

06-22-26 Posting Date

LUC-CR1572-2.0

PID No. 125528

Lucas County

Response Due Date: 07-13-26

Communications Restrictions

Please note the following policy concerning communication between Consultants and the Lucas County Engineer during the announcement and selection process:

During the time period between advertisement and the announcement of final consultant selection, communication with consultants (or their agents) shall be limited as follows:

Communications which are strictly prohibited:

Any discussions or marketing activities related to this specific project.

Allowable communications include:

Technical or scope of services questions specific to the project or RFP requirements. Questions shall be directed to Jeffrey Knueve, E.I., S.I. at (419) 213-2853 or jknueve@co.lucas.oh.us

Project Description

The services include preparation of construction plans, specifications, and cost estimate to construct a modern roundabout at the intersection of Angola Road and Holland-Sylvania Road in Springfield Township and the City of Toledo, Lucas County in accordance with the Lucas County Engineer's Office current roundabout design standards.

The project includes:

Intersection of Angola Road and Holland-Sylvania Road: Construct a roundabout at the intersection to replace the existing traffic signal. Add sidewalks and crosswalks on all approaches to facilitate pedestrian traffic traversing through the intersection.

Approach Roads: Mill and resurface Angola Road from 0.12 miles west of Holland-Sylvania Road to 0.12 miles east of Holland-Sylvania Road. Mill and resurface Holland-Sylvania Road from 0.14 miles south of Angola Road to 0.06 miles north of Angola Road.

Estimated Construction Cost: \$3,040,332.00

Prequalification Requirements

Prequalification requirements for this agreement are listed below. For all prequalification categories other than FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EVALUATION the requirement may be met by the prime consultant or a subconsultant.

Also, please note that only individuals (not firms) are prequalified for right of way acquisition services. In instances where prequalification for these services is required, a prequalified individual, either employed by the prime consultant or a subconsultant, must be named to meet the requirement.

For agreements that require prequalification in FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EVALUATION, the prime consultant and **all subconsultants that provide engineering and design-related services** must be prequalified in this category. Engineering and Design Related Services are defined as follows:

Program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design engineering, surveying, mapping, or architectural related services with respect to a highway construction project subject to 23 U.S.C. 112(a) as defined in 23 U.S.C 112(b)(2)(A); and

Professional services of an architectural or engineering nature, as defined by State law (ORC 5526), which are required to or may logically or justifiably be performed or approved by a person licensed, registered, or certified to provide the services with respect to a highway construction project to 23 U.S.C. 112(a) and defined in 40 U.S.C. 1102(2).

DESIGN SERVICES:

Bicycle Facilities & Enhancement Design;
Non-Complex Roadway Design;
Subsurface Utility Location Services;
Limited Highway Lighting Design;

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES:

Environmental Document Prep – CE;
Regulated Materials Review;

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM EVALUATION

Compliant with Federal Requirements (Prime consultant and subconsultants that provide engineering and design related services must meet this prequalification requirement)

Contract Type and Payment Method

Refer to the ODOT's Manual for Administration of Contracts for Professional Services, Volume 1: Consultant Contract Administration, Sections 4.3.A and 4.3.B for guidance concerning the appropriate contract type and payment method. Based on this guidance, contract type and payment method will be determined during the scope of services and negotiation process.

Estimated Date of Authorization

It is anticipated that the selected Consultant will be authorized to proceed by August 2026.

Completion Schedule

LUC-CR 1572-2.0 - PID 125528	
Consultant Authorized	8/3/2026
Initial Project Scope	10/2/2026
Pre Stage 1 Geometric Review Plans Submitted	6/4/2027
Pre Stage 1 Geometric Review Plans Complete	7/2/2027
NEPA Start	6/4/2027
Stage 2 Plans - Submitted	3/03/2028
Preliminary R/W Plans - Submitted	3/24/2028
Stage 2 Plans - Complete	3/31/2028
Preliminary R/W Plans - Approved	4/21/2028
Final R/W Plans - Submitted	5/19/2028
Final R/W Plans - Approved	6/16/2028
Environmental Document Approved	10/2/2028
Stage 3 Plans - Submitted	2/23/2029
Stage 3 Plans - Complete	3/23/2029
District R/W Certification	11/2/2029
Local Let PS&E Package to District	10/12/2029
Plan Package Received in C.O.	11/09/2029
Sale	1/18/2030
Award	2/22/2030
Estimated Begin Construction	6/3/2030
Estimated End Construction	10/25/2030

Suspended or Debarred Firms

Firms included on the current Federal list of firms suspended or debarred are not eligible for selection.

Terms and Conditions

The Department's *Specifications for Consulting Services 2016 Edition* will be included in all agreements selected under this request for letters of interest.

Compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

Lucas County, in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, all bidders will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, low-income status, or limited English proficiency in consideration for an award.

Selection Procedures

Lucas County will directly select a consultant based on the Letter of Interest (LOI). The requirements for the LOI and the Programmatic Consultant Selection Rating Form that will be used to select the consultant are shown below.

Firms interested in being considered for selection should respond by submitting **one (1) electronic PDF** copy of the Letter of Interest to the following address **by 4:00 PM on the response due date** listed above.

Jeffrey Knueve, E.I., S.I.
Engineering Project Manager
1049 S. McCord Road
Holland, OH 43528
Email: jknueve@co.lucas.oh.us

Responses received after 4:00 PM on the response due date will not be considered.

Scope of Services

The Scope of Services document is included below.

Requirements for Letters of Interest, Programmatic Selection Process

A. Instructions for Preparing and Submitting a Letter of Interest

1. Provide the information requested in the Letter of Interest Content (Item B below), in the same order listed, in a letter signed by an officer of the firm. Do not send additional forms, resumes, brochures, or other material.
2. Letters of Interest shall be limited to ten (10) 8½" x 11" single sided pages plus two (2) pages for the Project Approach (Item B.5 below).
3. Please adhere to the following requirements in preparing and binding letters of interest:

- a. Please use a minimum font size of 12-point and maintain margins of 1" on all four sides.
- b. Page numbers must be centered at the bottom of each page.
- c. Use 8½" x 11" paper only.
- d. Submit Lol in a single PDF file, preferably, in a vector-based format rendered at 300 DPI (dots per inch).

B. Letter of Interest Content

1. A list of three similar projects on arterial or collector roads with the agency for which work was performed. Include a name and phone number for reference contact.
2. Experience with the Lucas County Engineer and/or ODOT for previous designs (please limit to one paragraph).
3. Name of partner in charge (no need for resume if already on file in current statement of qualifications).
4. Name of project manager (no need for resume if already on file in current statement of qualifications).
5. Names of anticipated design team (no need for resume if already on file in current statement of qualifications).
6. Location of office in which the work will be performed. If more than one office, provides an approximate percentage of work to be performed at each office.
7. Rough anticipated schedule to complete the required work with a June 15, 2026, start date. Note the estimated schedule listed above.
8. List any design projects already completed by your firm within or near the limits of the project.
9. Provide a description of your Project Approach, not to exceed two pages. Confirm that the firm has visited the site and address your firm's: 1) Technical approach; 2) Understanding of the project; 3) Qualifications for the project; 4) Knowledge and experience concerning relevant ODOT and local standards, procedures and guidance documents; 5) Innovative ideas; 6) Project specific plan for ensuring increased quality, reduced project delivery time and reduced project costs.
10. Provide your firms professional liability insurance limits.

Items 1 thru 4 must be included within the 10-page body of the LOI. Remaining space within the ten (10) pages may be utilized to provide personnel resumes or additional information concerning general qualifications.

Consultant Selection Rating

Letters of Interest will be ranked based on the Lucas County Engineer's Office Consultant Selection criteria.

Consultant Selection Rating Form for Programmatic Selections

Project:

PID:

Project Type:

District:

Selection Committee Members:

Firm Name:

Category	Total Value	Scoring Criteria	Score
Management & Team			
Project Manager	10	See Note 1, Exhibit 1	
Strength/Experience of Assigned Staff including Subconsultants	25	See Note 2, Exhibit 1	
Firm's Current Workload/ Availability of Personnel	10	See Note 4, Exhibit 1	
Consultant's Past Performance	15	See Note 3, Exhibit 1	
Project Approach	30		
Total	100		

Exhibit 1 - Consultant Selection Rating Form Notes

1. The proposed project manager for each consultant shall be ranked, with the highest ranked project manager receiving the greatest number of points, and lower ranked project managers receiving commensurately lower scores. The rankings and scores should be based on each project manager's experience on similar projects and past performance for the LPA and other agencies. The selection committee may contact ODOT and outside agencies if necessary. Any subfactors identified should be weighed heavily in the differential scoring.

Differential scoring should consider the relative importance of the project manager's role in the success of a given project. The project manager's role in a simple project may be less important than for a complex project, and differential scoring should reflect this, with higher differentials assigned to projects that require a larger role for the project manager.

2. The experience and strength of the assigned staff, including subconsultant staff, should be ranked and scored as noted for Number 1 above, with higher differential scores assigned on more difficult projects. Any subfactors identified in the project notification should be weighed heavily in the differential scoring.

As above, other agencies may be contacted.

3. The consultants past performance on similar projects shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis, with the highest ranked consultant receiving a commensurately greater number of points. The selection team should consider ODOT CES performance ratings if available and consult other agencies as appropriate. The use of CES ratings shall place emphasis on the specific type of services requested.

The differential scoring should consider the complexity of the project and any subfactors identified in the project notification.

4. The consultant's workload and availability of qualified personnel, equipment and facilities shall be ranked and scored on a relative, differential scoring type basis. The scoring shall consider quantifiable concerns regarding the ability of a firm (or firms) rated higher in other categories to complete the work with staff members named in the letter of interest.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

A. Project Identification

County-Route-Section: LUC-CR 1572-2.0

Angola Rd. & Holland-Sylvania Rd. Roundabout (PID 125528)

Project Sponsor / Maintenance Responsibility: Lucas County Engineer

☒ Local Let

SAM Unique ID: NLGQWY7T3VN5

☐ ODOT Let

PID (ODOT assigned): 125528

Scope Field Review: TBD

Scope Meeting: TBD

Proposed Award

Date: 02/22/2030

State Fiscal Year: 2030

Highway Functional Classification: Angola Rd.: Urban Major Collector

Holland-Sylvania Rd.: Urban Minor Arterial

Federal Aid System: _____

B. Design Standard

ODOT Design Manuals: ODOT L&D Vol. 1, 2, & 3; ODOT Pavement Design Manual

AASHTO Publications: _____

Local Design Standards:
(list, if applicable)

LCEO Design, Construction, and Survey; LCEO Roundabout Design

C. Project Description

Description of Project:
(detail transportation issues to be improved)

Construct a modern roundabout at the intersection of Angola

Rd. and Holland-Sylvania Rd. to improve safety, efficiency, and capacity

Prior studies / plans: Intersection Safety Study (July 2025); LCEO Resurfacing plans 2025

LCEO Widening/Resurfacing Plans 2012

Project Limits:
(identify start and end locations)

0.12 Mi. W & 0.12 Mi. E on Angola Rd.
0.14 Mi. S & 0.06 Mi. N on Holland-Sylvania Rd.

Estimate Project Length:
(begin pavement to end pavement including bridge)

0.24 Mi. E-W & 0.20 Mi. N-S

Work Length:
(including project length & approach work)

0.24 Mi. E-W & 0.20 Mi. N-S

Alignment: ☒ Existing
☐ Relocated (explain) _____

Profile: ☐ Existing
☒ New (explain) Profile will change based on needs of roundabout

Logical Termini:
(w/ explanation) Current pavement joints to ensure proper pavement marking at a minimum
unless design necessitates going further

D. Typical Sections

Existing:

Pavement Width: 24'-48' Varies	☐	curb to curb	Graded Shoulder:	
	☒	edge to edge	Treated Shoulder:	4'-12' Asphalt
R/W Width: Varies (Plans provided by LCE at later date)				
Bridge Width:	☐ f/f of rails, ☐ t/t of curbs, or ☐ t/t of parapets			

Existing:	Yes	No	Comment / Type
Median	☐	☒	
Curbs	☒	☐	SE corner and near Railroad tracks
Curb ramps	☒	☐	
Sidewalks	☒	☐	Width: 4 foot walks in NE corner
Guardrail	☐	☒	

Additional Things to Note About **Existing** Typical Section:

Proposed:

Pavement Width:	☐	curb to curb	Graded Shoulder:	
Varies	☐	edge to edge	Treated Shoulder:	Asphalt
R/W Width: Varies (RW Plans will be handled by LCE)				
Bridge Width:	☐ f/f of rails, ☐ t/t of curbs, or ☐ t/t of parapets			

Proposed:	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Comment / Type</u>
Median	☒	☐	Splitter Islands – Based on LCEO Roundabout Design Stands
Curbs	☒	☐	
Curb ramps (*)	☒	☐	
Sidewalks	☒	☐	Width: 6 foot
Guardrail	☐	☒	

(*) – Curb ramps must be updated to current ADA standards.

Note: Ensure proposed improvements are within the Right of Way.

Additional Things to Note About **Proposed** Typical Section:

ODOT Pavement Design Procedures will be used and then compared to LCEO standard

Pavement designs

Supplemental Information:

ADT	Angola- 9,250 v.p.d. Holland-Syl.- 12,000 v.p.d.	Design ADT	
DHV		Certified Traffic	
T24			
Design Speed		Legal Speed	45 mph (All Directions)
Comments:	Intersection Count will be requested		

E. Environmental

Note: All required environmental studies must be performed by prequalified consultants (unless noted with “(*)” below) and the document must be completed using ODOT’s EnviroNet System.

These are actions and/or items the District Environmental Staff deems necessary to address as part of the LPA project environmental documentation. This form is not all inclusive, and more items may be required upon initiation of agency coordination and field studies.

Categorical Exclusion (CE) Environmental Document (select document type)						
☐ C1	☒ C2	☐ D1	☐ D2	☐ D3	☐ EA	☐ EIS

Required			Note: (*) – prequalified consultant is NOT required	Responsibility/Notes
Yes	If Auth	No		
Cultural Resources				

Required			Note: (*) – prequalified consultant is NOT required	Responsibility/Notes
Yes	If Auth	No		
☐	☐	☒	Section 106 – Scoping Request Form (*)	Include OHPO Database Mapping
☐	☐	☒	Phase I Cultural Resources Survey Report	
☐	☐	☒	Phase I History/Architecture Survey Report	
☐	☐	☒	Phase I Archaeology Survey Report	
☐	☐	☒	Phase II Cultural Resources Survey Report	
☐	☐	☒	Phase II History/Architecture Survey Report	
☐	☐	☒	Phase II Archaeology Survey Report	
☐	☐	☒	Phase III Archaeology Data Recovery	
☐	☐	☒	Addendum reports/submissions	
☐	☐	☒	Documentation for Consultation/MOA	
☐	☐	☒	HABS/HAER/Cultural Documentation	
Section 4(f) / Section 6(f)				Official With Jurisdiction (OWJ) Concurrence is required.
☐	☐	☒	Determination Request Form	
☐	☐	☒	Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation	
☐	☐	☒	Section 6(f) Documentation	
Ecological Resources				
☐	☐	☒	Ecological Exempt Form (*) – use EnviroNet Tab	
☐	☐	☒	Level 1 Ecological Survey Reports – use EnviroNet Tab	
☐	☐	☒	Level 2 Ecological Survey Reports – use EnviroNet Tab	
☐	☐	☒	Level 3 Ecological Survey Reports Group	
☐	☐	☒	UNIONID Mussel Survey Report	
☐	☐	☒	Group 2/4 Mussel Survey Report	
☐	☐	☒	Biological Assessment	
☐	☐	☒	Coastal Zone Management	
Sole Source Aquifer / Drinking Water Resources Mapping				
☐	☐	☒	Sole Source Aquifer Coordination	
Farmland Policy Protection Act (FPPA)				

Required			Note: (*) – prequalified consultant is NOT required	Responsibility/Notes
Yes	If Auth	No		
☐	☐	☒	Farmland Conversion Impact Rating-Form	
Waterway Permits				
☐	☐	☒	Permit Determination Request Package	
☐	☐	☒	Conceptual Stream/Wetland Mitigation Reports	
☐	☐	☒	Section 404/401 Applications	
☐	☐	☒	USACE Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) Applications	
☐	☐	☒	Ohio EPA Isolated Wetland Permit Pre-Activity Notification (PAN)	
☐	☐	☒	Coastguard Section 9 Application	
☐	☐	☒	ACOE Section 10 Permit	
☐	☐	☒	Compile Floodplain 8-step Analysis	
☐	☐	☒	Flood Plain Permit Application	
Environmental Site Assessment				
☒	☐	☐	Regulated Materials Screening (RMR) (*)	Include Ohio Regulated Properties Search (ORPS) Mapping
☐	☒	☐	RMR Assessment	
☐	☒	☐	RMR Investigation	
☐	☐	☒	Asbestos Survey	
Noise				
☐	☐	☒	Traffic Noise Analysis Report	
☐	☐	☒	Noise Barrier Public Involvement Summary	
Environmental Justice				
☐	☐	☒	Environmental Justice Census Mapping	
☐	☐	☒	Environmental Justice Analysis Report (EJAR)	
Public Involvement Activities				
☐	☐	☒	Public Involvement Activities	Include opportunity for public comments Provide supporting information such as Property Owner Notification Letters (PONL), Press Release, and Public/Virtual Meeting.

Required			Note: (*) – prequalified consultant is NOT required	Responsibility/Notes
Yes	If Auth	No		
☐	☐	☒	Public Engagement Plan	
☐	☐	☒	Public Notification Letters	
☐	☐	☒	Open House (In Person ☐ or Virtual ☐)	
☐	☐	☒	Public Meeting (In Person ☐ or Virtual ☐)	
☐	☐	☒	Public Meeting Handouts	
☐	☐	☒	Public Meeting Presentation	

Any Known Environmental Concerns (ex. Historic properties on National Register, wetlands, underground storage tanks, stream relocation): _____

F. Right-of-Way

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Survey (establish R/W limits):	☒	☐	<u>LCEO will perform work</u>
Right-of-Way Plan:	☒	☐	<u>LCEO will perform work</u>
Approximate Number of Parcels:			<u>4 to 5 parcels with possible R/W takes</u>
Known Relocations:	☐	☒	<u></u>
Railroad Involvement:	☐	☒	<u>Will pave up to Railroad limits but stay outside</u>
Railroad Name:			<u></u>
Encroachments:	☒	☐	<u>Based on 2024 survey landscaping in NW</u>
Airway Highway Clearance:	☐	☒	<u></u>
Airport Name:			<u></u>
Comments:			<u>All survey and R/W work will be handled by LCEO staff</u>

Note: Provide a footprint of proposed and existing right of way limits as soon as available to the District Environmental Coordinator and District Real Estate Administrator.

Caution: Environmental needs to be clear prior to the beginning of right of way acquisition. A Local Public Agency, utilizing their own monies, assumes many risks by proceeding with acquisition prior to environmental being cleared. These risks include purchasing r/w that may never be used for the project and purchasing a site that contains the need for a hazardous waste cleanup.

G. Utilities

Aerial	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Name of Company</u>		
Phone	☒	☐			
Cablevision	☒	☐			
Power	☒	☐			
Underground					
Phone	☒	☐			
Cablevision	☒	☐			
Power	☒	☐			
Gas	☒	☐			
Pipelines:	☐	☒			
			<u>Private</u>	<u>Public</u>	<u>Name of Company</u>
Water	☒	☐	☐	☒	City of Toledo
Sanitary	☒	☐	☐	☒	Lucas County Sanitary Engineer & City of Toledo
Storm	☒	☐	☐	☒	LCEO & City of Toledo
Other:					
Comments: All utility locating work will be performed during the initial survey by LCEO					

- ☐ No.
- ☐ Yes. Utility Quality Level A
- ☒ Yes. Utility Quality Level B
- ☐ Yes. Utility Quality Level C
- ☐ Yes. Utility Quality Level D

Note: if SULS is necessary, follow the Subsurface Utility Location Services Specifications.

H. Geotechnical

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Are Pavement Cores needed?	☒	☐	<u>LCEO will handle gathering pav't cores</u>
Is Geotechnical Design (**) necessary? (Identify: full depth pavement replacement/widening, bridge work, retaining walls, culverts, slide repairs, etc.?)	☐	☒	_____

Note () – If Geotechnical Design is necessary, fully utilize historic geotechnical information; perform subsurface exploration in accordance with the Specifications for Geotechnical Explorations; and perform geotechnical design in accordance with the Geotechnical Design Manual.**

I. Structure Requirements

Existing Structure Information:

Structure type:	_____		
Bridge No.:	_____	Structural File No.:	_____
Sufficiency Rating:	_____	General Appraisal:	_____
Crossing:	_____		
Bridge Length:	_____	Number of Spans:	_____
Bridge Width:	_____		
Eligible for the National Historical Register:	☐ Yes ☐ No		

Proposed Structure Information:

New Structure:	☐ Yes ☒ No		
Rehabilitate Existing Bridge by:	_____		
Structure Type:	_____		
Beam Type:	☐ Concrete Box; ☐ Steel; ☐ n/a		
Structure Length:	_____	Number of Spans:	_____
Structure Width:	_____		
Other Design Considerations / Explanation of Change in Line/Grade:	_____ _____		

Bridge Railing Type:	
Approach Railing:	

J. Design Exception(s) Required

☐ Yes Explain: _____
☒ No

K. Traffic Control

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Signing:	☒	☐	_____
Striping:	☒	☐	_____
Lighting:	☒	☐	_____
Signals (***):	☐	☒	_____
RPMs:	☒	☐	_____

Note (*) – complete the Traffic Signal Scope Form for major signal work.**

L. Maintenance of Traffic

Type of MOT: ☒ Detour, ☐ Part Width, ☐ Daily Flagging
 Remarks/Describe: 45 day full closure (To Be finalized during design); Local access to businesses will be maintained

Will Pedestrian Traffic need to be maintained? _____

Remarks/Describe: _____

M. Driveways

☒ Yes, Driveways are present Type: Both asphalt and concrete commercial drives
 ☒ Description of Work: Full approach reconstruction and taper work
 ☐ No Work
☐ No Driveways are present

N. Project Funding

Project Cost Estimate:
(Sponsors are responsible for
TIP/STIP coordination)

Quantity splits needed in plans to
differentiate funding participation:

☐ Yes Comments: _____

☒ No

Coordination with Concurrent
Projects Required:

☐ Yes Comments: _____

☒ No

Cost Estimates:

	Total Federal or State funds	Percent Split	Total Local Funds	Percent Split
Preliminary Engineering (PE)	\$546,902.00	100%	\$0.00	0%
Right-of-Way (RW)	\$270,000.00	100%	\$0.00	%
Utilities	\$0.00	0%	\$0.00	0%
Construction (CO)	\$2,487,899.00	90%	\$276,433.00	10%
Construction Engineering (CE) (use xx%)	\$0.00	0%	\$276,000.00	100%
Total	\$3,304,801.00	86%	\$552,433.00	14%

Additional remarks about funding: _____

Project Cap _____

O. Roles/Responsibilities

Note: Consultants used for development of Construction plans, R/W plans, R/W acquisition/appraisals, and Construction inspection must be pre-qualified by ODOT.

Construction Plan Development: _____ Consultant Selected by Lucas County Engineer

Proposal/Specification Development: _____ Consultant Selected by Lucas County Engineer

LPA Agreement: _____ ODOT

Form and Preliminary Legislation: _____ ODOT (if ODOT Let)

Advertising and Award of Contract: _____ Lucas County Engineer

Construction Inspection: _____ Lucas County Engineer or Consultant

R/W Plan Development:	<u>Lucas County Engineer</u>
R/W Acquisition / Appraisals:	<u>Lucas County Engineer/ODOT Prequalified Consultant</u>
Utility Relocation:	<u>Lucas County Engineer</u>
Other:	<u></u>

P. Field Review

Representatives Present:		Date:
Name	Company	Phone / E-mail

Q. Commitment Dates

☐ ODOT-Let
 ☒ Local-Let
 ☐ Reservoir

Activity	Milestone Date
Authorization to Proceed (LPA notification to consultant to begin working on project)	08/03/2026
Pre Stage 1 Submitted for Review (Allow ODOT 30 days for review)	6/04/2027
Environmental Documentation Submitted in EnviroNet	6/04/2027
Stage 2 Submitted for Review (includes Preliminary R/W Plans) (Allow ODOT 30 days for review)	Stage 2: 03/03/2028 Prelim. R/W: 03/24/2028
Environmental Document Approved	10/02/2028
R/W Plans Approved – or – R/W Plans Not Required (“N/A”)	06/16/2028

Stage 3 Submitted for Review (includes Bid Document and Estimate) (Allow ODOT 30 days for review)	02/23/2029
Final Tracings – or – PS&E Package to District (includes Final Plans, Bid Document and Estimate)	10/12/2029
R/W and Utility Clearance by District (District certifies clearance to FHWA. LPA should certify to the District one (1) month before this date.)	09/14/2029
Plan Package to C. O. (File Date)	11/09/2029
(For Local Let projects, allow 45 days to Sale Date which includes processing the request for Authorization and three (3) weeks minimum for advertising. For ODOT Let projects, the sale and award will be determined by Central Office per ODOT's letting schedule which is typically a 12 week process.)	
Sale Date (Bid Opening Date)	01/18/2030
Award Date (LPA should submit a summary of bid tabs and the identity of the awarded contractor to the District no later than one (1) week after the award.)	02/22/2030
Estimated Begin Construction	06/03/2030
Estimated End Construction	10/25/2030

Other due dates of interest:

- LPA certifies R/W and utility clearance to the District: 08/14/2029
- LPA submits bid results (award package) to District: 03/01/2030

PROJECT LIMITS

