AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
U	1		29

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
0001	21 Jul 2026	See Continuation Sheet	

6. ISSUED BY	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)*	CODE
NAVFACSYSCOM PACIFIC 258 MAKALAPA DR STE 100, JBPHH HI 96860-3134 JBPHH, HI 96860-3134 UNITED STATES Amber Mori, Email: amber.i.mori.civ@us.navy.mil	N62742	SCD: PAS:	

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*	(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER / 9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)*	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER / 10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*
	☒	N6274226R1801 16 Jul 2026	
	☐		

CODE	FACILITY CODE

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended. ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

See Section G - Contract Administration Data

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
☐	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

See Schedule

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR *(Signature of person authorized to sign)*	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	16C. DATE SIGNED

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

Created On:
21 Jul 2026, 17:46 PM Greenwich Mean Time

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Block 14 (Description of Amendment/Modification):

The purpose of this Amendment is to incorporate revisions to the solicitation as identified below.

A. Section I:

The following clause is incorporated by reference:

FAR 52.240-92, Security Requirements (Deviation 2026-O0038) (Alternate II)

The following clause is removed:

DFARS 252.225-7057, Preaward Disclosure of Employment of Individuals Who Work in the People's Republic of China

B. Section K:

The following provision is removed:

DFARS 252.225-7058, Postaward Disclosure of Employment of Individuals Who Work in the People's Republic of China

C. Section L: Section L is revised. Changes are annotated by red font.

Additionally, the following clause is incorporated by reference:

FAR 52.237-10, Identification of Uncompensated Overtime

D. Section M: Section M is revised. Changes are annotated by red font.

E. Questions and Government responses for Questions #1 - #2 are provided.

F. All other sections/attachments remain unchanged.

Section I - Contract Clauses

Additional Information/Notes

The following clauses were added:

FAR Clauses Incorporated by Reference

Number	Title	Effective Date	Alternate/ Deviation	Variation Effective Date
52.240-92	Security Requirements. (Deviation 2026-O0038) (Alternate II)	Feb 2026	Alternate II	Feb 2026

The following clauses were deleted:

DFARS Clauses Incorporated by Reference

			Alternate/	Variation

Number	Title	Effective Date	Deviation	Effective Date
252.225-7058	Postaward Disclosure of Employment of Individuals Who Work in the People's Republic of China.	Jan 2023		

Section K - Representations, Certification, & Other Statements

The following clauses were deleted:

DFARS Clauses Incorporated by Reference

Number	Title	Effective Date	Alternate/ Deviation	Variation Effective Date
252.225-7057	Preaward Disclosure of Employment of Individuals Who Work in the People's Republic of China.	Aug 2022		

Section L - Instructions, Conditions, & Notices to Offerors or Quoters

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

L1 NUMBER OF COPIES/CONTENT/TIME OF RECEIPT FOR PROPOSALS

1. The Cost Proposal (Volume I) and Non-Cost Proposal (Volume II) shall be submitted in separate binders, tabbed appropriately, and must include a cover page with the name of the prime contractor, addresses, phone and fax numbers, email addresses, solicitation number, and point of contact.

2. **VOLUME I** - COST PROPOSAL: An original plus one (1) paper copy and one (1) electronic copy (CDROM) of the cost proposal shall be submitted no later than the date and time provided in Block 9 of the Standard Form 33, "Solicitation, Offer, and Award", in a sealed envelope and marked in the bottom right corner "COST PROPOSAL SUBMITTED UNDER RFP N62742-26-R-1801- DO NOT OPEN IN MAILROOM." Identify the original proposal as "Original" on the cover of the proposal. The cost proposal shall be submitted in a three-ring binder with a table of contents and shall be tabbed. The proposal shall be typewritten, using 11 point font size. **There is no page limitation for Volume I.**

Electronic submission of proposals is authorized for proposal revisions only, if specifically requested by the Government in accordance with FAR 4.002.

a. Organization of Cost Proposal

SECTION I - STANDARD FORM 33

Tab 1 - Signed and completed Standard Form (SF) 33 (Solicitation, Offer and Award) and Amendments (if applicable)

Tab 2 - Joint Venture (JV), Limited Liability Companies (LLC), Limited Partnerships (LTD) (if applicable)

Tab 3 - Representations and Certifications (Section K)

SECTION II - COST AND RELATED INFORMATION

Tab 1 - Cost Proposal with supporting documentation

Tab 2 - Cost model information

Tab 3 - ~~Cost pool, financial/accounting system and audit information~~ Contractor Business Systems

SECTION III - APPENDICES

Appendix A - Direct Labor and Indirect Rate Forward Pricing Agreements

Appendix B - Uncompensated Overtime

Appendix D - Pre-award Survey Information

b. Cost Proposal Submittal Requirements:

SECTION I - STANDARD FORM 33

Tab 1 - Signed and completed Standard Form (SF) 33 (Solicitation, Offer and Award). Complete Blocks 12 through 18. Period of validity of the Offeror's proposal in Block 12 shall be at least 150 calendar days. Amendment acknowledgements shall be included (if applicable).

Tab 2 - Joint Venture (JV), Limited Liability Companies (LLC), Limited Partnerships (LTD) (if applicable). JVs, and LLCs and LTDs shall submit the following additional documentation regarding their business entities:

a. A copy of the executed Teaming, JV, LLC and/or LTD agreements.

b. A detailed statement signed by the appropriate individual(s) of each firm outlining the following in terms of percentages where appropriate:

1. The relationship of the team/partners/parties in terms of business ownership, capital contribution, profit distribution or loss sharing. Clearly identify the expected relationship, role and responsibility between the firms or of the subcontractor or other entity (type and proportion of work to be performed) (including an explanation of the meaningful involvement that the entity will have in performance of this contract).

2. The management approach in terms of who will conduct, direct, supervise, and control; and the controlling partner's authority to obligate the entity.

3. The structure and decision-making responsibilities of the partners/parties in terms of who will control the manner and method of performance of work.

4. Identify (by name and title) the personnel having the authority to legally bind the partners/parties (including authority to execute the contract documents and bonds).

5. Set forth procedures to be followed in the event the entity is dissolved due to unforeseen circumstances such as the bankruptcy of a member.

c. A list of partners/parties, to include company name, Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and CAGE Numbers, Address, Point of Contact, Email address, phone number and facsimile number.

Tab 3 - Representations and Certifications (See Section K).

SECTION II - COST AND RELATED INFORMATION

The Cost submission shall include the following attachments provided in the Section J:

J.1&J.1A	Direct Labor Bid Bill and Escalation
J.2	Identification of Uncompensated Overtime Ratios

J.3	Indirect Ceiling Rates and Fee Application
J.4	Distribution of Work Form
J.5	Service Contract Labor Standards and Construction Wage Rate Requirements Ceiling Labor Rates
J.6	Proposed, Historical, and Year-to-Date Indirect Rates
J.7	Cost Model Work Sheet
J.7A	Cost Model Summary Sheet

Notes:

(1) **A separate rate sheet for all J-Attachments shall be submitted by the prime, each JV member, and each cost reimbursable subcontractor.** If the Offeror is a JV and the JV members are proposing separate rates, separate rate sheets must be provided by each member. One submittal may be submitted for the JV entity only if the JV has an established separate cost center for the JV entity with an adequate accounting system for a cost reimbursement contract.

(2) Provide a description of the efforts to be subcontracted and the type, fixed price or cost reimbursable. Offerors shall submit cost reimbursable Subcontractor information in the same level of detail as provided by the Offeror. Subcontractor information may be submitted separately for proposal purposes. However, it is noted that Attachment J.1 (Direct Labor Bid Bill and Escalation), Attachment J.2 (Identification of Uncompensated Overtime Ratios), Attachment J.3 (Indirect Ceiling Rates and Fee Application), and Attachment J.5 (Service Contract Labor Standards and Construction Wage Rate Requirements Ceiling Labor Rates) for each cost reimbursable Subcontractor will be incorporated into any resulting Contract.

(3) The Cost Summary worksheets (Section J) illustrate a sample format of the type of cost breakdown detail requested. Offerors shall submit the supporting cost data in the same format for the base year, all option years, and the service extension. The offeror is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the Cost Summary worksheets, including formulas. Offerors shall not deviate from the basic format of the forms identified in this solicitation. However, Offerors may adjust columns, rows and cost elements under J Attachments to be consistent with their cost accounting systems. Provide supporting worksheets and narrative explanations to fully explain the basis and rationale for the proposed amounts.

NOTE: SCA - Service Contract Labor Standards

DBA - Construction Wage Rate Requirements

Tab 1 - COST PROPOSAL WITH SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. DIRECT COSTS

Attachment J.1/J.1A - Direct Labor Bid Bill and Escalation. Offerors shall provide Company Equivalent Labor Category and Source information, and propose labor rates for all positions listed on Attachment J.1/J.1A. If a listed position is not currently employed, a market rate shall be provided. Offerors shall not edit (add/delete) the contract labor categories indicated. If it is determined that a specific labor category is required in performance of a task order, it may be negotiated by the Contracting Officer separately and incorporated into the basic contract. Labor categories listed are for exempt employees, supervisory, and specialty UXO personnel. Service Contract Labor Standards (SCA) or Construction Wage Rate Requirements (DBA) labor categories are not included. See Section J, Attachment J.5 - Service Contract Labor Standards and Construction Wage Rate Requirements Ceiling Labor Rates.

Attachment J.1 - Labor Bid and Billing Rate Submission. Offerors shall propose provisional bid and ceiling rates for use in negotiating baseline costs and maximum ceilings for Direct Labor for each contract period. **Ceiling rates shall not exceed 15% above the proposed provisional rate for each contract period.** See Section H for additional information. The provisional rates will be used for negotiating task orders, and rates billed against specific task orders will be based on the actual labor rates in effect, not to exceed the ceiling rates established under Attachment J.1 of the contract. The

provisional bid and ceiling rates proposed and submitted with the RFP shall be utilized for each corresponding contract period.

Offerors shall provide the basis for proposed labor rate ceilings, such as whether the base rates were based on actual individual rates for key personnel, average or weighted rates for current employees, forward pricing rates, market sources such as Bureau of Labor Statistics or salary.com, or the Offeror's estimate, etc. If a composite labor rate is utilized or a labor rate is prorated over fiscal years, provide the specific details/calculations used to determine the rate. If forward pricing rates apply, provide a copy in Appendix A. Any deviation from forward pricing rates, current actual rates for individuals, etc. shall be clearly documented. Note the percent markup used on the base rates to arrive at the proposed ceiling rate for each labor category. Complete Attachment J.1 showing the base rate for each labor category, percentage markup for each labor category and ceiling rate for each contract period.

Where it is determined necessary to utilize a specific individual on this contract whose actual labor rate exceeds the established ceiling, the Contractor will be required to obtain prior written approval by the Contracting Officer. In the event that such approval is not properly obtained, the Government will only be required to reimburse the Contractor at the ceiling rate.

Shown below is a **sample** entry for Attachment J.1, Labor Bid and Billing Rate Submission:

Contract Labor Category	**Company Equivalent Labor Category**	**Source**	**Base Year Individual or Average Bid Level Rate**	**Percent Mark-Up for Direct Labor Ceiling Rate**	**Base Year Maximum Ceiling Rate for Billing Purposes**
Project Manager	Program Manager I,	Individual Rate (Jane Doe)	$000	0%	$000
Sr Project Engineer	Engineer IV	2012 Avg. Rate	$000	0%	$000
Word Processor	Word Processor	Market Rate	$000	0%	$000

Attachment J.1A - Direct Labor Rate Escalation Submission. Attachment J.1A reflects the escalation rate applied to the direct labor bid rate for each contract period. No additional escalation will be applied to the provisional rates when option years are exercised; therefore, Offerors should factor escalation into their provisional rates for all contract periods. Offerors shall provide the basis and supporting documentation (i.e. escalation forecasts) for any proposed/projected escalation and the assumptions used: forecast source (such as Global Insight), index used (index number and title), calculations (index values used: base and projected values), and dates used (month and year of applicable index numbers).

Shown below is a **sample** entry for Attachment J.1A, Direct Labor Rate Escalation Submission:

Contract Labor Category	Company Equivalent Labor Category	Source	Base Year Individual or Average Bid Level Rate	Escalation Factor Applied to Option Year 1	Option Year 1 Individual /Average Bid Level Rate
Project Manager	Program Manager I	Individual Rate (Jane Doe)	$000	0%	$000
Sr Project Engineer	Engineer IV	2012 Avg. Rate	$000	0%	$000
Word Processor	Word Processor	Market Rate	$000	0%	$000

Attachment J.2 - Identification of Uncompensated Overtime Ratios. If proposing uncompensated overtime for employees listed in Attachment J.1/J.1A, Offerors shall complete Attachment J.2 for affected employees. See below Section III - Appendices, Appendix C - Compensation Plan for Professional Employees for additional proposal requirements.

Attachment J.5 - Service Contract Labor Standards and Construction Wage Rate Requirements Ceiling Labor Rates. Offerors shall propose ceiling rates for Service Contract Labor Standards and Construction Wage Rate Requirements on Attachment J.5. As applicable, SCA and DBA wage determinations will be included in individual task orders. The proposed bid and bill rates for SCA and DBA labor shall be equal to or greater than the minimum wage determination rates specified in the Department of Labor (DOL) Wage Determination incorporated in the task order, but shall not exceed the maximum percent proposed/accepted in Attachment J.5.

b. INDIRECT COSTS (FRINGE BENEFITS, OVERHEAD, GENERAL & ADMINISTRATIVE (G&A), etc.)

Attachment J.3 - Indirect Ceiling Rates and Fee Application. Offerors shall show base indirect rates utilized to comprise proposed indirect rate ceilings The indirect ceiling rates proposed will be the maximum allowable rates that can be proposed or billed under task orders issued during the ordering period. Indirect rates proposed for individual task orders may be less and should be in accordance with the Offeror's disclosed estimating system practices and, if applicable, forward pricing rate agreements. Additionally, should actual rates experienced during performance of the contract be lower than the ceiling rates shown, actual rates will be billed. The indirect rate ceilings will be incorporated into the awarded contract as stated under Section H.

(1) Offerors are to provide the following: (i) Detailed narrative explanation of the indirect pool and application base that each indirect rate is applied to; (ii) copies of any Forward Pricing Rate Agreements (FPRA), Forward Pricing Rate Recommendation (FPRR), etc. with the Government; or (iii) Historical information pertaining to the latest three (3) complete Fiscal Years (FY) indirect rates to include: indirect pool and base account descriptions, dollar amounts, and computed rates. If the proposed rates are significantly different than the past three years of historical rates, provide the estimating rationale or reasons for the differences. State whether the proposed rates include the impact of this contract.

(2) Indirect Ceiling Rates: Offerors shall provide ceiling rates for each indirect rate proposed and submit the basis and rationale as to how these ceiling rates were derived.

(3) For Joint Venture arrangements with no historical data, describe the nature of the indirect/support costs anticipated and the basis for estimate. For cost control, the Government is seeking to establish maximum or ceiling rates for each separate indirect rate category. For Joint Ventures, the Government is seeking to establish maximum, or ceiling rates, for the **Joint Venture entity, as well as the individual members comprising the Joint Venture**. Provide the basis and supporting documentation for each rate.

(4) Offerors shall propose indirect rates, expense pools, and bases consistent with the structure of their established accounting practices. Pool expenses shall not include unallowable costs identified in FAR Part 31. The Government will not accept discounted indirect rates as it may pose a risk that the Offeror will be unable to provide the services for the proposed costs. Indirect rates will be analyzed to ensure compliance with cost realism.

(5) The Fixed Fee Percentage (%) shall reconcile to Attachment J.3 (Indirect Rate Ceilings and Fee Application). Fixed Fee shall not be allowed on Equipment (Contractor - Acquired Property), Travel, Facilities Capital Cost of Money (FCCOM), Taxes, and Fee on cost reimbursable subcontractor's costs at any tier. The Fixed Fee Percentage (%) shall remain constant and shall not fluctuate from period to period, and shall not exceed 7.0%.

Attachment J.4 - Distribution of Work Form. Offerors shall provide the percentage of work expected to be performed by the prime, each Joint Venture member, and/or any cost reimbursable subcontractor. NOTE: Proposed percentages shall reconcile to Attachment J.7A Cost Model Summary Sheet.

Attachment J.6 - Proposed, Historical, and Year-to-Date Indirect Rates. For Offerors unable to provide the information required under Attachment J.3 above, Offerors shall complete Attachment J.6, which illustrates a sample format that may be edited to be in accordance with their established accounting practices. Attachment J.6 should correspond to rates proposed in Attachment J.3 (Indirect Ceiling Rates and Fee Application). Offerors shall provide the following: (i) latest complete three (3) years indirect rates; (ii) current year to date indirect rates; and (iii) explain any appreciable changes in business volume, which materially affect the proposed burden rate(s). State whether the proposed rates include the effect of this contract.

Tab 2 - COST MODEL INFORMATION ATTACHMENTS J.7 & J.7A

Attachment J.7 - Cost Model Work Sheet. Offerors shall complete Attachment J.7 in its entirety (all labor categories) and shall not edit (add/delete) the contract labor categories indicated. A separate Attachment J.7 shall be completed by the prime, each JV member, and each cost reimbursable subcontractor in its entirety for each contract period. Additionally, if multiple cost centers will be used in the performance of this contract, a completed cost model shall be submitted for each cost center. (Note: If a JV has an established separate cost center for the JV entity with an adequate accounting system for a cost reimbursement contract (FAR 16.301-3), one form may be submitted for the JV entity).

(1) Offerors shall not edit the Government-mandated costs associated with Other Direct Costs (ODC), Travel, and Fixed Price Subcontracts. Offerors may adjust proposed indirect costs to be in accordance with their established accounting practices. Proposed Fair Labor Standards Act exempt employee labor rates should reconcile to Attachment J.1 (Direct Labor Bid Bill) direct labor ceiling rates for each corresponding contract period.

(2) The proposed rates for SCA labor shall be equal to or greater than the wage determination base rates provided in WD 2015-5693, Revision No. 28, dated 05/13/2026 (Attachment J.12). Do not include hazard pay for the SCA labor categories.

(3) The proposed rates for DBA labor shall be equal to or greater than the wage determination base rates provided in General Decision Number HI20260001, Modification No. 3 dated 05/27/2026 (Attachment J.13).

(4) For SCA and DBA labor, if it is the Offeror's normal accounting practice to charge health and welfare/fringe benefits as a direct charge, then the Offeror shall explain the basis of the base rate, including calculation in Microsoft Excel format on a CD to clearly show the base rate and markups applied. For example, showing the SCA rate plus SCA health and welfare or DBA plus WD fringe and any other direct charges added to the direct labor rate such as FUTA, SUTA and FICA. The Offeror shall use their indirect ceiling rates on Attachment J.3 (Indirect Ceiling Rate and Fee Application) in any offset or differential calculations to account for the payment of health and welfare/fringes in the base labor rate.

(5) Offerors shall utilize the Attachment J.3 (Indirect Ceiling Rates and Fee Application) proposed indirect rate ceilings for each corresponding contract period when completing Attachment J.7 (Cost Model Work Sheet). If Offeror (i.e. Prime, JV, cost reimbursable subcontractor) is proposing multiple mark-up rates (i.e. OH, G&A) for Other Direct Costs (ODC) and Subcontracts, Offerors shall ensure the highest mark-up rate is utilized.

(6) Offerors shall utilize the Attachment J.4 (Distribution of Work Form) proposed distribution of work percentages for each corresponding contract period when completing Attachment J.7A (Cost Model Summary Sheet).

(7) Offerors shall add any direct costs applied to the labor base (e.g. health and safety charge), if applicable, to be in accordance with their accounting system. In accordance with Section H5 (Notice of Non-Allowability of Direct Charges for General Purpose Information Technology), costs for the acquisition and use of Information Technology shall not be considered as an allowable direct charge to this contract.

(8) Fixed Fee. The proposed Fixed Fee percentage (%) shall reconcile to Attachment J.3 (Indirect Ceiling Rate and Fee Application) for each corresponding contract period. Fixed Fee shall not be applied on Equipment (Contractor-Acquired Property), Travel, Facilities Capital Cost of Money (FCCOM), Taxes, and Fee on cost reimbursable subcontractors' cost at any tier. The Fixed Fee Percentage (%) shall remain constant and shall not fluctuate from period to period, and shall not exceed 7.0%.

Attachment J.7A - Cost Model Summary Sheet. The prime contractor shall complete Attachment J.7A. In the event the Offeror (i.e. Prime, JV member or cost reimbursable subcontractor) utilized multiple cost centers, Attachment J.7 (Cost Model Work Sheet) shall be completed for each cost center; the highest "Total" cost from Attachment J.7 (Cost Model Work Sheet) shall be utilized to complete Attachment J.7A (Cost Model Summary Sheet). For evaluation purposes, Offerors shall utilize the Attachment J.4 (Proposed Distribution of Work) percentages. The Offeror's (i.e. Prime Contractor, JV) cost reimbursable subcontractor indirect ceiling rate mark-up proposed on Attachment J.3 (Indirect Rate Ceilings and Fee Application) shall be applied and added to the total cost. If the prime contractor is a JV, the rate for the JV member with the highest cost reimbursable indirect ceiling rate mark-up shall be utilized. **Note: In addition to a hard copy, the summary form shall be submitted in Microsoft Excel format on a CD.**

Shown below is a **sample** entry for Attachment J.7A - Cost Model Summary Sheet:

Offeror	Total Cost Model Value	% of work (See Att J.4)	Total Value based on % of Work
Prime/JV member	$490,000	30.00%	$147,000
Prime/JV member	$505,000	25.00%	$126,250
Cost Reimbursable Contractor	$479,000	20.00%	$95,800
Cost Reimbursable Contractor	$515,000	25.00%	$128,750
	TOTAL	**100.00 %**	**$497,800**
	Cost Reimbursable Subcontractor Total	**% Mark-up**	**Cost Reimbursable Subcontractor Mark-up $**
Prime/JV indirect ceiling rate mark-up on Cost Reimbursable Subcontractors, i.e. G&A, as provided on Att J.3	$224,550	7.5%	$16,841
TOTAL VALUE OF COST MODEL			**$514,641**

Note: The Prime's percent mark-up applied to the total cost for the cost reimbursement subcontractors is based on the indirect ceiling rate for JV member #1, whose rate was higher than JV member #2. The rate was applied to the total subcontract cost ($95,800 + $128,750) shown under Total Cost Model Value based on percentage (%) of Work column.

Tab 3 - CONTRACTOR BUSINESS SYSTEMS ~~AND COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS (CAS)~~

The Offeror should identify its cognizant Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) office, Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) office, and if applicable, Cognizant Federal Agency Official (CFAO). The point of contact, address, phone number, and e-mail address for each of the cognizant agencies identified must be included. If an offeror does not have such contact(s) with DCAA or a Government audit office, it shall so indicate and explain the reason.

CONTRACTOR BUSINESS SYSTEMS. Offerors shall submit the date, results, and the reporting Government office for the most recent reviews performed of the following: (i) Accounting; (ii) Estimating; (iii) Purchasing; (iv) Material Management (if applicable); and (v) Government Property Systems (if applicable). Note that Earned Value Management Systems (EVMS) is not applicable. If audits have not been performed by cognizant DCAA, DCMA, and/or CFAO, Offerors shall provide a brief description of the system(s) to demonstrate the acceptability of company policies, procedures, internal controls, etc. If available, Offerors shall include a copy of the most recent Cognizant Federal Agency Official (CFAO) Determination Letter for each contractor business system that has been reviewed within the past three (3) years. Provide a listing of information to support the Government's determination of the degree to which the offerors' Contractor Business Systems are adequate and compliant with Government regulations and standards. The information shall be provided for each related entity that has direct or indirect costs or indirect cost allocations included in the Offeror's Cost Proposal, to include: (i) Corporate/Parent; (ii) Interdivisional; (iii) Business Unit; (iv) Joint Ventures; and (v) any other such related entities. The Offeror shall provide a narrative explanation and responsibility matrix showing the proposed business system participation of all entities included within the Offeror's Cost Proposal. For Joint Venture Offerors, the Contractor Business System responsibility shall be consistent with the Offeror's executed Teaming, JV, LLC or Mentor-Protege Agreement. Proposals shall not include a description of each system, but shall include supporting information that will allow the Government to determine the status of these systems (e.g. approved, disapproved, acceptable, adequate, inadequate, inadequate in part, etc.)~~, in accordance with DFARS 252.242.7005~~.

All the Contractor Business System information requested above shall also be provided for any cost reimbursable subcontractors, to include any applicable related entities, which are included in the Offeror's Cost Proposal. Cost-reimbursement subcontracts shall not be proposed without Contracting Officer consent and shall be proposed in accordance with FAR 52.244-2 Subcontracts~~, which requires the Prime Contractor to have an approved Purchasing System in advance of entering into any cost type subcontracts~~.

~~**ACCOUNTING SYSTEM.** Offerors (to include all Joint Venture members) are required to have a Cost Accounting System that has been reviewed by DCAA within the past three (3) years. If the Accounting System has not been reviewed within the past three (3) years, the request for a DCAA audit of a Contractor's Accounting System (as needed) will be initiated by the Contracting Officer or by his/her representative. DFARS 252.242-7005 requires the establishment and maintenance of an acceptable Accounting System. The acceptability of the Accounting System is determined based on compliance with the system criteria of DFARS 252.242-7006. SF 1408 Preaward Survey of Prospective Contractor (ACCOUNTING SYSTEM) contains the criteria requirements for an Accounting System to be acceptable for a Cost Accounting System that is not currently in full operation. Acceptable Cost Accounting Systems for all offerrors (to include each Joint Venture Member) are required prior to award of the Basic Contract. It is not in the Government's interest to delay award of the contract if it is determined other Offeror(s) in the competitive range can reasonably provide the services being acquired under the contract and also have an acceptable Cost Accounting System. An Offeror's Cost Proposal may be rejected for lack of Government approved Cost Accounting System(s) prior to award.~~

~~**PURCHASING SYSTEM.** Offerors are required to have an approved Purchasing System that has been reviewed and approved in accordance with FAR part 44 by a Cognizant Federal Agency Official (CFAO). DFARS 252.242-7005 requires the establishment and maintenance of an acceptable Purchasing System. The acceptability of the Purchasing System is determined based on compliance with the system criteria of DFARS 252.244-7001. Acceptable Purchasing Systems for all offerors are required prior to award of the Basic Contract. It is not in the Government's interest to delay award of the contract if it is determined other Offeror(s) in the competitive range can reasonably provide the services being acquired under the contract and also have an acceptable Purchasing System. An Offeror's Cost Proposal may be rejected for lack of Government approved Purchasing System(s) prior to award.~~

~~As required under Section I, Tab II Teaming/JV/Mentor Protege Agreements, Offerors are to clearly define the structure, roles and responsibilities, and percentage participation of the team/members/partners/parties in terms of who will implement and control the DFARS 252.242-7005 Contractor Business Systems - Purchasing System. In the case of Teaming/JV /Mentor Protege Agreements, the member responsible for implementing and controlling the Purchasing System is required to have an approved Purchasing System.~~

~~Cost-reimbursement subcontracts shall not be proposed without contracting officer consent and shall be proposed in accordance with FAR 52.244-2 Subcontracts, which requires the Prime Contractor to have an approved Purchasing System in advance of entering into any cost type subcontracts.~~

SECTION III - APPENDICES

Appendix A - Direct Labor and Indirect Rate Forward Pricing Agreements

1) The Offeror shall provide any approved direct labor and indirect rate forward pricing rate agreements.

2) If facilities capital cost of money is proposed, Form CASB-CMF must be provided with the proposal for each performance period.

Appendix B - Uncompensated Overtime

Attachment J.2 - Identification of Uncompensated Overtime Ratios. If proposing uncompensated overtime to calculate individual and average labor rates for Fair Labor Standards Act exempt employees (see Attachment J.1 - Direct Labor Bid Bill and Escalation), complete Attachment J.2 for each affected labor category. Additionally, Appendix B shall provide the following: (1) Required information per provision FAR 52.237-10 Identification of Uncompensated Overtime (Mar 2015); (2) historical number of hours in excess of 40 hours per week for each labor category; and (3) evidence of DCAA/DCMA approval of the corporate policy addressing uncompensated effort.

Appendix C - Compensation Plan for Professional Employees

1) Provide a comprehensive Compensation Plan for Professional Employees outlining salaries and fringe benefits proposed for the professional employees who will work under the contract for the Offeror and each cost reimbursable subcontractor. The plan shall show the necessary staff, citing data sources (e.g. regional salary surveys) used to develop the plan, and demonstrate how the proposed compensation is sufficient to recruit and retain qualified professional personnel to ensure uninterrupted, high-quality performance. Professional compensation that is unrealistically low or not in reasonable relationship to the various job categories may be viewed as evidence of failure to comprehend the complexity of the contract requirements.

2) Offerors shall provide the following additional information: (i) Specify if proposing distinct compensation plans for various skill levels, and provide a detailed description of each; (ii) required information per provision FAR 52.237-10 Identification of Uncompensated Overtime (March 2015); (iii) historical number of hours in excess of 40 hours per week for each labor category; and (iv) evidence of the Defense Contract Audit Agency or Defense Contract Management Agency approval of the corporate policy addressing uncompensated effort.

Appendix D - Pre-Award Survey Information

A Pre-award Survey may be conducted on all firms submitting a proposal, to include key cost reimbursable subcontractors indicating firm commitments to this acquisition. **The following information shall be submitted in a separate envelope marked "Pre-Award Survey".** Offerors shall provide evidence of financial capability. Offerors shall provide the following:

In accordance with FAR 9.104-1 responsibility determination, a contractor must have adequate financial resources to perform the contract. Offerors shall submit the company's Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and provide the latest complete three (3) years fiscal year financial statements (to include Balance Sheet, Income Statement, Statement of Cash Flow) for the Prime Contractor, certified by an independent accounting firm, if practicable, or at least by an authorized officer of the organization. In addition to the above, submit evidence of availability of working/operating capital (i.e. bank line of credit), which will be used for the performance of the resultant contract.

Any Offeror that intends to rely on the financial backing of its parent corporation must submit separate financial statements for both the partially-owned or wholly owned subsidiary and the parent corporation. If a partially-owned or wholly-owned

subsidiary relying on the financial backing of a parent corporation cannot submit its own financial statements, provide a detailed explanation why. If a partially-owned or wholly-owned subsidiary which will be performing the contract is relying on a parent corporation for financial backing, a financial guarantee letter must also be submitted.

For Joint Venture arrangements, discuss the financial responsibilities amongst the companies. If the Joint Venture has been in existence for less than three (3) years, also provide the latest complete three years fiscal year financial statements for each member of the Joint Venture. Each Joint Venture/LLC member or partner may submit the aforementioned proprietary financial information separately in accordance with L.1 (a). Financial Statements may be printed double sided, and on 8-1 /2" x 11" paper.

The Government may request additional information if needed in order to determine responsibility.

3. **VOLUME II** - NON-COST PROPOSAL (TECHNICAL AND PAST PERFORMANCE): An original plus five (5) paper copies and two (2) electronic copies (CD-ROM) of the Non-Cost Proposal shall be submitted no later than the date and time provided in Block 9 of the Standard Form 33, "Solicitation, Offer, and Award", in a sealed envelope and marked in the bottom right corner "NON-COST PROPOSAL SUBMITTED UNDER RFP N62742-26-R-1801- DO NOT OPEN IN MAILROOM." Identify the original proposal as "Original" on the cover of the proposal. The Non-Cost proposal shall be submitted in a three-ring binder with a table of contents and shall be tabbed appropriately. The proposal shall be typewritten, using 11 point font. (**Refer to Section M, for non-cost factors submittal requirements**).

a. A graphic representation no more than 1 page in length, up to 11"x17" in size, identifying the firms/companies that are part of the Offeror's team and the Offeror's proposed key personnel, and clarifies which companies are the prime, JV members, and subcontractors.

b. All information required by Factor 1 - Corporate and Key Personnel Experience

c. All information required by Factor 2- Safety

d. All information required by Factor 3- Past Performance

The Government is not liable for any proposal preparation expenses incurred in response to this solicitation nor any proposal preparation expenses incurred for individual task orders issued under the resultant contract.

4. TIME for receipt of proposals

a. Volume I (Cost Proposal) and Volume II (Non-Cost Proposal) shall be submitted in two formats. (1) paper (mailed, delivered, or hand-carried) and (2) electronically via Procurement Integrated Enterprise Environment (PIEE). Proposals shall be received no later than Wednesday, 09 September 2026 @ 2:00 p.m., Hawaii Standard Time (HST).

b. Paper proposals shall be in sealed envelopes/boxes marked in the bottom right corner "RFP N62742-26-R-1801, DO NOT OPEN IN MAILROOM."

Paper proposals can be **mailed, delivered, or hand-carried.**

IF SENT VIA UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE:

NAVFAC FACILITIES ENGINEERING SYSTEMS COMMAND PACIFIC

EV3 CONTRACTS BRANCH, BUILDING 346 BASEMENT

CODE CON76: Amber Mori (N62742-26-R-1801)

258 Makalapa Drive, Suite 100

Pearl Harbor, HI 96860-3134

IF HAND-CARRIED/ OR VIA COURIER SERVICES:

NAVFAC FACILITIES ENGINEERING SYSTEMS COMMAND, PACIFIC

EV3 CONTRACTS BRANCH

CODE CON76: Amber Mori (N62742-26-R-1801)

4256 Radford Drive, Building 62*

Honolulu, HI 96818-3296

If facing the building, office is on the right. DO NOT drop off at the Navy Federal Credit Union.

Offerors shall contact Amber Mori at amber.i.mori.civ@us.navy.mil to schedule a date and time for in-person proposal delivery to 4256 Radford Drive, Building 62, Honolulu, HI 96818-3296.

c. Electronic submittals

1) The Contractor shall submit all volumes of its proposal electronically using Procurement Integrated Enterprise Environment (PIEE) Solicitation Module at https://piee.eb.mil/.

2) Register at PIEE using the PIEE Vendor Registration Guide, provided in Attachment J.16.

3) Follow the PIEE Proposal Manager, Posting Offer, and Proposal Manager Functions Guides, Attachment J.16.

d. Proposals not received at the above address on or before the hour and date set forth for receipt of proposals shall be subject to the provisions of FAR 52.215-1(c), "Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals ". Government will provide notification to offeror upon proposal receipt during business hours.

L2 INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMITTING QUESTIONS REGARDING SOLICITATION

Questions regarding the solicitation shall be submitted in **writing** via electronic mail to **amber.i.mori.civ@us.navy.mil**. Electronic mail must be received no later than 2:00 p.m. HST ~~09 September 2026~~ 06 August 2026. Verbal queries will not be entertained. Responses to the questions will be provided in the form of a Notice and posted to the website PIEE Solicitation Module. The Government may not respond to questions submitted less than 21 calendar days before the proposal receipt due date.

L3 AMENDMENTS AND NOTICES TO THE SOLICITATION

Amendments and notices will be posted to the PIEE Solicitation Module. It is the Offeror's responsibility to check the web site periodically for any amendments to the solicitation. Changes to the solicitation will only be made by issuance of Amendments. Notices are for information purposes only and will not change the solicitation.

L4 MULTIPLE AWARDS

Multiple awards will NOT be made. The Government intends to issue one contract resulting from this solicitation.

The following clauses were added:

FAR Clauses Incorporated by Reference

Number	Title	Effective Date	Alternate/ Deviation	Variation Effective Date
52.237-10	**Identification of Uncompensated Overtime.**	**Mar 2015**		

Section M - Evaluation Factors for Award

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

M1 COMPETITIVE RANGE:

The Government intends to evaluate all proposals received and award a contract without negotiations. Therefore, the Offeror's initial proposal should contain the Offeror's best terms from a cost/price and technical standpoint. However, if negotiations are deemed necessary, to maximize the Government's ability to obtain the best value, negotiations will be held with those Offerors within the competitive range. The Government may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly qualified proposals, considering cost and technical factors.

M2 ORAL PRESENTATIONS:

If negotiations are deemed necessary, the Government reserves the right to request oral presentations with Offerors within the competitive range. The oral presentation will be to augment the Offeror's written proposal.

M3. ENFORCEABILITY OF PROPOSAL:

The proposal must set forth full, accurate and complete information as required by this solicitation. The Government will rely on such information in the award of a contract. By submission of the offer, the Offeror agrees that all items proposed (e.g., key personnel, subcontractors, approach, etc.) will be utilized for the duration of the contract and any substitutions will require prior Contracting Officer's approval.

M4 BASIS OF AWARD

The contract resulting from this solicitation will be awarded to the responsible Offeror whose offer, conforming to the solicitation, is determined to be the most advantageous to the Government considering Cost and Non-Cost evaluation factors. Award may be made to other than the lowest cost Offeror or other than the highest Non-Cost rated Offeror. Business judgment and tradeoffs will be used to determine the proposal offering the best value to the Government. In determining the best value to the Government, the Government need not quantify the tradeoffs that led to the best value decision.

M5 EVALUATION FACTORS

(1) The evaluation factors are as follows:

I. Non-Cost Evaluation Factors:

FACTOR 1, CORPORATE AND KEY PERSONNEL EXPERIENCE

FACTOR 2, SAFETY

FACTOR 3, PAST PERFORMANCE

II. Cost

(2) The relative order of importance of the non-cost/price evaluation factors is the technical factors, Factor (1) Corporate and Key Personnel Experience and Factor (2) Safety, are of equal importance to each other and, when combined, are equal in importance to the past performance evaluation/performance confidence assessment factor, Factor (3) Past Performance. When the proposal is evaluated as a whole, the technical factors and past performance/performance confidence assessment factor combined (i.e., the non-cost/price evaluation factors) are significantly more important than cost.

(3) NON-COST EVALUATION FACTORS (FACTORS 1, 2, AND 3)

a. NOTES applicable to Factor 1, Corporate and Key Personnel Experience and Factor 3, Past Performance on Recent, Relevant Projects:

(1) Definition of "recent relevant project" is as follows:

A. In general, relevant project means projects whose scopes of work were similar to the types of projects that may be ordered against this contract that require the performance of one or more of the Specific Tasks described in Section C, paragraph 1.2. A relevant project must be either a standalone contract or task order under an Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Contract. The submission of information or the description of the scope of a Basic IDIQ contract will not be considered a relevant project, except in Section M, paragraph M5.(3).b.(2)c and Attachment J.10, Key Personnel Experience/Qualifications. Information may be provided on Basic IDIQ contracts on Attachment J.10 for the Program Manager, Senior Project Manager, Contract Administration Manager, Quality Assurance Manager, and Health and Safety Manager.

B. A relevant project shall also be for an amount of at least $200,000 in dollar value and shall be at least 85% financially executed as of the issuance date of this solicitation. A relevant project shall involve performance of remedial action services.

C. Recent is defined as five (5) years from the issuance date of this solicitation, except in regards to Key Personnel in which recent is defined as within the last ten (10) years from the issuance date of this solicitation.

(2) The distinction between Corporate and Key Personnel Experience and Past Performance is that Corporate and Key Personnel Experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work covered by this requirement, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past Performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

(3) Definition: "Offeror" typically refers to a single corporation or business entity submitting the proposal as a prime contractor. An "Offeror" can also be comprised of other entities that submit the proposal as a prime contractor, such as: Joint Ventures (JV), Limited Partnerships (LTD), or a Limited Liability Company (LLC). In its evaluation of past performance and experience, the Government's evaluation will generally focus on the entity submitting the proposal

(single corporation, individual JV partners, or the LTD or LLC identified on the SF33). Entities may not submit as Prime on more than one offer. Failure to comply with this may result in disqualification of the Offeror for contract award.

(4) If the Offeror is utilizing experience or past performance of an **entity other than (1) the Offeror; (2) if the Offeror is a JV, its JV partners jointly and severally liable for the contract; or (3) a firm that has been acquired by the Offeror and is now part of the Offeror,** the Offeror shall provide in its proposal a fully-executed teaming agreement or other contractual agreement. The teaming agreement or other contractual agreement shall, at a minimum, (1) be signed by the Offeror and other entity, (2) specifically reference this solicitation/contract, and (3) specify the work to be performed by the other entity such that it is clear that the other entity will be meaningfully involved in this contract **in a role that is consistent with that entity's role in the project submitted.** For item (3), clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the other entity in terms of the work it will specifically perform or manage in the performance of the contract at the worksite. Note that generalized statements concerning commitment of resources are not sufficient to demonstrate that an entity will be meaningfully involved in the performance of the contract. Examples of "generalized statements" include a commitment of resources merely based on an entity's "access" to resources and other generalized statements such as entity will "provide support and expertise on the project." The Government will only consider information provided in the teaming agreement for the purpose of determining whether the Offeror has clearly demonstrated that any entity (other than the Offeror and, if the Offeror is a JV, it's JV partners jointly and severally liable for the contract) will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract. For experience and past performance information of a firm that has been acquired and is no longer a separate legal entity, provide an explanation of the acquisition sufficient to demonstrate 1) that the predecessor firm is now part of the entity identified in the SF33, and 2) that the entity currently possesses assets and personnel from the predecessor firm sufficient for the predecessor firm's experience and past performance to be reasonably predictive of the entity's performance on this contract.

(5) A subcontractor's experience and past performance will not be given the same level of consideration as that of either a prime contractor or a joint venture partner because there is no direct legal relationship between the Government and a subcontractor. The Government reserves the right to consider the experience or past performance of a subcontractor where the prime contractor provides, in its proposal, evidence of a binding teaming agreement or other contractual agreement which creates legal responsibility on the part of the subcontractor for this solicitation. If the Government chooses to do so, the subcontractor's experience and past performance will not be given the same level of consideration as either the prime contractor or a JV partner.

(6) Prime contractor and prime contractor-subcontractor teams/JVs/LLCs/LTDs with a demonstrated history of working successfully together on prior projects may be considered more favorably than those without such history.

(7) If the Offeror is a JV, relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the JV entity, if available. If the JV does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for any of the JV members. Projects completed by the JV that are relevant may be considered more favorably than projects submitted by the individual JV members. Offerors who submit experience for all JV partners may be considered more favorably. Offerors are still limited to a total of eight (8) projects combined for Attachment J.8 Specialized Recent Project/Contract Experience Information.

(8) If an Offeror is relying on the experience performed under a previous JV, the Offeror shall demonstrate what portion of the work was performed by the entity offering on this contract under the prior JV and shall not include work performed by the JV as a whole unless all members of the prior JV are being proposed under this solicitation.

b. EVALUATION FACTOR 1 - **CORPORATE AND KEY PERSONNEL EXPERIENCE**

(1) The Offeror will demonstrate its technical experience and expertise in performing the requirements of this solicitation and their ability to recruit and employ qualified Key Personnel. This technical factor will be used to evaluate the probability of successful accomplishment of the work contemplated under this contract.

(2) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Offerors shall:

a. Use Attachment J.8 Specialized Recent Project/Contract Experience Information to describe experience on no more than eight (8) recent, relevant projects completed that individually or collectively are similar in scope, complexity, and size to the work described in Section C. The Offeror shall not submit more than two (2) pages single-sided per project. If more than two (2) single-sided pages are submitted, the agency will not consider the information on the additional pages.

b. Use Attachment J.9 Workload Experience Form to describe capability and capacity to deliver products similar to the type and complexity of the tasks described in Section C of this solicitation assuming a workload of at least ten (10) recent, relevant projects totaling a minimum of $10 million at a minimum of two (2) locations within the NAVFAC Pacific area of responsibility, as described in Section C subparagraph 1.1, occurring concurrently. The combined submittal for Attachment J.9 shall not exceed ten (10) single-sided pages. If more than ten (10) single-sided pages are submitted, the agency will not consider the information on the additional pages.

c. Use Attachment J.10 Offeror's Key Personnel Experience/Qualifications to detail experience for each person who will be assigned as Key Personnel to work on this contract. Key Personnel are the Program Manager, Senior Project Manager, Contract Administration Manager, Quality Assurance (QA) Manager, and Health and Safety Manager. The Offeror shall not submit more than four (4) single-sided pages per person submitted as Key Personnel. The Offeror shall provide information on a maximum of four (4) recent, relevant projects that were completed by each Key Personnel that would demonstrate their project experience. Experience that is not related to the work described in Section C of this solicitation should not be included in Attachment J.10. Letters of commitment shall be submitted for all Key Personnel including prime contractor-subcontractor teams/JV/LLCs /LTDs key personnel that do not currently work for the Offeror. Letters of commitment shall be limited to two (2) single-sided pages and submitted with Attachment J.10. Letters of commitment page limit does not apply toward the overall page limit of Attachment J.10. Offerors shall not submit Attachment J.10 for personnel who will not be assigned as Key Personnel under this contract. Key Personnel experience may include experience gained while not employed by the Offeror.

Contractors are required to use the Attachments noted above to document experience. Experience that is provided outside the furnished attachments will not be considered.

(3) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the extent to which the proposal demonstrates recent, relevant Corporate and Key Personnel experience by the Offeror (including an evaluation of the applicable credentials such as training, education, and experience of Key Personnel) in performing the depth, breadth, and volume of work in Section C of this solicitation. Experience will be evaluated on the (1) complexity, (2) scope, (3) contract volume/project size and (4) location of the work performed as compared to the requirements contemplated under this solicitation and described in Section C.

a. Minimum Required Experience: To be considered for this contract, the Offeror must demonstrate experience (in Corporate AND Key Personnel experience) in the following tasks described in Section C of this solicitation:

- Removal actions at sites regulated under CERCLA

- Soil and/or sediment remediation of various contaminants at sites regulated under CERCLA

- Expedited response actions

- Munitions response actions and closures

- Management as the prime contractor on a cost IDIQ contract

b. Corporate and Key Personnel experience in the following areas may be evaluated more favorably:

- Sediment removal and/or remediation

- Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) response actions

- Experience working for the Navy/Marine Corps

- Experience working in the majority of the following locations (Guam, Hawaii, California, Washington, and the Northern Marianas Islands)

- Experience performing a substantial amount of projects concurrently:
    - Totaling significantly in excess of $10 million in value
    - Overlapping for a substantial amount of time
    - With remediation of various media

c. Minimum Key Personnel Requirements: Key Personnel must meet the education, training, and experience qualifications described in Section C, paragraph 1.3.3 to be considered for this contract.

d. Failure to use, completely fill out or provide the required information in the templates referenced above will result in the proposals being considered deficient for this Factor 1 - Corporate and Key Personnel Experience.

c. EVALUATION FACTOR 2 - **SAFETY**

Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: The Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate; and Total Case Rate (TCR) for the specified five (5) Calendar Years (CY), as well as a safety narrative, as described further below. For a partnership or JV, the Offeror shall submit separate DART rates and TCR for the specified five (5) CY for each contractor who is part of the partnership/JV; however, only one safety narrative is required. Any fatalities experienced within this 5-year timeframe must be explained in detail, to include root cause and corrective actions.

NOTE: DART and TCR shall not be submitted for subcontractors

1. DART Rate: Submit five (5) previous complete calendar years' [CY2021, CY2022, CY2023, CY2024, and CY2025] worth of data (not an overall average). If the Offeror has no DART rate, for any year, affirmatively state so and explain why. Should a negative trend occur above moderate risk levels, an acceptable/detailed explanation is required that includes any corrective actions taken for improvement.

a. DART cases include injuries or illnesses resulting in death, days away from work, and/or restricted work or transfer to another job days beyond the day of injury/illness.

b. Calculation of DART rate: Multiply the total number of DART cases by 200,000, and then divide by the number of employee labor hours worked.

$$\textbf{\textit{DART RATE}} = \frac{\text{Number of DART Incidents x 200,000}}{\text{Total Number of Employee Labor Hours Worked}}$$

2. TCR Rate: Submit five (5) previous complete calendar years' [CY2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, and 2025] worth of data (not an overall average). If the Offeror has no TCR rate, for any year, affirmatively state so and explain why. Should a negative trend occur above moderate risk levels, an acceptable/detailed explanation is required that includes any corrective actions taken for improvement.

a. TCR cases include injuries or illnesses resulting in death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job days beyond the day of injury/illness, medical treatment beyond first aid, or loss of consciousness.

b. Calculation of TCR rate: Multiply the total number of TCR incidents by 200,000, and then divide by the number of employee labor hours worked.

$$\textbf{\textit{TCR RATE}} = \frac{\text{Number of TCR Incidents x 200,000}}{\text{Total Number of Employee Labor Hours Worked}}$$

3. Technical Approach to Safety: Submit a narrative that addresses the following:

a. Describe the Offeror's approach to implementing and executing a Safety Management System (SMS) including Management/Leadership involvement, Employee involvement, Hazard prevention, Hazard control, Worksite analysis, and Safety and health training, to include the standard(s) used to benchmark the SMS.

b. Describe the evaluation process used to select potential subcontractors.

c. Describe the processes of how the Offeror will oversee safety compliance of subcontractors at all levels throughout performance of the contract (to include the Offeror's own in-house workforce).

d. The Technical Approach to Safety narrative shall be limited to two (2) single-sided pages.

4. The Government reserves the right to review other available sources (public/Government internal) of information. These may include but are not limited to OSHA data, NAVFAC's Contractor Incident Reporting System (CIRS), Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), Electronic Contract Management System (eCMS), etc.

Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The evaluation will collectively consider the DART rate, TCR, and Technical Approach to Safety, and other sources of information available to the Government as part of such collective evaluation. The board will evaluate the DART rates and TCR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any negative trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating.

1. DART Rate: The board will evaluate trends over the last five years, considering changes that take it from one risk level (or more) to the next up or down. Negative trends occurring above moderate risk levels require the Offeror to provide a detailed explanation that includes any corrective actions taken for improvement.

a. Missing data without an explanation is considered a deficiency.

b. Declining trends that push the risk levels from Moderate Risk (MR) or higher to Low Risk (LR) or Very Low Risk (VLR) would indicate a strength.

c. An increasing DART rate trend could be considered a weakness (i.e. MR or better to High Risk (HR) or Extremely High Risk (EHR)) if an acceptable explanation is not provided for any trends that rise above Moderate.

d. This chart correlates the DART rate to the level of risk:

Risk	DART Rate
Very Low Risk	Less Than 1.0
Low Risk	From 1.0 to 1.99
Moderate Risk	From 2.0 to 2.99
High Risk	From 3.0 to 4.0

Extremely High Risk	Greater than 4.0

2. TCR Rate: The board will evaluate trends over the last five years, considering changes that take it from one risk level (or more) to the next up or down. Negative trends occurring above moderate risk levels require the Offeror to provide a detailed explanation that includes any corrective actions taken for improvement.

a. Missing data without an explanation is considered a deficiency.

b. Declining trends that push the risk levels from Moderate Risk (MR) or higher to Low Risk (LR) or Very Low Risk (VLR) would indicate a strength.

c. An increasing TCR rate trend could be considered a weakness (i.e. MR or better to High Risk (HR) or Extremely High Risk (EHR)) if an acceptable explanation is not provided for any trends that rise above Moderate.

d. This chart correlates the TCR rate to the level of risk:

Risk	TCR Rate
Very Low Risk	Less Than 2.49
Low Risk	From 2.5 to 3.49
Moderate Risk	From 3.5 to 4.49
High Risk	From 4.5 to 5.99
Extremely High Risk	Greater than 6.0

3. The Technical Approach to Safety Narrative. To determine the degree to which the Offeror:

a. Describes a viable SMS that addresses elements; such as Management/Leadership involvement, Employee involvement, Hazard prevention, Hazard control, Worksite analysis, and Safety and health training, to include the standard(s) used to benchmark the SMS.

b. Describes a methodical process of evaluating subcontractor's safety performance in their selection process.

c. Describes a logical management plan to hold themselves and their subcontractors accountable for adhering to the safety requirements of the contract.

d. The Technical Approach to Safety narrative shall be limited to two (2) single-sided pages. Information on pages beyond this will not be considered.

4. The Government reserves the right to review other available sources (public/Government internal) of information. These may include but are not limited to OSHA data, NAVFAC's Contractor Incident Reporting System (CIRS), Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), Electronic Contract Management System (eCMS), etc.

d. EVALUATION FACTOR 3 - **PAST PERFORMANCE**

(1) The Offeror's past performance evaluation will be based upon customer satisfaction in the execution of the same recent, relevant projects submitted for Factor 1 (Corporate and Key Personnel Experience), Attachment J.8 and completed projects per paragraph M.3.d.(2).d below within the last five (5) years that are similar to the tasks described in Section C. Customer satisfaction will include assessing quality control and timely performance.

(2) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

a. IF A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION IS AVAILABLE, IT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITH THE TECHNICAL PROPOSAL. IF THERE IS NOT A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION, the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) included in the solicitation as Attachment J.11 is provided for the Offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the Offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 1, Corporate and Key Personnel Experience. AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

b. IF A CPARS EVALUATION IS NOT AVAILABLE, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment J.11), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Amber Mori, via email at amber.i.mori.civ@us.navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

c. Also include performance recognition documents received within the last five (5) years for the projects submitted for Factor 1 (Corporate and Key Personnel Experience) on Attachment J.8, such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition.

d. Sources of Past Performance Information for evaluation that may be used are as follows:

- Past performance information provided by the offeror, as solicited;

- Past performance information obtained from any other sources available to the Government, to include, but not limited to, Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), or other databases; the Defense Contract Management Agency; and interviews with Program Managers, Contracting Officers, and Fee Determining Officials using all CAGE/Entity Identifier numbers of the Offeror or any team member (partnership, joint venture, or teaming arrangement) identified in the Offeror's proposal.

e. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

(3) Basis of Evaluation: The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., CPARS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:

- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
- Recency of tasks performed that are relevant to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government funds

a. Projects will be compared against the requirements of Section C for complexity, volume/size, scope, and location.

b. The Government reserves the right to consider the past performance of a subcontractor, but if the Government chooses to do so, a subcontractor's past performance will be given less consideration than a prime contractor's past performance. Prime-subcontractor or JV arrangements with a demonstrated history of working successfully together on prior projects may be considered more favorably than arrangements without such history.

c. An Offeror will not be rated favorably or unfavorably for Factor 3, Past Performance, if the Offeror does not have a record of relevant past performance or if a record of past performance is unavailable. In such a case an Offeror will receive a "Neutral Confidence" rating.

(4) COST PROPOSAL EVALUATION

a. Cost Proposal Submittal Requirement: See Section L, L1.

b. Basis of Evaluation. Cost proposals will not be assigned an adjectival rating but shall be evaluated for:

- Completeness- All information required under Section L, L1 of the solicitation.
- Price reasonableness in accordance with FAR 15.404-1.
- Cost reasonableness in accordance with FAR 15.404-2.
- Cost realism in accordance with FAR 15.404-3.

The Government will evaluate all aspects of the cost proposal for completeness, reasonableness and realism. This Cost Proposal evaluation may include an analysis of each Offeror's proposed costs to determine whether the proposed cost elements are realistic and are consistent with other cost-related information (i.e. DCAA audits, historical rates, etc.) available to the Contracting Officer.

Evaluation of options shall not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). As part of the cost evaluation, the Government will evaluate the Option to Extend Services under FAR Clause 52.217-8. Offerors' Total Evaluated Cost (TEC) for the evaluation purposes shall be for the Base Year, Option Years 1 through 4, and the Service Extension.

Unrealistically low (or high) proposed costs or costs unbalanced between the various option years, initially or subsequently, may be grounds for eliminating a proposal from competition either on the basis that the Offeror does not understand the requirement, or has made an unrealistic proposal.

Proposed costs may be adjusted for purposes of evaluation, based on the results of the cost realism evaluation to determine probable cost of performance. Offerors are reminded that for the purpose of evaluation under this solicitation, the direct labor ceiling rates (Attachment J.1 - Direct Labor Bid and Billing Rate Submission) and indirect ceiling rates (Attachment J.3 - Indirect Ceiling Rates and Fee Application) proposed by the prime, each JV member, and cost reimbursable subcontractors shall be proposed in Attachment J.7 (Cost Model Work Sheet) for each contract period, and will be used for cost realism evaluation of the Cost Model Work Sheet. The Government may reject an offer that reflects a serious lack of cost realism.

Any variance between indirect base rates used to develop the ceiling rates and historical rates, applicable forward pricing rates, DCAA approved rates, and/or approved CAS practices will be evaluated to ensure compliance with cost realism.

The proposed indirect rate ceilings will be reviewed for reasonableness and the degree to which it accomplishes the Government's desire to control costs.

The cost proposal volume will also be evaluated to ensure the completion of the representations and certifications in Section K of the RFP and the inclusion and submission of other information required.

The Offeror's ~~will be evaluated to determine which~~ Contractor Business Systems will be reviewed for ~~are sufficiently adequate~~ adequacy and ~~compliant~~ compliance with government regulations and standards. Contractor Business Systems are approved by cognizant contract administration offices such as the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) or the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA). The Offeror's demonstrated ability to maintain government approved systems in the following areas will be evaluated: accounting, estimating, and purchasing. Offerors that can reasonably provide the services being acquired under the contract and also have a Government approved cost accounting system and Government approved purchasing system prior to award will be evaluated more favorably by the Government.

The Offeror will be evaluated to ensure that they have the financial capability to perform the contract given the magnitude of the proposed work.

The Government will evaluate Offerors' Compensation Plans for Professional Employees to assess the likelihood of attracting and retaining qualified professional personnel to ensure uninterrupted, high-quality performance. Professional compensation that is unrealistically low or not in reasonable relationship to the various job categories may be viewed as evidence of failure to comprehend the complexity of the contract requirements.

The use of uncompensated overtime, as defined in the FAR 52.237-10 in Section L, will also be considered in the cost realism analysis and risk assessment.

The Government will not accept any assumptions in the Offeror's cost proposal.