STEP ONE (1) – MEETING KEY RFQ REQUIREMENTS

Quoters shall provide only a Yes or No response to the following question. Please restate the question below verbatim in your written response and respond with either a yes or no.

Is the quote submitted in response to this RFQ 80JSC026Q0008 – Hypoxia Chamber Studies?

All Quoters will be evaluated for compliance with the RFQ requirements. Non-compliant quotes will be eliminated from this competition and will not be further evaluated.
Quoters responding "No" will be eliminated from this competition and the quote will not be further evaluated.
Quoters who responded "Yes", will then have their quote further evaluated using the factors listed in Step Two (2) – Trade-off.

STEP TWO (2) – TRADE-OFF

The Step Two Trade-Off evaluation consists of the following factors:

Factor 1: Technical Acceptability
Subfactor 1A: Schedule

Factor 2: Past Performance

Factor 3: Price

<u>FACTOR 1: TECHNICAL ACCEPTABILITY</u>

All compliant quotes will be evaluated by space physiology experts with expertise in hypoxic chamber operations and NASA program personnel to assess the facility's capabilities to perform the work including medical support, the expertise of the quoter team, the level of government oversight required to conduct the tasks, potential risks to accomplish the work described in the RFQ, and schedule (showing subject throughput) provided by the quoter.

<u>Subfactor 1A: Schedule</u>

All compliant quotes will be evaluated to assess potential risks to the submitted schedule.

Adjectival ratings will be assigned for Technical Acceptability and Schedule (Please reference definitions below).

<u>FACTOR 2: PAST PERFORMANCE</u>

All compliant quotes will be evaluated to assess past performance.

In addition to the information provided by the Quoter and its references, the Government reserves the right to evaluate information relative to performance using other sources of information such as the Contract Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), SAM.gov, or other sources known to the Government.

Adjectival ratings will be assigned for Past Performance (Please reference definitions below).

FACTOR 3: PRICE

Pricing data for proposals will be evaluated both by spaceflight and hypoxia subject matter experts for price reasonableness and by NASA program personnel for total price and comparison to available funds. In evaluating the price reasonableness of the proposals, reviewers will assess the proposed level of effort (i.e., FTE) and the proposed other direct costs (i.e. supplies, equipment, travel) are commensurate with those required to accomplish the goals described in the proposal. Required government training/assistance and associated additional project cost resulting from increased government involvement will also be taken into consideration.

2.2 Step Two (2) – TRADE-OFF

FACTOR 1: TECHNICAL ACCEPTABILITY

Outstanding	Quote indicates a schedule and experience commensurate with an outstanding rating. Risks have a very low potential to impact schedule, cost, or performance.
Acceptable	Quote indicates an adequate schedule and experience with an understanding of the requirements. Risks have a low potential to impact schedule, cost, or performance.
Unacceptable	Quote has an inadequate schedule for NASA needs and experience that reflects a lack of understanding of the requirements. Risks have a high potential to impact schedule, cost, or performance.

FACTOR 2: PAST PERFORMANCE

Outstanding	The Quoter has completed similar projects in scope and scale, and the Government has a high confidence in the success of the required effort.
Acceptable	The Quoter has not completed similar projects in scope and scale, but information provided gives the Government a reasonable expectation that the Quoter will successfully perform the required effort.

Unacceptable	The Government has no reasonable expectation that the Quoter will be able to successfully perform the required effort.