Project Title:REPAIR DAMAGED SECONDARY INLET GUIDE VANE, BUILDING 1100, NASO	Solicitation / RFP#: N4008525R0018 - PPI Cut Off Date: JULY 21, 2025

PPI No.	Offeror	Date Submitted	REFERENCE				QUESTION	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE	Amend No.
			Page	Section	Para.	Dwg #			
							The fabrication and submittal process is likely to exceed 180 days for this project. Could the government consider adding more time to accomidate the extended fabrication time?	The current contract duration is 420 calendar days. This is extended 90 calendar days for a total contract duration of 510 calendar days. An amendment stating this is forthcoming.	
							Could the government provide the contractor who installed the existing guide vains?	Unfortunately, the government no longer has this information.	
							Finding a contractor who is capable of this specialty fabrication has become time consuming. Would the government consider extending the projects due date to accomidate the extended bid process?	Yes, see Amendment 0002	
							Please provide an acceptable location for the contrcators project trailer and lay down area.	Please see Part 6, Attachment 03 of the RFP for Limits of Work and Laydown area. If more area is needed, this can be coordinated with the Construction Manager.	
							Please provide acceptable locations for a crane.	Please see Part 6, Attachment 03 of the RFP for Limits of Work and Laydown area. If a different location to optimize crane utilization is desired, this can be coordinated with the Construction Manager.	
							If duly qualified, could one person serve as the Superintendent, SSHO, and QC Manager?	The QC Manager must be separate from the Superintendent and the SSHO. The Superintendent may serve as the SSHO (See the RFP, Part 2, Sect. 01 30 00.05 20, Paragraph 1.1 and Part 2, Sect. 01 45 00.05 20, Paragraph 1.2).	
							Is there a location that the contractor could use to perform coordination on site, or is a contractor trailer necessary?	It is the contractor's decision whether or not to provide a trailer for coordination. There is no other existing interior space that can accommodate this request for this project. The interior of existing Building 1100 has roof leaks where personnel would otherwise congregate, so this is not a viable option. If it is desired to place a contractor's trailer outside the Limits of Work indicated in the RFP, Part 6, Attachment 03, this can be coordinated with the Construction Manager.	
			155	ttachment A		S-24	Please confrim that Scope of work is to replace the entier Splitter as shown in the detail on Sheet 2-24 and not just the Bottom Cover as shown on page Attachment A, 157.	This contract will replace a total of (8) Secondary Air Intake Splitter Baffles in their entirety.	
			159 of 161	6			Please confirm which bay will receive repairs. At the site it was told to us it was 1101. 1102 an 1103 are shown in the rfp site diagram.	These repairs must be completed for the secondary inlet guides located within Building 1100.	

			161of 161	6	ev checklist		Environemetnal checklist states for Contractror to remove vanes and install vanes through opening in top, NO ground disturbance. Is this required? It will possibly cause rerfooing, larger cranes, and other expenses not encountered from ground access, removal, and installation.	Interpret "no ground disturbance" to mean no digging into the ground will be performed. It is expected that the ground will support the weight of a crane, crane outrigger cribbing, and other equipment as necessary to perform the repairs. It is also expected that the repairs will optimally be performed by removing the guide baffles from the top, but it is acceptable to remove them from the bottom at the contractor's discretion, as long as existing structure to remain is not disturbed. Note that it appears that the design of the existing guide baffles facilitated lowering them into place from the top.	
			none				Can the government provide the calculations from the original design of the vanes?"	Unfortunately, the government no longer has this information.	
							What is the engine model that is being tested in the test cell?	This information is unknown.	
							Can the government provide the anticipated air flow parameters for the air entering the secondary intake? This is needed for determining the mechanical loads on the intake baffles (vanes) and in turn, has an affect on the price of the baffles (vanes).	Please see the RFP, Part 3, Chapter 6.0, Section B10 SUPERSTRUCTURE for all known parameters. No other information is available regarding air flow parameters.	