M.1 BASIS FOR CONTRACT AWARD

M.1.1 Open-Ended Award Pool: Award will be made utilizing streamlined, objective Pass/Fail (Acceptable/Unacceptable) proposal conformance evaluation procedures. Competing proposals will be evaluated IAW the **DoD Source Selection Procedures (August 20, 2022)**. The Government will make basic IDIQ contract awards to **all small business concerns whose proposals are determined to be technically conforming (conformance rated 'Acceptable')**. Because this is a price-excluded procurement under **FAR 15.304(c)(1)(ii)(A),** no price-technical tradeoff will be conducted, and price will not be evaluated for the initial IDIQ parent contract awards.

M.1.2 Evaluative Parity and Open Pool: To ensure a legally defensible and non-arbitrary source selection process, the award pool is open-ended. Every small business concern that successfully achieves a rating of 'Acceptable' for Factor 1 and all Sub-Factors (1A – 1D) will receive a contract award.

- **Evaluation Factors and Sub-Factors:** Competing proposals will be evaluated under one (1) evaluation factors (the Seed Project and Past Performance has been deleted as a basis of evaluation):

  - **Volume I; Factor 1: Technical Proposal Conformance**
    - Sub-Factor 1A: MATOC Program Management Plan Conformance
    - Sub-Factor 1B: Quality Control System Plan Conformance
    - Sub-Factor 1C: Site Safety and Health Plan (SSHP) Conformance
    - Sub-Factor 1D: Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) Conformance

M.2 EXCHANGES WITH OFFERORS AFTER PROPOSAL SUBMISSION

M.2.1 Award without Discussions: Offerors are advised that the Government intends to make award of basic IDIQ contracts without clarifications, exchanges, or discussions. Offerors are to submit their best offer, with complete and conforming proposals. Offerors must NOT assume an opportunity to clarify or revise proposals will occur. The Government reserves the right to award with, or without, Discussions.

M.3 PROPOSAL EVALUATION (RATINGS)

The Government will evaluate the Technical Proposal Conformance Factor and all Sub-Factors using the following objective, binary Acceptable/Unacceptable rating method:

Adjectival Rating	Description
Acceptable	Proposal meets the requirements of the solicitation.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet the requirements of the solicitation.

M.4 VOLUME I; FACTOR 1: TECHNICAL PROPOSAL CONFORMANCE

The evaluation under Factor 1 is strictly limited to verifying the presence and completeness of required technical plans. The Government will not evaluate the qualitative merits, feasibility or quality of the proposed technical approach. Any 'Unacceptable' rating under these sub-factors represents a rejection based on the **objective, documentary non-conformance of the proposal itself,** and does not constitute a responsibility determination. Therefore, no SBA Certificate of Competency (CoC) referral is required.

M.4.1 SUB-FACTOR 1A: MATOC PROGRAM MANAGEMENT PLAN CONFORMANCE

The Government will evaluate the presence and completeness of the submitted MATOC Program Management Plan against the following objective criteria mapped to **NCR MATOC Sow Section 5** and **NCR MATOC SOW Part 3 Section 2.8.** To be rated Acceptable, the plan must contain:

- A program-level organizational chart identifying Key Personnel (Project Manager, Quality Control Manager, Project Superintendent, SSHO).
- A signed letter of commitment for the Project Manager meeting the minimum qualifications in **NCR MATOC SOW 5.1**.
- A designated single point of contact responsible for communicating with the Contracting Officer (KO) and Contracting Officer's Representative (COR).

M.4.2 SUB-FACTOR 1B: QUALITY CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN CONFORMANCE

The Government will evaluate the presence and completeness of the submitted Quality Control Plan (QCP) mapped to **NCR MATOC SOW Part 1 Section 11** and **NCR MATOC SOW Part 3 Section 2.5.** To be rated Acceptable, the plan must contain:

- Written, non-blank sections describing the three-phase control system (Preparatory, Initial, and Follow-up).
- Qualifications mapping and a signed letter of commitment for the Quality Control Manager (QCM) meeting the minimum qualifications of **NCR MATOC SOW 5.2**.

M.4.3 SUB-FACTOR 1C: SITE SAFETY AND HEALTH PLAN (SSHP) CONFORMANCE

The Government will evaluate the presence and completeness of the submitted SSHP mapped to **NCR MATOC SOW Part 1 Section 3.7** and **NCR MATOC SOW Part 3 Section 2.9.** To be rated Acceptable, the plan must contain:

- A written Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) Program (**NCR MATOC SOW 3.7.2).**
- Written, non-blank Confined Space Entry Procedures (**NCR MATOC SOW 3.7.7)**.
- Qualifications mapping and a signed letter of commitment for the SSHO meeting the minimum qualifications. (**NCR MATOC SOW 3.7.7)**.

M.4.4 SUB-FACTOR 1D: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN (EPP) CONFORMANCE

The Government will evaluate the presence and completeness of the submitted EPP mapped to **NCR MATOC SOW Part 3 Section 2.3** and **NCR MATOC SOW Part 4 Section 1.2.** To be rated Acceptable, the plan must contain:

- Written procedures for Hazardous Waste and Solid Waste Disposal.
- A written plan for compiling and submitting Solid Waste Diversion reports.