ATTACHMENT J.P-3
PROJECT VERIFICATION FORM (Current as of AMD 0009)

In accordance with Sections L.5.2 and L.5.3, this attachment may be used for the following purposes:

- Customer (Federal and Non-Federal) Project experience verification in accordance with L.5.1.7.1 and L.5.1.7.2,
- Joint Venture (JV) project experience verification in accordance with Section L.5.1.7.3,
- Multiple Award Schedule Contractor Teaming Arrangement (MAS CTA) project experience verification in accordance with Section L.5.1.7.4.

Offeror fills out Parts I, II, and III. The Offeror is responsible for submitting the completed form with the claimed Qualifying Project or Federal Experience Project within the proposal.

Project Experience Customer Verification: To obtain customer project experience verification in accordance with L.5.1.7.1 and L.5.1.7.2, this form must be sent to the cognizant Contracting Officer, Contracting Officer's Representative, other Government employee, or Corporate Officer/Official (customer) to verify the claimed project elements (qualifications). The Offeror is responsible for ensuring that the customer is informed of the solicitation requirements pertaining to the claimed qualifications so that the customer can accurately assess and confirm the project elements. The customer must provide verification within Part IV of this form, verifying the Contractor performed the work detailed in Parts II and III.

JV, MRCL, and MAS CTA Project Experience Verification: To verify work performed as a member of a JV (or to claim work by way of a MRCL), the Offeror must identify the project of the JV (or MRCL other entity) that the member elects to use for its experience in Part II. The member enters their portion of the work into the "Total Project Value Performed By Offeror/Member." The Project Verification Form must be signed by a member of the JV (other than the Offeror) within Part V. Certification is not required in Part V if a meaningful relationship to the Offeror exists, and a commitment letter is provided per Section L.5.1.4.

To verify work performed as a JV other than the Offering Entity, relevant experience from a previous joint venture that was composed of the same members as the offering joint venture entity shall be treated as the relevant experience of the Offering JV. The previous JV projects may be claimed under the offering JV's name and UEI. Certification in Part V is not required. See Section L.5.1.7.3 and ensure complete copies of both the current and former JV agreements are provided.

To verify work performed as a member of a MAS CTA (when a contract award form and/or FPDS/SAM.gov report was not issued to the member), the Project Verification Form must be signed by a member of the MAS CTA (other than the offeror) *OR* the CO, COR, or other Government employee within Part VI, verifying the Contractor performed the work detailed in Parts II and III.

Customer, Joint Venture, or Certifying MAS CTA Member: *You are receiving this form because the Contractor claims that it performed the project identified in this form and is using the project to demonstrate experience in response to the OASIS+ Solicitation. Please review the project information against the claimed qualifications set forth in Attachment J.P-1 (Domain Qualifications Matrix and Scorecards) and the requirements in the associated solicitation sections. To verify the Contractor's claimed project experience, please ensure you sign this form, certifying the Contractor identified in Part II performed the project as detailed in Parts II and III as follows:*

Part IV - Customer Certification/Signature
Part V - Joint Venture Certification/Signature
Part VI - MAS CTA Certification/Signature

PART I: OFFEROR INFORMATION

OFFEROR NAME:	
OFFEROR UEID:	
If work was performed as a Joint Venture (or claimed under a MRCL)	
JOINT VENTURE (OR MRCL OTHER ENTITY) NAME:	
JOINT VENTURE (OR MRCL OTHER ENTITY) UEID:	

PART II: PROJECT IDENTIFICATION (Contractor who performed the work)

CONTRACTOR NAME:	
CONTRACT NUMBER:	
ORDER NUMBER (if applicable):	
PROJECT NAME:	
FUNDING AGENCY ID OR CUSTOMER:	
TOTAL PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE, INCLUDING OPTIONS: (MM/YYYY – MM/YYYY)	
TOTAL CONTRACT OR ORDER VALUE:	
PROJECT NAICS/PSC Code:	
The following information is only required to verify work performed as member of a joint venture or MAS CTA (when an individual award form was not issued to the member):	
TOTAL PROJECT VALUE PERFORMED BY OFFEROR/MEMBER:	

If the Offeror is using this attachment to support "QP - Relevance" to the proposed Domain(s) in accordance with RFP Section L.5.2.3.1, the Offeror shall complete the following sections which describes the relevance to each proposed Domain, and affirms that the relevant portion of the work exceeds the minimum QP criteria for each proposed Domain (e.g. ≥$500K average annual value). **If the Offeror is submitting a QP across multiple Domains, this form may be used to demonstrate/verify relevance for additional proposed domains by providing the requested information for each additional Domain.** Up to eight domains may be documented on this form. If a claimed QP is applicable to more than eight domains, the Offeror may submit a second J.P-3 form for the same project, but must ensure both copies are signed by the customer.

Domain #1	
RELEVANCE TO PROPOSED DOMAIN(S): The Offeror must include a narrative statement clearly explaining how the project is relevant to each proposed Domain where relevance is claimed (Not to exceed 1000 characters per Domain). Identify Domain #1:	Relevance Narrative:
RELEVANT WORK MEETS OR EXCEEDS MINIMUM QP $ THRESHOLD: Offeror must provide two pieces of information which will be validated in PART IV by the customer: 1) QP minimum average annual dollar value for each Domain where relevance is claimed. 2) Average annual value of relevant work for each Domain where relevance is claimed.	1) QP minimum average annual value for Domain #1: 2) Average annual value of relevant work for Domain #1:

Domain #2 (if applicable)	
RELEVANCE TO PROPOSED DOMAIN(S): The Offeror must include a narrative statement clearly explaining how the project is relevant to each proposed Domain where relevance is claimed (Not to exceed 1000 characters per Domain). Identify Domain #2:	Relevance Narrative:
RELEVANT WORK MEETS OR EXCEEDS MINIMUM QP $ THRESHOLD: Offeror must provide two pieces of information which will be validated in PART IV by the customer: 1) QP minimum average annual dollar value for each Domain where relevance is claimed. 2) Average annual value of relevant work for each Domain where relevance is claimed.	1) QP minimum average annual value for Domain #2: 2) Average annual value of relevant work for Domain #2:

Domain #3 (if applicable)	
RELEVANCE TO PROPOSED DOMAIN(S): The Offeror must include a narrative statement clearly explaining how the project is relevant to each proposed Domain where relevance is claimed (Not to exceed 1000 characters per Domain). Identify Domain #3:	Relevance Narrative:
RELEVANT WORK MEETS OR EXCEEDS MINIMUM QP $ THRESHOLD: Offeror must provide two pieces of information which will be validated in PART IV by the customer: 1) QP minimum average annual dollar value for each Domain where relevance is claimed. 2) Average annual value of relevant work for each Domain where relevance is claimed.	1) QP minimum average annual value for Domain #3: 2) Average annual value of relevant work for Domain #3:

Domain #4 (if applicable)	
RELEVANCE TO PROPOSED DOMAIN(S): The Offeror must include a narrative statement clearly explaining how the project is relevant to each proposed Domain where relevance is claimed (Not to exceed 1000 characters per Domain). Identify Domain #4:	Relevance Narrative:
RELEVANT WORK MEETS OR EXCEEDS MINIMUM QP $ THRESHOLD: Offeror must provide two pieces of information which will be validated in PART IV by the customer: 1) QP minimum average annual dollar value for each Domain where relevance is claimed. 2) Average annual value of relevant work for each Domain where relevance is claimed.	1) QP minimum average annual value for Domain #4: 2) Average annual value of relevant work for Domain #4:

Domain #5 (if applicable)	
RELEVANCE TO PROPOSED DOMAIN(S): The Offeror must include a narrative statement clearly explaining how the project is relevant to each proposed Domain where relevance is claimed (Not to exceed 1000 characters per Domain). Identify Domain #5:	Relevance Narrative:
RELEVANT WORK MEETS OR EXCEEDS MINIMUM QP $ THRESHOLD: Offeror must provide two pieces of information which will be validated in PART IV by the customer: 1) QP minimum average annual dollar value for each Domain where relevance is claimed. 2) Average annual value of relevant work for each Domain where relevance is claimed.	1) QP minimum average annual value for Domain #5: 2) Average annual value of relevant work for Domain #5:

Domain #6 (if applicable)	
RELEVANCE TO PROPOSED DOMAIN(S): The Offeror must include a narrative statement clearly explaining how the project is relevant to each proposed Domain where relevance is claimed (Not to exceed 1000 characters per Domain). Identify Domain #6:	Relevance Narrative:
RELEVANT WORK MEETS OR EXCEEDS MINIMUM QP $ THRESHOLD: Offeror must provide two pieces of information which will be validated in PART IV by the customer: 1) QP minimum average annual dollar value for each Domain where relevance is claimed. 2) Average annual value of relevant work for each Domain where relevance is claimed.	1) QP minimum average annual value for Domain #6: 2) Average annual value of relevant work for Domain #6:

Domain #7 (if applicable)	
RELEVANCE TO PROPOSED DOMAIN(S): The Offeror must include a narrative statement clearly explaining how the project is relevant to each proposed Domain where relevance is claimed (Not to exceed 1000 characters per Domain). Identify Domain #7:	Relevance Narrative:
RELEVANT WORK MEETS OR EXCEEDS MINIMUM QP $ THRESHOLD: Offeror must provide two pieces of information which will be validated in PART IV by the customer: 1) QP minimum average annual dollar value for each Domain where relevance is claimed. 2) Average annual value of relevant work for each Domain where relevance is claimed.	1) QP minimum average annual value for Domain #7: 2) Average annual value of relevant work for Domain #7:

Domain #8 (if applicable)	
RELEVANCE TO PROPOSED DOMAIN(S): The Offeror must include a narrative statement clearly explaining how the project is relevant to each proposed Domain where relevance is claimed (Not to exceed 1000 characters per Domain). Identify Domain #8:	Relevance Narrative:
RELEVANT WORK MEETS OR EXCEEDS MINIMUM QP $ THRESHOLD: Offeror must provide two pieces of information which will be validated in PART IV by the customer: 1) QP minimum average annual dollar value for each Domain where relevance is claimed. 2) Average annual value of relevant work for each Domain where relevance is claimed.	1) QP minimum average annual value for Domain #8: 2) Average annual value of relevant work for Domain #8:

PART III: PROJECT QUALIFICATIONS (Offeror must check as applicable)

CAPABILITY & RFP SECTION	QUALIFICATION
INTEGRATED (L.5.2.3.3)	☐ Performance spanned 3 or more distinct functional areas (ref. Attachment J.P-5, Functional Areas and Sub-Areas). ☐ Performance spanned 4 or more sub-areas detailed in section 1.C.2.7 Facilities Domain of J.P-5. ☐ Performance spanned 5 or more different Labor Categories. ☐ Performance spanned 5 or more distinct functional areas (ref. Attachment J.P-5). ☐ Performance spanned 5 or more sub-areas outlined within the Environmental Functional Area (ref. Attachment J.P-5). ☐ Performance spanned 8 or more distinct functional areas within Attachment J.P-5, Functional Areas and Subareas.
MANAGEMENT & STAFFING (L.5.2.3.4)	☐ Emergency Call Back (After Hours): Demonstrate performance where the work consisted of correcting failures that constituted an immediate danger to personnel or property. Response time required was within one hour, or less, of notification. Emergency Call Back requests include, but are not limited to broken water pipes, electrical power outages, electrical problems that may cause fire or shock, gas or oil leaks, major air conditioning, heating problems or fire alarm malfunctions, etc. ☐ Emergency Work Request/Response: Demonstrate performance where the work consisted of correcting failures that constituted an immediate danger to personnel or property during normal working hours or after working hours. Response time required was within one hour of notification. Emergencies include but are not limited to broken water pipes, water intrusion, stalled elevators with trapped passengers, electrical power outages, electrical problems that may cause fire or shock, gas or oil leaks, major air conditioning or heating problems, etc. ☐ Managing 2 or more first-tier subcontractors/teaming partners. ☐ Managing 3 or more first-tier subcontractors/teaming partners. ☐ Managing 5 or more first-tier subcontractors/teaming partners. ☐ Providing services that require 5 or more personnel with individual security clearances: Secret, Top Secret, Q (DOE). ☐ Staffing personnel with individual security clearances (Secret, Top Secret, Q (DOE)). *Facilities only.* ☐ Surge Capability: providing surge support (>10% QP value increase) with ≤ 45 days lead time (funded via modification or task order, or through CO formal authorization). ☐ Urgent Work Request/Response: Demonstrate performance where the work consisted of correcting failures that interrupted or adversely impacted building occupant operations but did not pose immediate danger during normal working hours. Response time required was within 24 hours of notification. Urgent work requests include but are

CAPABILITY & RFP SECTION	QUALIFICATION
	not limited to inoperative electrical circuits, temperature complaints, inoperative lighting above a workstation, flush valve stuck open, any malfunctions to equipment that affect the operations of building and its occupants. ☐ Providing services that require 5+ licensed/certified professionals ☐ Providing services that require 5+ Certified Financial Professionals
SCALE - ALL OFFERORS EXCLUDING PROTÉGÉS (L.5.2.3.2)	☐ Annual value over $500K or 3 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $1M or 5 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $2M or 10 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $2.5M or 12 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $2.5M or 15 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $3M. ☐ Annual value over $4M or 20 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $5M or 25 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $7.5M. ☐ Annual value over $10M. ☐ Annual value over $10M or 50 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $15M or 50 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $75M. ☐ Annual value over $100M.
SCALE - PROTÉGÉ OFFERORS ONLY (L.5.2.3.2)	☐ Annual value over $250K or 2 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $500K or 3 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $1M or 5 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $1.25M or 6 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $1.25M or 8 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $1.5M. ☐ Annual value over $2M or 10 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $2.5M or 13 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $3.75M. ☐ Annual value over $5M. ☐ Annual value over $5M or 25 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $7.5M or 25 FTEs. ☐ Annual value over $37.5M. ☐ Annual value over $50M.

CAPABILITY & RFP SECTION	QUALIFICATION
SPECIALIZED FUNCTIONAL EXPERIENCE (L.5.2.3.5)	☐ CERCLA Requirements. ☐ Contingency & Exercise Support that includes evidence of using SPOT and/or demonstration of SOFA/ACSA knowledge. ☐ Environmental Community Relations, Public Participation, and Stakeholder Engagement. ☐ Environmental Permitting and Compliance. ☐ Environmental Regulatory Development and Implementation ☐ Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) by Certified Personnel. ☐ Investigation and/or Remediation of PFAS/PFOA, PCBs, VOCs, UXOs, or Nuclear/Radiological Contamination. ☐ Performance spanned 3 or more of the following specialized functional sub-areas: Building Management Services, Electrical Maintenance, HVAC Maintenance, Janitorial, Landscaping/Grounds Maintenance, Plumbing and Pipefitting. ☐ RCRA Requirements ☐ Surge Capability: providing surge support (>10% QP value increase) with ≤ 45 days lead time (funded via modification or task order, or through CO formal authorization).
MULTIPLE & OCONUS LOCATIONS (L.5.2.3.6)	☐ Performance in 3 or more different locations. ☐ Performance in 5 or more different locations. ☐ Performance that required work at an OCONUS location.
FEDERAL EXPERIENCE: COMPETITION (L.5.3.1)	☐ Competitive task order in MA-IDIQ, MA-BOA, or MA-BPA environment.
FEDERAL EXPERIENCE: COST-REIMBURSEMENT (L.5.3.3)	☐ Providing services under cost-reimbursement type contract.
FEDERAL EXPERIENCE: ADVANCED R&D (L.5.3.4)	☐ R&D effort performed through the SBIR/STTR programs under Phase II or Phase III. ☐ Applied Research or Experimental/Exploratory/Advanced Development as demonstrated by the PSC assigned to the project (PSC contains A in the 1st position and 2 or 3 in the 4th position). ☐ Project with an assessed Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of 3 or higher.

PART IV: CUSTOMER CERTIFICATION

For federal projects: Contracting Officer with cognizance over the submitted project. *For non-federal projects:* Corporate Officer/Official of the commercial entity with cognizance over the submitted project.

By signing this section, the Contracting Officer or Corporate Official named in this section certifies the Contractor identified in Part II performed the project as detailed in Parts II and III.	
NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER OR CORPORATE OFFICIAL (required):	
TITLE:	
EMAIL (required):	
PHONE NUMBER (required):	
AGENCY OR CUSTOMER:	
SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER OR CORPORATE OFFICIAL:	
DATE:	

For federal projects: If Contracting Officer signature is not available, then Contracting Officer Representative (COR), or other Government representative **with cognizance over the submitted project**.

By signing this section, the COR or Government representative named in this section certifies the Contractor identified in Part II performed the project as detailed in Parts II and III.	
NAME OF COR OR OTHER GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:	
TITLE:	
EMAIL:	
PHONE NUMBER:	
AGENCY OR CUSTOMER:	
SIGNATURE OF COR OR GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:	
DATE:	

PART V: JOINT VENTURE CERTIFICATION (if applicable)

By signing this section, the joint venture member named in this section certifies the Contractor identified in Part II performed the project as detailed in Parts II and III.	
JOINT VENTURE MEMBER NAME:	
JOINT VENTURE MEMBER UEID:	
CORPORATE OFFICIAL NAME:	
CORPORATE OFFICIAL TITLE:	
EMAIL:	
PHONE NUMBER:	
SIGNATURE:	
DATE:	

PART VI: MAS CTA MEMBER CERTIFICATION (if applicable)

By signing this section, the MAS CTA member named in this section certifies the Contractor identified in Part II performed the project as detailed in Parts II and III.	
CORPORATE OFFICIAL NAME:	
CORPORATE OFFICIAL TITLE:	
COMPANY NAME:	
EMAIL:	
PHONE NUMBER:	
SIGNATURE:	
DATE:	