Date Submitted	Reference			Question	Response
	Page	Section	Paragraph		
5/29/2026	39	Section 01 14 00 Work Restrictions	1.2	Section 01 14 00 Work Restrictions, Part 1.2 states, "The Contractor shall comply with all special scheduling requirements as described in the attached project description and as noted on the drawings." The referenced special scheduling requirements are not found in the project description in SECTION 01 11 00 of the RFP. Please clarify the location and/or if these requirements are forthcoming?	Submit proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings and all amendments.
5/29/2026		Amendment 0001	4.1 Site Visit	The information regarding the site visit provided in Amendment 0001 states: 1. "Everyone is required to submit their name on the attached spreadsheet for MARSOC." and 2. "Those wishing to attend the site visit must submit the base access and MARSOC spreadsheets no later than June 8, 2026, by 2:00 PM EDT to Ashley Ruiz at ashley.l.ruiz10.civ@us.navy.mil." Please provide the necessary spreadsheet for submitting the names of site visit attendees.	Please check SAM.gov for attachment
5/29/2026		Amendment 0001	4.1 Site Visit	A site visit is scheduled for Thursday, June 11th, and bidder inquiries are due on Monday, June 15th, which is two (2) business days after the site visit, as outlined in the solicitation requirements. Would the Government consider extending the inquiry due date? An extension would provide adequate time after the site visit for bidders to submit questions, thereby reducing project risks for both the Government and the Offerors, and improving the Offerors' ability to submit accurate and competitive pricing.	The Government is noting these inquiries and may decide accordingly.
	Site Drawings	ES504 & ES502		The cable schedule on ES504 references detail B4 on Drawings ES502. The sable schedule indicates new conduit size as 6", Detail B4 is indicating a conduit size of 5 ". please confirm which conduit size is correct.	Provide 6" conduit per schedule.
	Site Drawings	ES101 & ES504		ES101 calls for the demolition of JB2 and installation of the new switch board 1. JB2 is currently connect down stream to JB3 that is not in contract. please confirm any restrictions to replacing JB2 with SW 1 as it impacts JB3.	Downtime must be specifically coordinated with contracting officer to minimize interruption for activity.
	Site Drawings	TS104, TS 105		on drawing TS104 plan note 2 adds six 5" conduits to the existing duct bank. plan note 4 states to provide (4) 5" conduit duct bank. TS105 through TS107 increase the new duct bank back to (6) 5" Conduits. please confirm if plan note 4 should be for six conduits and not 4.	Yes, plan note 4 should be (6) conduits.
	Site Drawings	TS107	Detail A2	Detail A2 on TS107 includes a callout for plan note 4. plan note 4 is not provided on the drawing. please confirm what plan note 4 requires.	Please refer to Amendment TS drawings for updated plan clarifications.
	Building A3	E-105 and E-106		Drawings E-105 and E-106 show an H type light with a diagonal hash and not connected to any circuit. please confirm that this light fixture is not required.	Incorrect. Fixtures are required. They are night lights; refer to light fixture legend on sheet E-001.
	Building A2	FA105		Team Staging/Storage room 134 does not have a pull station, all other team staging/storage rooms have pull stations. does this room require a pull station?	Yes, this room requires a pull station at the exterior door.
	Civil Drawings			The civil plan documents provided are unable to be vectorized, meaning the contours shown on the plans cannot be imported into industry standard takeoff software. Our understanding is that this is due to the engineer scanning the drawings to create the PDF rather than generating a digitally vectorized PDF directly from CAD. Would it be possible to provide either: A vectorizable PDF plan set, or The associated CAD files so that we can proceed with our takeoff?	The Civil PDF documents are created directly from cad and are not scanned drawings. However, they are flattened prior to submittal to the Government. The AE has provided simplified cad files of the existing contours and proposed contours with this response to quickly resolve this issue.

	SF-1442	Box 13	Additional Solicitation Requirements	In light of the solicitation's issuance on May 15, 2026 and the subsequent removal of drawings containing Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), we respectfully request that the Government extend the proposal due date to July 10, 2026, providing a full proposal preparation period following issuance of Amendment 0001 (May 28, 2026). As confirmed in the PPI responses, certain CUI drawings were initially released publicly and later removed, with access now requiring NDA execution and distribution via DoDSafe. This sequence may have allowed some offerors earlier access to critical design information, creating a potential imbalance. Extending the due date would ensure all offerors have equitable access and sufficient time to fully review the complete drawing package and develop a well-informed, competitive proposal.	The Government is noting these inquiries and may decide accordingly.
	RFP- Page 8	Non-Price Factors	Factor 1 Corporate Experience	Would the Government consider allowing repair and renovation projects to be submitted under Factor 1 – Corporate Experience, provided such projects meet or exceed the solicitation's stated requirements for size, scope, and complexity? Given that the solicitation defines relevant experience as projects comparable in size, scope, and complexity, including large-scale facilities with significant coordination of structural, architectural, MEP, and site/civil elements, as many repair and renovation projects of similar magnitude involve the same multidisciplinary coordination, phasing, and technical challenges as new construction. Inclusion of these projects would allow offerors to demonstrate comprehensive capabilities aligned with the intent of the evaluation criteria and support broader and more competitive participation	Please propose per the RFP
	RFP- Page 8	Non-Price Factors	Factor 1 Corporate Experience	Would the Government consider expanding the allowable timeframe for relevant project experience under Factor 1 from 10 years to 15 years? The solicitation requires projects completed within the past 10 years, yet the magnitude of this procurement, estimated between $100M and $250M and involving highly specialized SOF facilities, represents projects that are relatively infrequent within the federal construction market. Expanding the timeframe would allow the Government to evaluate a broader pool of highly relevant, comparable projects that best demonstrate contractor capability for projects of this size, complexity, and mission criticality.	Please propose per the RFP
6/5/2026		01 91 00.15 22	1.1	Could the government please confirm they will hire the building commissioning firm for this project?	"The Government has hired an independent Commissioning Firm as stated in Para 1.1."
6/5/2026	CU108 & P-501	Keynote 4		On sheet CU108 keynote 4 "Water Meter & Backflow Assembly (Inside Mech Rooms). See Plumbing Plans." on sheet P-501 for the Plumbing details it doesn't show any water meter connections or sizes, and on Sheet P-601, that information is missing from the plumbing schedule. Could the government please provide the water meter details for each building?	All P-501 sheets show pipe sizes and water meter requirements on the Backflow Preventer Detail.
6/5/2026	M-001	Keynote 16		On sheet M-001, general note 16 states that "All mechanical control wiring must be installed in conduit." Does this apply to control wiring above ceiling systems/non exposed areas or is the government's intention that all control wiring in all locations to be installed in conduit?	Unless otherwise noted, control wiring to be installed in conduit. Provide per plans and specs.
6/5/2026	MH-401 & M-602	Louver Schedule		Sheet MH-401 has a callout for L-5, but it is not listed on the louver schedule on sheet M-602. Is L-5 perhaps mislabeled, or could the government please provide an updated louver schedule to include L-5?	Discrepancy is corrected on MH401_R01 and M-602_R01.

6/5/2026	MH-401 & M-602	Louver Schedule		Sheet MH-401 has a callout for L-6, but it is not listed on the louver schedule on sheet M-602. Is L-6 perhaps mislabeled, or could the government please provide an updated louver schedule to include L-6?	Discrepancy is corrected on MH401_R01 and M-602_R01.
6/5/2026		01 50 00	3.2.1	Specification section 01 50 00 paragraph 3.2.1 Temporary Utilities states that "Reasonable amounts of water and electricity from the nearest outlet will be provided free of charge for pursuance of work within a facility under this contract." While Solicitation paragraph Utilities for Construction and Testing states "The contractor shall provide these utilities at his expense, paid at the current utility rate delivered to the job site." Please confirm what is accurate? If the contractor is to pay for temp utilities, please provide the current utility rate for all existing utilities.	See attached Utility Rates for Camp Lejeune. _MDM
6/5/2026	A1 - I-117	Room 132		Finish Plan Area G shows Room 132 as SV-1 and SV-3, however the Room Finish Schedule indicates this room to be SV-1 and SV-2. Please clarify	The floor finish in room 132 has been corrected. SV-1 and SV-2 are in the Open Medical Office, SV-3 is in the Exam Rooms.
6/5/2026	TS101	Keynote 2		Please clarify if manhole MHRR100 will need to be expanded to accommodate new conduits.	Assume manhole MHRR100 will need to be expanded.
6/5/2026	TS101	Keynote 2, 3		The expansion of the telecommunication ductbank, as shown on Sheet TS101, will be cutting through two existing buildings. Will both of these facilities be occupied during construction?	Drawings show one "existing" building which is no longer there.
6/5/2026	TS101	Keynote 2, 3		The expansion of the telecommunication ductbank, as shown on Sheet TS101, will be cutting through two existing buildings. To minimize damage and rework associated with the Building along Rifle Range Rd, can an alternate path be utilized so the building is not affected?	Drawings show one "existing" building which is no longer there. Bid per shown routing.
6/5/2026	TS107	Keynote 4		Please confirm that Keynote 4 on Sheet TS107 is supposed to be Keynote 3. If not, please provide Keynote 4 information for this drawing sheet.	Please refer to Amendment TS drawings for updated plan clarifications.
6/5/2026		Civil		The civil plan documents provided are unable to be vectorized, meaning the contours shown on the plans cannot be imported into our takeoff software. Would it be possible to provide either a vectorizable PDF Plan set or the associated CAD files? This will allow all contractors to provide a more accurate proposal, thus reducing risk and contingency for all parties.	The Civil PDF documents are created directly from cad and are not scanned drawings. However, they are flattened prior to submittal to the Government. TheAE has provided simplified cadfiles of the existing contours and proposed contours with this response to quickly resolve this issue. Stantec
6/5/2026		26 05 19.10.10		Please confirm that offerers are permitted to utilize the type(s) of material(s) described in UFC 3-520-01 and UFGS Section 26 05 19.10 10 applicable to their scope of work, despite any potentially contradictory provisions in the design plans and specifications.	UFC 3-520-01 is applicable, but spec section 26 20 00 and design drawings have been specifically edited for this project and must be followed. If there is a specific question on allowed materials, please provide a more detailed PPI. UFGS section 26 05 19.00 10 is an Army section and not utilized for this project.
6/5/2026	CU101	Keynote 15 & General Note #3		General note #3 on sheet CU101 mentions Piedmont Natural Gas is responsible for the design and intall of the Gas line on this project. Please confirm the winning contractor is not responsible for paying Piedmont Natural Gas for this work.	Confirmed
6/5/2026	Building A3 Sheet MH-401	Keynote 1		For Building A3 in drawing MH401 note 1 references the mechanical room having exposed supply, exhaust, and return ductwork from the air handler unit. Spec section 23 30 00, 2.10.11 Pre-Manufactured Double Wall Ductwork references using Double Wall for all interior exposed ductwork that is in finished/occupied spaces as indicated on plans. With mechanical rooms not being a high traffic or finished area, we would typically install single wall duct that is externally insulated. Are we to provide double wall ductwork for the rectangular exhaust duct and the rectangular outside air that's in the mechanical room, or do we provide single wall ductwork that's externally insulated, as we typically would?	Note was revised on MH401_R01. Provide per plans and specs.

6/5/2026		RFP Part 1	252.204-7021	In the RFP, Part 1 includes DFAR 252.204-7021 for contractor compliance with the cybersecurity maturity model certification level requirements (Nov 2025), in clause (1)(i), the government has indicated that the contractor shall have CMMC Level 1 (Self) CMMC status for the duration of the contract. Does this apply to the general contractor only or all contractors, including subcontractors for this pursuit?	See Amendment 1
6/5/2026		RFP Part 1	252.204-7021	In the RFP, Part 1 includes DFAR 252.204-7021 for contractor compliance with the cybersecurity maturity model certification level requirements (Nov 2025), in clause (1)(i), the government has indicated that the contractor shall have CMMC Level 1 (Self) CMMC status for the duration of the contract. Would the government waive the CMMC requirement for this project to allow for additional subcontractors and their suppliers to participate in this pursuit?	No
6/5/2026		RFP Part 1	252.204-7021	Could the government please confirm that the CMMC level and requirements do not just pertain to the CUI information but on all federal contract information (FCI) for this pursuit?	See Amendment 0001
6/10/2026				Please confirm there is no Construction Security Plan (CSP) for this Project. If there is, please provide a copy of the CSP for this Project.	Confirmed
6/10/2026				Please confirm both US Citizens and US Persons (those authorized to work in this country) are allowed on this project.	Confirmed
6/10/2026				Amendment 1 removed the A1-BN HQ drawing set and reissued it labeled as CUI, requiring an NDA to be completed. However, 252.204-7021 nor 7025 were revised changing the CMMC Level 1 requirement. Please confirm CMMC Level 2 (self) is required to handle, store, or transmit the A1-BN HQ CUI drawings by both the Prime Contractor and any Subcontractor.	Confirmed
6/10/2026				Amendment 1 revised the A1 - BN HQ set of drawings labeled all drawings as CUI. The original set of drawings only had a CUI label for the AY or RF Shielding drawings in that file. Making the entire file CUI has time and cost impacts as the documents have to be handled per CMMC Level 2 requirements and is restrictive because many subcontractors do not have a CMMC Level 2. Please consider removing the CUI label to only the AY or RF shielding drawings as originally issued. If this can not be achieved, please extend the bid date by 4 weeks.	CUI labels will remain.
6/10/2026		31 00 00		Please provide a copy of the full geotechnical report for this project, if one is available.	Full geotechnical report will not be provided.
6/11/2026	A-105, A-605			The storefront in Building A2-1 Vestibule 100 (Column Line 8/9) on A-105 is not labeled. Please confirm that the plan East and West storefronts should be type A-2 on A-605 and that the plan North and South should be A-1 on A-605.	Correct.
6/11/2026	A-601			On the Building A2-1 door schedule on A-601 no information is provided for door numbers 130E and 130F. Additionally, the location of these openings cannot be found on the floor plans. Please provide the required information for these openings or confirm if they should be removed from the door schedule.	Those doors do not exist and may be deleted from the Door and Frame schedule.
6/11/2026		87100	3.6.2.2	The following door numbers in Building A2-1 are missing hardware sets in the specifications (starting at paragraph 3.6.2.2). Please provide door hardware sets for: 100A, 100B, 102A, 104A, 104B, 105A, 106A, 110A, 114A, 118A, 122A, 126A, 130E, 130F, 149B, 153A, 153B, 156A, 160A, 164A, 168A, 172A, 176A, 180A, 181A.	Hardware Sets for the identified openings are in other Specification Sections that are specific to door types (e.g. aluminum storefront, sound control doors, etc.)

6/15/2026				Please confirm the government will complete any of the necssary tree harvesting and/or assessment prior to award of the contract, if this is not done prior to award. Please confirm the timeframe it will be completed in to avoid future construction delays.	The KTR is responsible for clearing the site. MCB CL waived their right to tree harvesting for this project.
6/15/2026				Please provide any additional government activities that should be accounted for in the overall construction duration.	Submit proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings and all amendments. MBFA
6/15/2026	TS101	Plan Notes 2		Plan Notes #2 on Sheet TS101 states that the manhole may require expansion to accommodate new conduits. Please provide information of the quantity and required size of expansion.	No additional information is available at this time.
6/15/2026	1	26 13 00		Please clarify if SF6/High-Fire point Fluids Insulated Pad-Mounted Switchgear is to be manual or automated?	MV switch is only required to be manual.
6/15/2026		Structural Drawings		In many locations across all of the structural drawings, the B1 Beam per the schedule is identified to be 1'-6" wide x 1'-6" deep. In several locations on the drawings, B1 is shown in a location where details C3/S-512 (A1) or C2/S-512 (A2), Typical is also shown. Please clarify which Beam detail is to be used where this occurs.	SECTION C3 AND C2 ARE SECTIONS CUT THROUGH THE TIE BEAM. WIDTH, DEPTH, AND REINFORCING OF TIE BEAM ARE PER THE SCHEDULE. NOTES CHANGED TO BE PER SCHEDULE TO AVOID CONFUSION.
6/15/2026		Structural Drawings		Please provide a detail to clarify what is intended at the crossing of B1 Beams and interior footings where both C3/S-511 and C2/S-512 are shown to be typical (e.g., A2 Dwgs, S-105)	SECTION C3 ILLUSTRATES HOW GRADE BEAM AND INTERIOR FOOTINGS INTERSECT.
6/15/2026		Structural Drawings		Please provide a detail to clarify what is intended at the termination of B1 Beams at perimeter footings where both B3/S-511 and C2/S-512 are shown to be typical (e.g., A2 Dwgs, S-103). Please clarify the beam design intent, as the details appear to be in conflict.	SECTION B3/S-511 ILLUSTRATES HOW TIE BEAM TERMINATES AT PERIMETER COLUMN FOOTING.
6/15/2026	LS100	Site Drawings / Landscape Notes		Sheet LS100, general note #3 states "contractor shall install tree root barriers around all hardscape, utilities & site amenities within 10' of the center of any planted tree". The drawings (Sheets LS101 thru LS111) show visual indication of where this barrier is to be placed. For purposes of bidding, please confirm we are to only locate root barriers where visually indicated on sheets LS101 through LS111, or if note #3 on LS100 takes precedence.	Both apply. General Note 3 on Sheet LS100 addresses any required area of root barrier that is not specifically illustrated.
6/15/2026		05 40 00		Is exterior Cold Form Framed walls required to be Blast Designed?	No. Submit proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings and all amendments.
6/15/2026	1043	09 22 00	2.1.1.1	Cold roll suspended ceilings are not commonly installed in commercial spaces. Will a modern ASTM equivalent drywall grid system be acceptable for installation? A512 A1 detail shows a drywall grid system.	Submit proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings and all amendments.
8	I-602			ACG-1/2 has 9/16" Interlude XL HRC noted as BOD. High recycled content is not shown as required in specs. Is High Recycled Content a requirement for a non-spec reason? It doesn't provide higher performance, and other systems meeting the minimum domestic steel needed for BABAA compliance. This product is much more expensive. Please confirm this is the requirement?	High recycled content is not a requirement. Please refer to the spec for requirements.
6/15/2026	S-513 and As			Head of wall framing shows different systems. Architectural shows a strap blocking detail for walls, which would land perpendicular to the roof purlins. S drawings show solid framing blocking to catch walls. This would greatly affect head of wall design, sound testing, and firestopping of rated wall systems. Please confirm what details should be used.	Architectural head of wall details are correct.
6/15/2026	8	236426	2.3	The project specifications appear to contain requirements that differ from typical industry standards. Please confirm whether this project is required to comply with Camp Lejeune Mechanical Design Guidance. If so, please provide the latest applicable version of the guidance document for reference.	Submit proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings and all amendments.

6/15/2026	C-515	Detail A1		Please confirm if the vehicle sliding gates are to be motorized.	Yes, the gates are motorized
6/15/2026	CS103	Keynote 37		Please provide additional information or BOD for the "Magnetic Strip" to activate the vehicle gates.	Contractor is required to provide a submittal for a dual channel loop detection and accompanying slide gate operator system
6/15/2026		Site Drawings and Specifications		After review of the site drawings and specifications, no mention or requirements for temporaty construction fencing can be located. Please confirm if there is a need/requirement for temporary construction fencing on the project.	No temporary construction fencing other than that outlined in the CSP is required. See General Note 3 / CS101 for additional information on fencing.
6/15/2026		09 51 00	2.1.1	Ceiling Attenuation Class and Test in the Acoustical Ceilings Spec calls for a CAC of 40 for ACT-1 and 35 for ACT-2. Then in Section 2.2.1, the criteria for ACT-1 calls for 0.75 NRC and 35 CAC. The Fine Fissured Ceiling tile called for on the Finish Legend that meets the 40 CAC does not meet the 0.75 NRC. If the 0.75 NRC is desired, Section 2.1.1 would have to be excluded, and a 35 CAC ceiling tile would have to be used. Please clarify which criterion should be followed. (SEE ATTACHMENTS)	Please refer to what is called out in the finish legend. A 0.70 NRC is still considered high absorption.
6/15/2026		09 51 00	2.2.2.5	Section calls for Minimum Light Reglectance of 0.85 for ACT-2. This will be impossible to accomplish since the tile called for on the Finish Legend for ACT-2 in Building A1 is a black ceiling tile. Please confirm that a black ceiling tile is still desired here. (SEE ATTACHMENTS)	Concur. This is a typo. Please provide black ceilings where requested.
6/15/2026	Sheet I-602			Per the Finish Legend, Sheet I-602 in Building A1, ACB-1 Acoustical Ceiling Baffles are to be Turf Design Beam / Beam LED. Per the Reflected Ceiling Plan on Sheets A-125 and A-126, it is unclear which baffles are to have the integrated LED light and which do not. Please clarify which baffles are to have the integrated LED light. (SEE ATTACHMENTS)	Please refer to the enlarged ceiling plans and configuration key on I-403 and I-404.
6/15/2026		09 51 00	2.2.3	Section describes the mounting method as "feltlock to Unistrut". Being that these baffles are directly below ceiling grid, directly mounting the baffles to the grid would make more sense than using Unistrut. Please advise if this is a valid attachment method for the baffles. (SEE ATTACHMENTS)	If the baffles allow for grid mounting, that is acceptable, but the manufacturers recommendation for mounting suggest mounting to the unistrut or attaching a cable to the deck.
6/12/2026	Specifications	01 57 19		Please confirm all existing Hazardous waste generated within the confines of the facilities is identified as generated by the Government and the government will sign all hazardous waste manifests.	The DOR edited this Section, but this question doesn't make any sense.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		Section 2.1.3.1 of 13 47 00 references IEE 299, NSA 65-6, and MIL-STD-285 for RF door assemblies. Please confirm that conforiming to NSA 65-6 and MIL-STD-285 procedures are not required. Also, there are no commerically available doors that would achieve 100 dB and STC 50 (NSA 65-6 & MIL standards). Please provide manufacturer and product data or revise required attenuation.	Basis of Design is Series RFI-60-STC-50 door and RF-Tight frame as manufactured by Krieger Specialty Products.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 34 19		Specification section 133419 “Metal Building Systems”, references the metal roof panel system but no reference to a weather-tightness warranty can be found. Please confirm that no weather-tightness warranty for the roof is required for this project.	Warranties are required. See revised Section 13 34 19.
6/15/2026	A2-1 A-103, A-107, A-605			Drawing set A2 drawing A-103 & A-107 show rooms (117,121,125,129, 159, 163, 167, 171) That have a glazing hatching in the wall between column line E/D.6 that do not have a window/storefront designation. Please confirm that the glazing hatches in wall type A1 are the transom and windows as shown on detail B3/A-605.	Correct. Referenced revised Sheets previously issued by Amendment.

6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		Power line filters do not appear to be included in spec 13 47 00 or drawings. Please provide details and specifications for rf power line filters. If power line filters are not included, RF specialists will likely not guarentee the secured area's specified attenuation becuase it will fail.	Electrical penetrations of the shielding have been limited to feeders for the secure area panel. All branch circuits within the secure are originate from the secure area panel. It was our understanding that power line filters for the panel feeders are not required. Suggest MARSOC or he AO confirm.
6/15/2026	A-501			Wall types A1 and A3 are rated STC 50 with type X sheetrock. Please confirm this assembly will meet the required STC rating.	Reference Section 09 29 00.
6/15/2026	A-501			Wall type B3 has an STC requirement of 50 with only two layers of 5/8 type X and is not extended to the deck and sealed. Please confirm this assembly will meet the required STC Rating.	Submit proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings and all amendments. MBFA
6/15/2026	A2-1 A-503			Drawing set A2 detail A1/A-503 references transitioning to dampproofing below grade. Please confirm that this is for the entirety of the perimeter foundations. Please confirm that this is at buildings A1, A2-1, A2-2 and A3. No specification section was provided for dampproofing, please provide.	The intent is to provide a damp proofing product that is compatible with the air and moisture barrier system. Most of the air and moisture barrier system manufacturers also have a compatible damp proofing product that should be submitted with the air and moisture barrier system.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		Section 3.2.2 Attenuation requirements states "Achieve radio frequency attenuation through a combination of materials and standoff that is equal to or greater than 100 meters of free space over the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz". Please confirm the level of attenuation the secured space should achieve in dB when tested.	The shielding test parameters must be stipulated by the AO. We asked this question during design but never received an answer.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		IEEE 299, 5.1 Overview specifically reads: This standard defines a test procedure but does not define the frequencies at which the measurements should be made, nor does it define the minimum shielding effectiveness that constitutes pass/fail. The owner shall define these frequencies and all pass/fail requirements. IEEE 299, Annex D further explains that shielded enclosures can have a wide range of uses, and be constructed from welded steel enclosures, to demountable test cells. D.2 Performance Requirements goes on to read: The selection and application of methods described herein is the responsibility of the parties associated with the enclosure: principally the owner and the owner's representatives. It would not be possible to fully test in accordance with this standard if the standard requires procedures or techniques that are inappropriate or incompatible with the particular enclosure involved. Upon review of the shielding enclosure for this project with several experienced shielding vendors meeting the requirements of 13 47 00 and respective attenuations specified, the consensus is that the IEEE 299 testing requirements need to be tailored/suited for this application, and more specific pass/fail requirements need to be defined. Please provide an updated IEEE 299 testing plan that is tailored to this project.	The shielding test parameters must be stipulated by the AO. We asked this question during design but never received an answer.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		Section 13 47 00 is calling for the shielded spaces to meet the effectiveness of what appears to be in the range of +60-80dB ranges and KHz frequencies listed in its respective sections "when tested" per IEEE 299, NSA 65-6, MIL-STD-285. Section 3.2.2 doesn't specifically mention the IEEE 299 testing, but it is assumed to be required. The General Contractor and the Shielding Specialist Subcontractor are comfortable to test the shielded space per IEEE 299 standards, however, there is no performance guarantee that can be provided for a field built facility. The shielding effectiveness test results will be provided in a confidential manner, but may show small local failure points in the shielding. Any potential failure points, in the confidential report, will be provided for information only. As discussed in IEEE 299, the testing standard is built around six-sided, continuously welded steel enclosures, bolted	The shielding test parameters must be stipulated by the AO. We asked this question during design but never received an answer.

				together panel enclosures, etc. When trying to use rFOIL sheets, cut and applied on a construction site, around obstacles, openings, etc, it doesn't yield the same level of shielding results as a pre-fabricated, continuously welded, six-sided box.	
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		13 47 00, 1.5.3 Work Plan calls for an independent, third party testing consultant for assembly testing. 1.1 References refers to IEEE 299 & MIL-STD-202Methods for Measuring the Effectiveness of EM Shielding Enclosures. From several past experiences and consulting with numerous RF Shielding contractors, testing a 6-sided box, that utilizes the metal roof deck and floor slab as the fifth and sixth side of the box will yield many local failure points in the IEEE 299 testing tables. What has been acceptable on other projects with an "Open Storage" level of classification is to perform the testing, and provide the results confidentially to the Gov't. This will show and identify the isolated points of weakness for information only. However, to build a shielded space that would pass all of the tables in IEEE 299, on all six sides is an extremely expensive, and incredibly sensitive and time consuming endeavor (if not impossible). We just want to verify that the Gov't is aware of and acceptable to the fact that the 4 sided box will yield local shielding failure points, and those will be tested and pointed out in the reports, but those won't be able to be remedied in the field. Please confirm the Gov't acknowledges and is acceptable to this.	The shielding does NOT utilize the roof deck or the floor slab. This project requires a 6-sided box. The shielding test parameters must be stipulated by the AO. We asked this question during design but never received an answer.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		Section 1.5 Work Plan is asking for a "shielding expert." Please confirm this "expert" can be employed by the shielding contractor hired to furnish and install the shielding products in 13 47 00. Also please verify who or what agency verifies this person as an "expert." Is it a certain number of years of experience working with the product, a certain accreditation, etc?	It is intended the shielding expert be provided by the KTR. The expert may be employed by the shielding subcontractor. The AO will need to define expert qualifications.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		Section 1.5.3 says "The Accrediting Officer performs a final series of tests to determine work acceptance for accreditation purposes". 1. Please confirm what the actual testing procedures are for the secured space. 2. Please confirm if the government owns testing of the secured space.	The shielding test parameters must be stipulated by the AO. We asked this question during design but never received an answer.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		Section 3.2.2 Floor and Wall Surfaces says "Sandwich radiant barrier foil between concrete slabs and finish flooring". Details provided for RF shielding at floors only show RF foil and conductive taping at seams above the concrete slab. Please confirm there is rFOIL required under the concrete slab.	Nowhere under the slab. Between the slab and the finished flooring just as the Drawing indicates.
6/15/2026	Specifications	13 47 00		There are multiple structural members below metal decking seams that are inaccessible to apply RF taping and ensure that there will not be failure points when tested to IEE-299. Please consider reducing the testing procudures/attenuation requirements if we are required to bid as per design even though you cannot guarentee the performance of this shielded space.	Reference Detail A2/AY504.
6/15/2026	Specifications	01 91 19		Please confirm if the Building Enclosure Commissioning Agent (BECx) referenced in specification section 01 91 19 paragraph 1.3.7 &1.3.8 will be provided by the Government or is to be provided by the Contractor.	Reference 1.1, Section 01 91 00.15 22.
6/15/2026	A2 A-515			Drawing set A2 detail C1/A-515references an air-barrier membrane on the underside of the roof insulation. Please confirm if this is to be a post-applied air barrier membrane (applied directly to the roof insulation/purlins) for the complete roof zone shown on A-141. Or confirm if the roof insulation described in spec section 13 34 19 paragraph 1.2.3.1 will suffice as the air-barrier membrane for the roof system.	Intended to be the PEMB insulation liner system.
6/15/2026	A-603			Please confirm that the door frames installed in CMU as shown on A-603 are not required to be fully grouted.	Submit proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings and all amendments.

6/15/2026	Specifications	13 34 19		UFC 3-110-03 paragraph D-2.2 (General Contractor's Warranties [one to five years]) states the following: "Recently some government roof specifications call for a General Contractor's Watertight Warranty to add another method of ensuring a quality roof installation. Unless stated otherwise in the Scope of Work in the RFP, all permanent new construction and replacement roofs require a Five-Year General Contractor Bonded Watertightness Warranty. The scope of work in the RFP may waive the Bonding requirement, but if not specified, the Bonded five-year watertightness warranty is required. The required wording of the warranty will be provided in the applicable UFGS." No roofing specification sections have been provided and specification section 13 34 19 does not include any roof warranties. Please confirm that the need for a five-year general contractor bonded watertightness warranty will not be required for this project.	Warranties are required. See revised Section 13 34 19.
6/15/2026	D3/A-301			CMU wall type G1 in area A appers to show CMU to underside of metal deck on column line C.3 per detail D3/A-301. However, per Wall Type Details A-502, gyp walls are shown on top of CMU. Please confirm where the CMU wall height is supposed to stop.	Top of CMU aligns with Top of Brick. 12'-8" AFF.
6/15/2026	C1/A-504			Please provide size for shelf angle on C1/A-504	See Detail A4/S-514.
6/15/2026	01 35 26	01 35 26		Please confirm the P1550 Project jobsite is composed of all three (3) buildings and that only one set of essential personnel is the minimum required for the performance of the Project (1 SSHO, 1 Superintendent, 1 QC Manager, etc). instead of each building being considered its own site and thus requiring three different sets of essential personnel (3 SSHOs, 3 Superintendents, 3 QC Managers, etc).	P-1550 is four (4) Buildings total.
6/15/2026	Specifications	Multiple		The role of the Contractor's Project Manager is referenced throughout the Specifications but no specific qualifications are provided for this role. Please provide required qualifications for the Contractor's Project Manager.	The GuideSpec only stipulates qualifications for KTR Field Personnel, not for KTR Office Personnel. The government will need to confirm if there are specific KTR PM qualifications.