

06-08-26 Posting Date
MAD-CR71-3.98
PID No. 124741
Madison County
Response Due Date: 07-08-26

Communications Restrictions

Please note the following policy concerning communication between Consultants and Madison County during the announcement and selection process:

During the time period between advertisement and the announcement of final consultant selection, communication with consultants (or their agents) shall be limited as follows:

Communications which are strictly prohibited:

Any discussions or marketing activities related to this specific project.

Allowable communications include:

Technical or scope of services questions specific to the project or RFP requirements.

Project Description

The services include preparation of construction contract and right of way plans for a safety improvement project on County Road 71, Spring Valley Road, in Madison County, Ohio with a project length of approximately 1.6 miles. The project limits are from State Route 142 to US 42.

The project includes minor widening of CR 71 (Spring Valley Road) to reduce roadway departure crashes. The project also includes drainage improvements as required, rumble strips at critical locations, RPMs and realignment of the curve that doesn't meet the design speed if the construction budget will allow. The cost of the overlay of the existing pavement will be borne by Madison County. The existing bridge just north of SR 142 will be replaced by a separate project. It is estimated there will be 40 parcels requiring acquisition. Right of Way Acquisition Services for Appraisal Review will be under a separate consultant agreement.

Contract 1: Right of Way Acquisition Services

Contract 2: Right of Way Acquisition Services for Appraisal Review

Estimated Construction Cost: \$2,050,000.00

Prequalification Requirements

Prequalification requirements for this agreement are listed below. For all prequalification categories other than FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EVALUATION the requirement may be met by the prime consultant or a subconsultant.

Also, please note that only individuals (not firms) are prequalified for right of way acquisition services. In instances where prequalification for these services is required, a prequalified

individual, either employed by the prime consultant or a subconsultant, must be named in order to meet the requirement.

For agreements that require prequalification in FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EVALUATION, the prime consultant and **all subconsultants that provide engineering and design related services** must be prequalified in this category. Engineering and Design Related Services are defined as follows:

Program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design engineering, surveying, mapping, or architectural related services with respect to a highway construction project subject to 23 U.S.C. 112(a) as defined in 23 U.S.C 112(b)(2)(A); and

Professional services of an architectural or engineering nature, as defined by State law (ORC 5526), which are required to or may logically or justifiably be performed or approved by a person licensed, registered, or certified to provide the services with respect to a highway construction project to 23 U.S.C. 112(a) and defined in 40 U.S.C. 1102(2).

Contract 1: Required Prequalification, Combination of Prime Consultant and Subconsultants

DESIGN SERVICES:

- Non-Complex Roadway Design;
- Complex Right of Way Plan Development;
- Geotechnical Engineering Services;
- Geotechnical Testing Laboratory;
- Geotechnical Field Exploration Services;
- Geotechnical Drilling Inspection Services;

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES:

- Environmental Document Preparation - CE;
- Ecological Surveys;
- Archaeological Investigations;
- History/Architectural Investigations;
- Regulated Materials Review;
- Public Involvement - C1 and C2 Level CE;

RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION SERVICES:

- Project Management for Right of Way Acquisition Services;
- Title Research;
- Value Analysis;
- Appraisal;
- Negotiation;
- Closing;

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EVALUATION

Compliant with Federal Requirements (Prime consultant and subconsultants that provide engineering and design related services must meet this prequalification requirement)

Contract 2: Required Prequalification, Combination of Prime Consultant and

Subconsultants

RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION SERVICES:
Appraisal Review;

Selection Subfactors

Experience in rural projects including drainage work that has demonstrated the following:

1. limiting the impact of ditches on fields
2. sensitivity to field tile and subsurface drainage

Contract Type and Payment Method

Refer to the ODOT's Manual for Administration of Contracts for Professional Services, Volume 1: Consultant Contract Administration, Sections 4.3.A and 4.3.B for guidance concerning the appropriate contract type and payment method. Based on this guidance, contract type and payment method will be determined during the scope of services and negotiation process.

Estimated Date of Authorization

It is anticipated that the selected Consultant will be authorized to proceed by August of 2026.

Completion Schedule

The plans are to be completed and on file with Madison County within nineteen (19) months from the date of authorization. Critical dates include the following

- Environmental document approved by 11/1/2027
- R/W plans approved by 11/1/2027
- R/W Authorized by 12/1/2027
- Stage 3 plans approved by 3/8/2028
- R/W acquisition complete by 12/1/2028
- Sale by 2/28/2029

Suspended or Debarred Firms

Firms included on the current Federal list of firms suspended or debarred are not eligible for selection.

Terms and Conditions

The Department's *Specifications for Consulting Services 2016 Edition* will be included in all agreements selected under this request for letters of interest.

Compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

Madison County, in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, all bidders will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be

discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, low-income status, or limited English proficiency in consideration for an award.

Selection Procedures

Madison County will directly select a consultant based on the Letter of Interest (LoI). The requirements for the LoI and the Programmatic Consultant Selection Rating Form that will be used to select the consultant are shown below.

Firms interested in being considered for selection should respond by submitting **one (1) electronic pdf** or three (3) copies of the Letter of Interest to the following address **by 4:30 PM on the response due date** listed above.

**Kevin Weaver
Madison County Engineer's Office
825 US 42 NE
London, OH 43140
kevin.weaver@madison.oh.gov**

Responses received after 4:30 PM on the response due date will not be considered.

Scope of Services

The Scope of Services document is included below.

Requirements for Letters of Interest, Programmatic Selection Process

A. Instructions for Preparing and Submitting a Letter of Interest

1. Provide the information requested in the Letter of Interest Content (Item B below), in the same order listed, in a letter signed by an officer of the firm. Do not send additional forms, resumes, brochures, or other material.
2. Letters of Interest shall be limited to ten (10) 8½" x 11" single sided pages plus two (2) pages for the Project Approach (Item B.5 below).
3. Please adhere to the following requirements in preparing and binding letters of interest:
 - a. Please use a minimum font size of 12-point and maintain margins of 1" on all four sides.
 - b. Page numbers must be centered at the bottom of each page.
 - c. Use 8½" x 11" paper only.
 - d. Bind letters of interest by stapling at the upper left hand corner only. Do not utilize any other binding system.
 - e. Do not provide tabbed inserts or other features that may interfere with machine copying.

B. Letter of Interest Content

1. List the types of services for which your firm is currently prequalified by the Ohio Department of Transportation.
2. List significant subconsultants, their current prequalification categories and the percentage of work to be performed by each subconsultant.
3. List the Project Manager and other key staff members, including key subconsultant staff. Include project engineers for important disciplines and staff members that will be responsible for the work, and the project responsibility of each.

Address the experience of the key staff members on similar projects, and the staff qualifications relative to the selection subfactors noted.

4. Describe the capacity of your staff and their ability to perform the work in a timely manner, relative to present workload, and the availability of the assigned staff.
5. Provide a description of your Project Approach, not to exceed two pages. Confirm that the firm has visited the site and address your firm's: 1) Technical approach; 2) Understanding of the project; 3) Qualifications for the project; 4) Knowledge and experience concerning relevant ODOT and local standards, procedures and guidance documents; 5) Innovative ideas; 6) Project specific plan for ensuring increased quality, reduced project delivery time and reduced project costs.

Items 1 thru 4 must be included within the 10-page body of the Lol. Remaining space within the ten (10) pages may be utilized to provide personnel resumes or additional information concerning general qualifications.

Consultant Selection Rating Form for Programmatic Selections

Project: MAD-CR71-3.98

PID: 124741

Project Type: Minor Widening and Safety Improvements

District: 6

Selection Committee Members:

Firm Name:

Category	Total Value	Scoring Criteria	Score
Management & Team			
Project Manager	10	See Note 1, Exhibit 1	
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants	25	See Note 2, Exhibit 1	
Firm's Current Workload/ Availability of Personnel	10	See Note 4, Exhibit 1	
Consultant's Past Performance	30	See Note 3, Exhibit 1	
Project Approach	25		
Total	100		

Exhibit 1 - Consultant Selection Rating Form Notes

1. The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked, with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points, and lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the LPA and other agencies. The selection committee may contact ODOT and outside agencies if necessary. Any subfactors identified should be weighed heavily in the differential scoring.

Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this, with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.

2. The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff, should be ranked and scored as noted for Number 1 above, with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects. Any subfactors identified in the project notification should be weighed heavily in the differential scoring.

As above, other agencies may be contacted.

3. The consultants' past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider ODOT CES performance ratings if available, and consult other agencies as appropriate. The use of CES ratings shall place emphasis on the specific type of services requested.

The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

4. The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm (or firms) rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

A. Project Identification

County-Route-Section (Project Name): MAD-CR71-3.98

Project Sponsor / Maintenance Responsibility: Madison County Engineer

☒ Local Let

☐ ODOT Let

PID (ODOT assigned): 124741

Scope Field Review: _____

Scope Meeting: _____

Proposed Sale Date: _____

State Fiscal Year: 2029

Highway Functional Classification: Major Collector

Federal Aid System (ie. NHS) N/A

B. Design Standard

ODOT Location and Design Manual

C. Project Description

Description of Project:

(detail transportation issues to be improved)

Widening of Spring Valley Road with safety features in order to
limit roadway departure crashes. Drainage improvements will be constructed in order to ensure
proper drainage and improve cross section safety.

Prior studies / plan: N/A

Project Limits:

(identify start and end locations)

State Route 142 to State Route 42

Estimate Project Length:

(begin pavement to end pavement including bridge)

8,500'

Work Length:

(including project length & approach work)

8,600'

Alignment: ☒ Existing
☐ Relocated (explain) Existing except review for potential safety improvements.

Profile: ☒ Existing
☐ New (explain) Existing except review for potential safety improvements.

Logical Termini:
(w/ explanation) _____

D. Typical Sections

Existing	Pavement Width:	☐ curb to curb	Graded Shoulder:	1
	18-19'	☒ edge to edge	Treated Shoulder:	1
	R/W Width:			
	40' to 60'			
	Bridge Width:			
	24'	☒ f/f of rails, ☐ t/t of curbs, or ☐ t/t of parapets		

Existing	Yes	No	Comment / Type
Median	☐	☒	
Curbs	☐	☒	
Curb ramps	☐	☒	
Sidewalks	☐	☒	Width: _____
Guardrail	☒	☐	At structure MAD-CR71-4.16

Additional Things To Note About **Existing** Typical Section: _____

Proposed	Pavement Width:	☐ curb to curb	Graded Shoulder:	2' (in addition to treated)
	20' (ideally 22')	☒ edge to edge	Treated Shoulder:	2'
	R/W Width:			
	As needed.			
	Bridge Width:			
	28' via MAD-CR71-4.16 FY 2026	☒ f/f of rails, ☐ t/t of curbs, or ☐ t/t of parapets		

Proposed	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Comment / Type</u>
Median	☐	☒	
Curbs	☐	☒	
Curb ramps (*)	☐	☒	
Sidewalks	☐	☒	Width: _____
Guardrail	☒	☐	<i>MGS where required for drainage or section needs.</i>

Note () – Curb ramps must be updated to current ADA standards.*

Additional Things To Note About **Proposed** Typical Section: *Rumble strips at critical locations and RPMs. Best case, 11' wide lanes with 2' shoulder if disruption is not too dramatic.*

Supplemental Information:

ADT	<u>2310</u>	Design ADT	_____
DHV	_____	Certified Traffic	_____
T24	_____		
Design Speed	<u>55mph</u>	Legal Speed	<u>55mph</u>
Comments:	<u><i>Speed review to see if 45 would be warranted.</i></u>		

E. Environmental

Note: All required environmental studies must be performed by prequalified consultants (unless noted with “(*)” below) and the document must be completed using ODOT’s EnviroNet System.

These are actions and/or items the District Environmental Staff deems necessary to address as part of the LPA project environmental documentation. This form is not all inclusive, and more items may be required upon initiation of agency coordination and field studies.

Categorical Exclusion (CE) Environmental Document *(select document type)*

☐ C1 ☒ C2 ☐ D1 ☐ D2 ☐ D3 ☐ EA ☐ EIS

Required		Note: (*) – prequalified consultant is NOT required	Responsibility/Notes
Yes	No		
<u>Cultural Resources</u>			
☒	☐	Section 106 – Scoping Request Form (*)	
☐	☒	Phase I Cultural Resources Survey Report	
☐	☒	Phase I History/Architecture Survey Report	
☐	☒	Phase I Archaeology Survey Report	
☐	☒	Phase II Cultural Resources Survey Report	
☐	☒	Phase II History/Architecture Survey Report	
☐	☒	Phase II Archaeology Survey Report	
☐	☒	Phase III Archaeology Data Recovery	
☐	☒	Addendum reports/submissions	
☐	☒	Documentation for Consultation/MOA	
☐	☒	HABS/HAER/Cultural Documentation	
<u>Section 4(f) / Section 6(f)</u>			
☐	☒	Determination Request Form	No Section 4(f) anticipated for London Country Club
☐	☒	Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation	
☐	☒	Section 6(f) Documentation	
<u>Ecological Resources</u>			
☐	☒	Ecological Exempt Form (*) – use <i>Environet Tab</i>	
☒	☐	Level 1 Ecological Survey Reports – use <i>Environet Tab</i>	
☐	☒	Level 2 Ecological Survey Reports – use <i>Environet Tab</i>	
☐	☒	Level 3 Ecological Survey Reports	
☐	☒	UNIONID Mussel Survey Report	
☐	☒	Biological Assessment	
☐	☒	Coastal Zone Management	
<u>Sole Source Aquifer</u>			
☐	☒	Sole Source Aquifer Coordination	
<u>Farmland Policy Protection Act (FPPA)</u>			
☐	☒	Farmland Conversion Impact Rating-Form	
<u>Waterway Permits</u>			
☒	☐	Permit Determination Request Package	
☐	☒	Conceptual Stream/Wetland Mitigation Reports	
☐	☒	Section 404/401 Applications	
☐	☒	USACE Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) Applications	
☐	☒	Ohio EPA Isolated Wetland Permit Pre-Activity Notification (PAN)	
☐	☒	Coastguard Section 9 Application	
☐	☒	ACOE Section 10 Permit	
☒	☐	Floodplain Statement Form	
☐	☒	Flood Plain Permit Application	

Required		Note: (*) – prequalified consultant is NOT required	Responsibility/Notes
Yes	No		
Environmental Site Assessment			
☒	☐	Regulated Materials Screening (RMR) (*)	
☐	☒	RMR Assessment	
☐	☒	RMR Investigation	
☒	☐	Asbestos Survey	Possible for SFN 4932471
Noise			
☐	☒	Traffic Noise Analysis Report	Do not anticipate being triggered by widening activities
☐	☒	Noise Barrier Public Involvement Summary	
Underserved Populations			
☐	☒	Underserved Populations Outreach	
☐	☒	Underserved Populations Impact Analysis Report	
Environmental Justice			
☐	☒	Environmental Justice Census Mapping	
☐	☒	Environmental Justice Analysis Report (EJAR)	
Public Involvement Activities			
☐	☒	Public Announcement	Include opportunity for public comments
☐	☒	Public Involvement Plan	
☒	☐	Public Involvement Activities	Will depend on MOT

Any Known Environmental Concerns (ex. Historic properties on National Register, wetlands, underground storage tanks, stream relocation): If possible, please have a mussel recon performed during the ESR field work. Deer Creek is listed in the OMSP as a Group 2.5 stream, and the southernmost tributary's drainage area is close to 5 sq mi.

F. Right-of-Way

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Right-of-Way Plan:	☒	☐	
Approximate Number of Parcels:			<u>40</u>
Known Relocations:	☐	☒	
Railroad Involvement:	☐	☒	
Railroad Name:			
./Encroachments:	☐	☒	
Airway Highway Clearance:	☐	☒	
Airport Name:			
Comments:			

***Note:** Provide a footprint of proposed and existing right of way limits as soon as available to the District Environmental Coordinator and District Real Estate Administrator.*

Caution: Environmental needs to be clear prior to the beginning of right of way acquisition. A Local Public Agency, utilizing their own monies, assumes many risks by proceeding with acquisition prior to environmental being cleared. These risks include purchasing r/w that may never be used for the project and purchasing a site that contains the need for a hazardous waste cleanup.

G. Utilities

		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Name of Company</u>
Aerial	Phone	☒	☐	<u>AT&T Ohio</u>
	Cablevision	☒	☐	<u>Spectrum</u>
	Power	☒	☐	<u>Ohio Edison</u>
Underground	Phone	☒	☐	<u>AT&T Ohio</u>
	Cablevision	☒	☐	<u>Spectrum</u>
	Power	☒	☐	<u>Ohio Edison</u>
	Gas	☐	☒	<u>Unknown</u>
	Pipelines:	☐	☒	<u>Unknown</u>
	<u>Private</u> <u>Public</u> <u>Name of Company</u>			
	Water	☐	☐	☒
Sanitary	☐	☒	☐	☐

	Storm	☐	☐	☒	☒	Private field tile and County culverts
Other: _____						
Comments: <i>Underground utilities primarily at the north end of the project.</i>						

Is Subsurface Utility Locating Service (SULS) needed?
☒ No.
☐ Yes. Utility Quality Level A
☐ Yes. Utility Quality Level B
☐ Yes. Utility Quality Level C
☐ Yes. Utility Quality Level D

Comments: _____

Note: if SULS is necessary, follow the Subsurface Utility Location Services Specifications.

H. Geotechnical

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Are Pavement Cores needed?	☒	☐	<u>Pavement widening</u>
Is Geotechnical Design (**) necessary? <i>(identify: full depth pavement replacement/widening, bridge work, retaining walls, culverts, slide repairs, etc.)</i>	☒	☐	_____

Note () – If Geotechnical Design is necessary, fully utilize historic geotechnical information; perform subsurface exploration in accordance with the Specifications for Geotechnical Explorations; and perform geotechnical design in accordance with the Geotechnical Design Manual.**

I. Structure Requirements

Existing Structure Information:	Structure type:	<u>Prestressed Concrete</u>	
	Bridge No.:	<u>MAD 00071 4.16</u>	Structural File No.: <u>4932471</u>
	Sufficiency Rating:	_____	General Appraisal: <u>3</u>
	Crossing:	<u>Tributary of Deer Creek</u>	
	Bridge Length:	_____	Number of Spans: <u>1</u>
	Eligible for the National Historical Register:	☐ Yes ☒ No	

Proposed Structure Information:	New Structure: ☐ Yes ☒ No	
	Rehabilitate Existing Bridge by: <u>N/A - by PID 121512</u>	
	Structure Type: _____	
	Beam Type: ☐ Concrete Box; ☐ Steel; ☐ n/a	
	Structure Width: _____	Number of Spans: _____
	Other Design Considerations / Explanation of Change in Line/Grade: _____	

Guardrail Type: _____		

J. Design Exception(s) Required

☐ Yes Explain: _____
☒ No

K. Traffic Control

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Signing:	☒	☐	_____
Striping:	☒	☐	_____
Lighting:	☐	☒	_____
Signals (***):	☐	☒	_____
RPMs:	☒	☐	_____

Note (*) – If signals are “Yes” complete the Traffic Signal Scope Form.**

L. Maintenance of Traffic

Type of MOT: ☒ Detour, ☐ Part Width, ☐ Daily Flagging

Remarks/Describe: _____

Will Pedestrian Traffic need to be maintained? No

Remarks/Describe: _____

M. Driveways

☒ Yes Type: Variety of asphalt, concrete and gravel

☐ No

N. Project Funding

Project Cost Estimate:

(Sponsors are responsible for TIP/STIP coordination) \$3,300,000

Quantity splits needed in plans to
differentiate funding participation:

☒ Yes

☐ No

Comments: Asphalt mill and overlay to be paid by LPA as
match

Coordination with Concurrent
Projects Required:

☒ Yes

☐ No

Comments:
Potentially roundabout at SR 665 and Spring Valley Road

Cost Estimates:

	<u>Total Federal or State Funds</u>	<u>Percent Split</u>	<u>Total Local Funds</u>	<u>Percent Split</u>
Preliminary Engineering (PE)	\$ <u>550,000</u>	<u> </u> %	\$ <u> </u>	<u> </u> %
Right-of-Way (RW)	\$ <u>450,000</u>	<u> </u> %	\$ <u> </u>	<u> </u> %
Utilities	\$ <u> </u>	<u> </u> %	\$ <u> </u>	<u> </u> %
Construction (CO)	\$ <u>1,869,000</u>	<u> </u> %	\$ <u>300,000</u>	<u>86/14</u> %
Construction Engineering (CE) (use <u>xx</u> %)	\$ <u>131,000</u>	<u> </u> %	\$ <u> </u>	<u> </u> %
Total	\$ <u>3,000,000</u>	<u> </u> %	\$ <u>300,000</u>	<u>90/10</u> %

Additional remarks about funding: _____

Project Cap

O. Roles/Responsibilities

Note: Consultants used for development of Construction plans, R/W plans, R/W acquisition/appraisals, and Construction inspection must be pre-qualified by ODOT.

Construction Plan Development:	<i>Consultant</i>
Proposal/Specification Development:	<i>LPA</i>
LPA Agreement:	<i>ODOT</i>
Form and Preliminary Legislation:	<i>LPA</i>
Advertising and Award of Contract:	<i>LPA</i>
Construction Inspection:	<i>LPA</i>
R/W Plan Development:	<i>Consultant</i>
R/W Acquisition / Appraisals:	<i>Consultant</i>
Utility Relocation:	<i>Consultant</i>
Other:	

P. Field Review

Representatives Present:		Date:
Name	Company	Phone / E-mail

Q. Commitment Dates

☐ ODOT-Let

☒ Local-Let

☐ Reservoir

<u>Activity</u>	<u>Milestone Date</u>
Authorization to Proceed	
Stage 1 Submitted for Review <i>(Allow ODOT 30 days for review)</i>	
Preliminary R/W Plans Submitted <i>(Allow ODOT 45 days for review)</i>	
Stage 2 Submitted for Review <i>(Allow ODOT 30 days for review)</i>	
Environmental Document Approved <i>(Required prior to R/W Authorization & Stage 3 design)</i>	
R/W Plans Approved – or – R/W Plans Not Required (“N/A”)	
Stage 3 Submitted for Review <i>(Allow ODOT 30 days for review - Includes estimate for DBE Goal)</i>	
Final Tracings – or – PS&E Package to District <i>(Includes Final Plans, Disposition of Env. Commitments, Bid Document and Final Estimate)</i>	
R/W and Utility Clearance by District <i>(District certifies clearance to FHWA. LPA should certify to the District one (1) month before this date.)</i>	
Plan Package to C. O. (File Date) <i>(For Local Let projects, allow 45 days to Sale Date which includes processing the request for Authorization and three (3) weeks minimum for advertising.)</i>	
Sale Date (Bid Opening Date)	
Award Date <i>(LPA should submit a summary of bid tabs and the identity of the awarded contractor to the District no later than one (1) week after the award.)</i>	
Estimated Begin Construction	
Estimated End Construction	

Other due dates of interest:

- Anticipate Final RW Revision/Resubmittal prior to RW Plan Approval
- LPA certifies R/W and utility clearance to the District
- LPA submits bid results (award package) to District