part-time personnel, if any, proposed, any cross training/utilization of personnel, and the hours in each labor category to adequately perform each task area identified in the PWS. The staffing plan shall explain roles, responsibilities, and address the staff's qualifications and NACI clearance as required by the PWS.

6.2. Price (Volume II):

6.2.1. The price submission should be clearly marked " Volume II, Price, W91247-26-Q-A017. Failure to follow the below preparation instructions may cause your response to be deemed unacceptable by the Government. All final monetary extensions will be represented in two decimal positions only, (example: $0.27 not $0.27434).

6.2.2. For the purpose of price evaluation, offerors shall include the following: 1) Pricing Schedule (Attachment 2) and 2) Priced Staffing Matrix -in contractor's own format submitted in MS Excel, detailing the effective loaded labor rates and any associated escalation for all positions proposed under this contract. CLIN prices cannot be left blank. If the Offeror does not intend to charge for a specific CLIN, indicate such by entering "NSP" (not separately priced) in the extended price of the

CLIN. As part of price evaluation, the government will evaluate its option to extend services IAW FAR Clause 52.217-8 by adding one half of the offeror's final option period price to the offeror's total price. Offerors should not enter a price for that six-month period.

Pricing Schedule - See Attachment 2. All proposed prices should be complete accurate. Electronic versions of the price proposal shall be submitted in MS Office Excel format ONLY, as an unprotected/unlocked copy. If the pricing proposal requires a narrative, it shall be submitted in PDF or Word Format. it is the Offerors responsibility to ensure that all formulas and calculations are correct. The Pricing Schedule shall include the FFP proposed for the following:

(i) CLINS 0001, 0002, 1001, 2001, 3001, and 4001: DEERS Support Services shall be priced by contract period IAW the PWS by inputting unit/monthly price and extended total for each CLIN.

(ii) CLINS 0003, 1002, 2002, 3002, and 4002: Service Contract Reporting Initiative: As part of its proposal, the Offeror will provide the estimated total cost (if any) incurred to comply with the Service Contract Reporting (SCR) requirement. Offeror will determine, in the Schedule CLINS, to price or not separately-price the SCR requirement. CLINs shall be the CLINs under which the Contractor shall bill for all costs associated with the SCR requirement.

6.2.3. The Government will evaluate responses strictly IAW the solicitation and will not assume that performance will include areas not specified in the Offeror's proposal. The Government reserves the right to reject a response upon initial submission and to no consider it for contract award if required data is absent or the data received conveys a misunderstanding of the requirement. The Government intends to award without discussions. Therefore, each initial response should contain the offeror's best terms from a cost and technical standpoint. If revised responses are requested; they will be evaluated against the same criteria as were the initial responses.

6.3. Volume III - Factor 3 - Past Performance. The Offeror must provide documentation for a minimum of three (3) years relevant work experience in awarded Government or commercial contracts that are the same or similar nature of work, size, magnitude, complexity and scope. Relevancy is defined as contracts that are most similar to this overall effort. Some of the factors in determining relevancy include: diversity of required expertise, scope of PWS, magnitude, project complexity, business management and control processes and contract type(s).

Addendum to Evaluation - Commercial Product and Commercial Services

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

Addendum to FAR 52.212-2 Evaluation Commercial Items

(a) The Government will use a Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) evaluation method for this requirement. The Government intends to award a single contract resulting from the solicitation, to the Offeror who is deemed responsible in accordance with the FAR, as supplemented, whose proposal conforms to the solicitation requirements (to include all stated terms, conditions, and all other information required by the solicitation), provides the lowest priced, technically acceptable response to satisfy the requirements of the solicitation and the PWS. The following factors shall be used to evaluate offers:

Factor 1: Mission Capability

Factor 2: Price

Factor 3: Past Performance

Basis for award - Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA):

a. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's proposals strictly in accordance with this solicitation and will not assume that performance will include areas not specified in the Offeror's submission. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award one (1) contract without negotiations; therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain its best terms from a technical standpoint. However, in accordance with (IAW) FAR Part 15.203, should discussion become necessary, the Government reserves the right to hold them. If this occurs, a competitive range will be determined and competitive range "comprised of all the most highly rated proposals, unless the range is further reduced for purposes of efficiency pursuant to FAR Part 15.204-1(b).

b. The Contracting Officer shall make a determination of responsibility in accordance with the standards of FAR 9.104. In order to be determined responsible, a prospective contractor must meet all standards of FAR 9.104. Award shall be made to the responsible offeror whose proposal is deemed Technically Acceptable and offers the lowest price which the Contracting Officer determined to be reasonable.

1.0. Evaluation Criteria:

1. The Government will use the criteria set forth below to evaluate and select the awardee. The Offeror's proposals will be evaluated under the following evaluation factors:

Factor 1: Mission Capability

Subfactor 1a: Phase-in Approach

Subfactor 1b: Management Approach

Subfactor 1c: Staffing Approach

Factor 2: Price

Factor 3: Past Performance

1.1. Volume I - Factor 1 - Mission Capability: The Government's technical evaluation team will evaluate the technical capability subfactors on an acceptable or unacceptable basis. Offerors must receive Acceptable ratings in each subfactor and any subfactor that is rated unacceptable will result in the overall Mission Capability factor being determined Unacceptable. Factor 1 and subfactors a, b, and c will receive one of the adjectival ratings defined in the table below.

Non-Price Factor Acceptable/Unacceptable Ratings

Rating	Description
Acceptable	Proposal meets the requirements of the solicitation and the PWS.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet the requirements of the solicitation and the PWS.

The Offeror's Mission Capability provided a sound and feasible Mission Capability Approach that addressed all applicable requirements which include the following:

a. Phase-in Plan. i. The Offeror provided a realistic phase-in plan that satisfies the phase-in requirements of the PWS, which ensures the Offeror is able to successfully execute the tasks in the PWS at the completion of the thirty (30) day phase-in period.

b. Management Approach. The Offeror proposed an acceptable management plan that included the following:

i. The Offeror provided an organizational chart which identifies the management structure, roles, and responsibilities of the proposed management team. The management plan adequately identifies all members of its team, to include management, key personnel, and any utilization of subcontractors.

ii. The management plan ensures adequate management and oversight of contract performance on Fort Bragg.

iii. The management plan ensures the Offeror's ability to successfully accommodate fluctuating workloads, minimize personnel turnover, and recruit and retain qualified and experienced personnel.

iv. Mission-Essential Contractor Services Plan addressed the following: Challenges associated with maintaining essential contractor services during an extended event, such as a pandemic that occurs in repeated waves; The time lapse associated with the initiation of the acquisition of essential personnel and resources and their actual availability on site; The components, processes, and requirements for the identification, training, and preparedness of personnel who are capable of relocating to alternate facilities or performing work from home; Any established alert and notification procedures for mobilizing identified "essential contractor service" personnel; and The approach for communicating expectations to contractor employees regarding their roles and responsibilities during a crisis.

c. Staffing Approach. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's staffing approach on an acceptable or unacceptable basis. To be rated acceptable, the Offeror must submit an Un-priced Staffing Matrix that clearly demonstrates how the proposed staffing plan will meet all performance requirements and hours of operation identified in TE3. The staffing plan must show that the Offeror's proposed labor categories, qualifications, labor hours, and coverage methods are sufficient to perform the ID Card services, including how the Offeror will maintain performance during absences such as leave, training or illness. A proposal will be rated unacceptable if the staffing plan fails to show how the Offeror will maintain continuous performance in accordance with the PWS.

1.2. Volume II - Factor 2 - Price. The price submission will not be scored or rated. The techniques and procedures described in FAR Part 15.404-1(b) are the primary means by which the Government will assess price reasonableness. To be eligible for award, an Offeror's price proposal must be complete, and its price must be determined fair and reasonable, balanced, and complete and accurate.

a. Reasonableness. The existence of adequate price competition is expected to support a determination of reasonableness. Other price analysis techniques in accordance with FAR 15.404-1(b) may be used to further validate price reasonableness. If adequate price competition is not obtained or if price reasonableness cannot be determined using price

analysis of Government-obtained information, additional information in accordance with FAR 15.402(a)(2) may be required from the Offeror to support the proposed price.

b. Unbalanced Pricing will be evaluated in accordance with RFO FAR 15.404-6, as applicable, to assess potential performance risk which could result in unreasonably high prices. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly overstated or understated as indicated by the application of price analysis techniques. Offers that are determined to be unbalanced may be rejected if the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.

c. Complete/Accurate. The Government will evaluate offerors price proposals to ensure that they are compliant with the price volume submission instructions in the solicitation and that they are mathematically accurate. The Government will evaluate whether the Offeror provided the proposed prices for all CLINs in the Pricing Schedule for the Phase-In, Base Period, Option Period 1, Option Period 2, Option Period 3, and Option Period 4, and whether the Offeror provided the total price for the phase-in and base period plus (4) option periods (CLIN Totals table). The Government will cross-walk the Offeror's proposed priced labor matrix with its un-priced labor matrix submitted in support of Factor 1 to ensure correlation between the two matrices. When evaluating price, the Government reserves the right, without entering discussions, to de-conflict or correct situations involving clerical errors, minor mathematical errors, rounding discrepancies or obvious misplacement of decimal points where there is a negligible impact on price.

d. The price evaluation will include the optional six (6) month extension in accordance with FAR 52.217-8, Option to Extend Services. The Government will evaluate this option by adding one-half of the Offeror's final option period price to the Offeror's total price. Evaluation of options does not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

e. The Total Evaluated Price. The total evaluated price will include the price of the phase-in period, base period, and all option periods (to include the option to extend services in accordance with FAR 52.217-8). (b) Options. The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. The Government may determine that an offer is unacceptable if the option prices are significantly unbalanced. Evaluation of options shall not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). As part of price evaluation, the government will evaluate its option to extend services (see FAR Clause 52.217-8) by adding one-half of the offeror's final option period price to the offeror's total price. Thus, the Offeror's total price for the purpose of evaluation will include the phase in and base period, 1st option, 2nd option, 3rd option and 4th option and 1/2 of the 4th option. Offerors are not required to enter a price for the six-month period. Unbalanced Pricing may be evaluated in accordance with FAR 15.404-6(a), as applicable, to assess potential performance risk for unreasonably high prices. The total evaluated price will include the price of the phase-in period, base period, and all option periods (to include the option to extend services in accordance with FAR 52.217-8).

A written notice of award or acceptance of an offer, mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time for acceptance specified in the offer, shall result in a binding contract without

further action by either party. Before the offer's specified expiration time, the Government may accept an offer (or part of an offer), whether or not there are negotiations after its receipt, unless a written notice of withdrawal is received before award.

1.3. Volume III - Factor 3 - Past Performance. The Offeror must provide documentation for a minimum of three (3) years relevant work experience in awarded Government or commercial contracts that are the same or similar nature of work, size, magnitude, complexity and scope. The Government reserves the right to use independent sources to evaluate past performance, such as information obtained from sources such as the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) or similar systems, Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) and commercial sources. In the case of an offeror for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR RFO 15.202(c)(1)). In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, a neutral rating shall be considered "acceptable."

Performance Confidence Assessments

Adjectival Rating	Description
Acceptable	Offeror provided relevant past performance in accordance with the solicitation instructions. No negative past performance. In the context of acceptability /unacceptability, a neutral rating shall be considered "acceptable".
Unacceptable	Offeror did not provide relevant past performance in accordance with the solicitation instructions. Negative Past Performance.