AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
J	1		21

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
0002	10 JUL 2026		W912DS-26-S-0018

6. ISSUED BY CODE W912DS

W2SD ENDIST NEW YORK
KO CONTRACTING DIVISION, 26 FEDERAL PLAZA ROOM 16 300
NEW YORK, NY 10278-0004
UNITED STATES
BRIAN WINTERS, EMAIL: BRIAN.H.WINTERS@USACE.ARMY.MIL TELEPHONE: 917-790-8083

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

SCD: PAS:

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE FACILITY CODE

(X)	
[X]	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER W912DS26RA028 9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)* 25 JUN 2026
[ ]	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER 10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[X] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [X] is extended. [ ] is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

SEE SECTION G - CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
[ ]	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
[ ]	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
[ ]	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
[ ]	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not [ ] is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

See Schedule

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
		NICHOLAS EMANUEL	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR *(Signature of person authorized to sign)*	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	16C. DATE SIGNED 22 JUL 2026

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Block 14 (Description of Amendment/Modification): The purpose of this amendment is to:
Answer questions from prospective offerors for informational purposes only
Extend proposal due date to 7 August 2026 at 2:00 P.M. EST
NOTE: BIDDERS MUST ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS AMENDMENT BY THE DATE SPECIFIED IN THE SOLICITATION (OR AS AMENDED) BY ONE OF THE FOLLOWING METHODS: IN THE SPACE PROVIDED ON THE SF1442, BY SEPARATE LETTER, OR BY SIGNING THE BLOCK 15 BELOW. FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE AMENDMENTS BY THE DATE AND TIME SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR BID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATE BID, LATE MODIFICATIONS OR BIDS OR LATE WITHDRAWAL OF BIDS (FAR 14.304)

Section 01 00 00 - General Requirements

Miscellaneous text in this section has been added to:

ERCIP Wellfield Expansion, Design-Build

Fort Drum, New York

W912DS26RA028

Amendment 0002

TO BIDDERS:

The following changes shall be made to the Design Build Request for Proposal.

DRAWINGS:

1. The following DRAWINGS have been **REVISED and REISSUED**:

None

2. The following DRAWINGS have been **REVISED** but **NOT REISSUED**:

None

3. The following DRAWINGS have been **ADDED**:

None

4. The following DRAWINGS have been **DELETED**:

None

SPECIFICATIONS:

1. The following SPECIFICATIONS have been **REVISED and REISSUED**:

00 22 10

2. The following SPECIFICATIONS have been **REVISED** but **NOT REISSUED**:

Section 01 45 00, 1.5.2.2 Additional Requirements for Design Quality Control (DQC) Plan, part A, the third sentence is replaced with "The same element that produced the product may not perform the review."

3. The following SPECIFICATIONS have been **ADDED**:

None

4. The following SPECIFICATIONS have been **DELETED**:

None

-

ATTACHMENTS:

1. The following ATTACHMENTS have been **REVISED and REISSUED**:

Attachment 1 - Proposal Data Sheet

Attachment 2 - Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ)

Attachment 3 - Specialized Experience

Attachment 4 - Small Business Participation Plan

Attachment 5 - Sample Firm, Unequivocal, Letter of Commitment Between Prime Contractor and Subcontractor.

2. The following ATTACHMENTS have been **REVISED** but **NOT REISSUED**:

None

3. The following ATTACHMENTS have been **ADDED**:

None

4. The following ATTACHMENTS have been **DELETED**:

None

BIDDER'S QUESTIONS AND GOVERNMENT REPLY

(Questions that may be of general interest to all Bidders and/or the Government and that are not readily answered by the proceeding changes will appear below. These questions may have been paraphrased or altered to represent several questions regarding the same subject and/or simply revised to clarify and simplify the question(s). Questions and answers are issued to the bidders "For Information Only" and do not revise the solicitation, plans or specifications.)

Question1: Acquisition Process - Sec 00 22 10 titled "Two Phase - Phase One" implies this is a two-phase DB procurement. However, the RFP is structured as a single-phase DB procurement. Please confirm this is a single-phase procurement.

Response 1: Acquisition is one phase. 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 2: PPQ and CPAR - Sec 00 22 10 "Volume 1 - Factor 1 - Past Performance" p32 states "The Offeror will provide Past Performance information on relevant projects using the Past Performance and Specialized Experience Questionnaires included in the solicitation. Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) shall be submitted for projects, when available. Your client should receive form ATTACHMENT 2 - PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (PPQ)". Please confirm that if a CPARS is provided for a past performance project it is not necessary to provide a PPQ in the ATTACHMENT 2 format.

Response 2: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 3: Section 00 22 10, paragraph numbering does not match the Table of Contents provided on page 21 of 117. Please clarify.

Response 3: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 4: Volume 1-Factor 1-Past Performance, page 32 of 117, first paragraph; states "The Offeror will provide Past Performance information on relevant projects using the Past Performance and Specialized Experience Questionnaires included in the solicitation. Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) shall be submitted for projects, when available. Please

confirm, or advise otherwise, that the Past Performance and Specialized Experience Questionnaire (PPQ) is required for all projects and the CPARS when available supplements the PPQ information.

Response 4: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 5: Paragraph 2.3.2 Relevant Past Performance, sub-paragraph 'i.b' "Complexity", page 34 of 117, states: "Similar complexity is defined as projects demonstrating successful design and /or construction of water transmission systems including telemetry and controls that are at least 5,000 linear feet.". Would the Government consider revising this requirement to allow project examples of telemetry and controls on fluid transmission systems other than water?

Response 5: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 6: Paragraph 2.3.2 Relevant Past Performance, sub-paragraph 'i.b' "Complexity", page 34 of 117, states: "Similar complexity is defined as projects demonstrating successful design and /or construction of water transmission systems including telemetry and controls that are at least 5,000 linear feet.". Would the Government consider revising this requirement to allow project examples of fluid transmission systems other than water?

Response 6: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 7: The Attachments provided with the RFP documents (Attachments 1 - 5) are all labeled as 'CUI', these Attachments are incorrectly labeled as CUI as all are publicly available and circulated documents in their current form. As presently labeled, these forms cannot be shared with some individuals that need to complete the form. The CUI marking should be removed as it is not necessary and is over marking. Please advise if these forms can be used with the CUI marking removed.

Response 7: Attachments 1-5 have been revised and re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 8: To ensure the submission of responsible, high-quality proposals that align with USACE's objectives, we respectfully request an extension of the proposal due date to August 7, 2026. This additional time will enable offerors to more clearly articulate their proposed solutions, ultimately resulting in stronger, more competitive proposals for USACE's consideration. The current due date of July 24 will preclude competitive proposals because it does not afford adequate time to generate quality scopes for subcontractors (requires 1 week after site visit = 2 weeks total), for subcontractors to price (requires 2 weeks min for subcontractors to be willing to bid and most request 3 weeks), followed by 1 week for primes to assess bids, analyze and address risk, and obtain management approval. While a 30-day timeline may be sufficient for DBB, this minimum 6-week DB timeline (for a single-phase DB) drives the requested August 7 due date and will reduce risk, ultimately resulting in more competitive proposals.

Response 8: Proposal due date is changed to 7 August 2026 with amendment 2.

Question 9: Due to the proximity of the July 4th holiday and limited availability of both prime and subcontractor firms to review the proposal, as well as to achieve alignment with the proposed extension to August 7, 2026, we respectfully request a 2-week extension to the deadline for offerors to submit questions to July 24, 2026. The reduced availability during the holiday period limits the ability to perform a comprehensive review, which may impact the depth and clarity of questions submitted. With subcontractors actively reviewing prime generated scopes the weeks of July 6 and July 13, a cutoff of July 24 will allow ample time for questions. Allowing additional time will enable more deliberate coordination, validation of assumptions, and identification of potential risks or ambiguities to support the development of complete and balanced proposals.

Response 9: Proposal due date is changed to 7 August 2026 with amendment 2. No additional bidder inquiries are being accepted.

Question 10: Can the Government please remove the CUI designation on Attachments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and redistribute? These forms are administrative in nature and do not contain any CUI. These documents require distribution to external parties for completion (including subcontractors and teaming partners), and inclusion of the CUI designation on each form will greatly delay the distribution processes for offerors and their subcontractors, as many companies restrict sending documents with CUI via email.

Response 10: Attachments have been revised and re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 11: If the Government cannot remove the CUI designation on Attachments 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, many firms cannot distribute documents with these markings via email due to security restrictions and internal policies. If these markings are to remain, please advise if offerors can submit proposals via other methods rather than email, such as a DoD SAFE link, PIEE, etc.

Response 11: Attachments have been revised and re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 12: Solicitation Section 3.0, pg 24 - The solicitation states "Schedules, drawings and/or sketches may be submitted electronically on paper not exceeding 11 x 17-inch, (each 11 x 17 counts as two pages)." Schedules, drawings, and sketches are not formally requested to be submitted as part of offerors' proposals under any evaluated factor. Can the Government please clarify if schedules, drawings, and sketches are expected to be included, and if so, what factors should they be included in and evaluated under?

Response 12: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 13: Solicitation section 1.3, pg 27 - The solicitation states "If a teaming agreement or Letter of Commitment is not provided, the projects and experience of any team members outside of the Prime Offeror will not be considered." For proposed subcontractors or teaming partners, please clarify whether a formal teaming agreement is required to be included in the proposal for each proposed subcontractor or teaming partner, -OR- if a signed Letter of Commitment (Attachment 5) is sufficient to demonstrate the relationship and allow the Government to evaluate subcontractor/teaming partner past performance?

Response 13: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2. A formal teaming agreement or a Letter of Commitment (Attachment 5) is sufficient to demonstrate the relationship. Signatures from the teaming partners, e.g., a joint venture, are required on the formal teaming agreement, signatures from the Prime and Subcontractor are required on the Letter of Commitment for each proposed subcontractor.

Question 14: Solicitation, Volume 1 - Factor 1 - Past Performance, pg 32 - The solicitation states "the relevant experience of key personnel proposed for this project will not be evaluated or considered under this factor." Please clarify that no information on key personnel needs to be submitted in any factor for this proposal? If information for key personnel is to be provided, what needs to be provided and where should this information be included?

Response 14: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2. Information on Key Personnel is not required.

Question 15: Solicitation, Volume 1 - Factor 1 - Past Performance, pg 32 - The solicitation states "the relevant experience of key personnel proposed for this project will not be evaluated or considered under this factor." If information for key personnel is to be provided in another factor other than Factor 1, please clarify what needs to be provided for key personnel and where should this information be included?

Response 15: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2. Information on Key Personnel is not required.

Question 16: Solicitation Section 3.1.3. and Section 1.2 - Attachment 1 was distributed to offerors but not mentioned within Section 3 or Section 1.2, Proposal Submission Requirements. Please clarify where offerors should provide our completed Attachment 1, as its placement is not clearly specified in the solicitation.

Response 16: 00 22 10 has been revised and has been re-issued with amendment 2. Attachment 1 should be included in Volume 3 Tab A.

Question 17: Solicitation, Volume 1 - Factor 1 - Past Performance - If the Offeror intends to serve as both the construction contractor and the designer (AE-DOR/DOR), please clarify how past performance and specialized experience should be demonstrated? Specifically, is the Offeror

required to provide separate project examples for design (up to 2) and construction (up to 3)? Or may the Offeror submit up to 3 Design-Build (DB) projects where it acted as both the Prime Contractor and AE/DOR, and designate up to 2 of those projects to satisfy both the design and construction requirements? If acceptable to designate 2 DB projects, should those same projects be included in both the Design and Construction sections (e.g., duplicated in the Attachment 3 Specialized Experience form)? It is important to understand how this integrated approach, which will provide a significant level of improved cost and time efficiency to the Govt, is appropriately documented in the RFP response process.

Response 17: The Offeror is required to provide project examples for design (up to 2) and construction (up to 3). The Offeror may submit up to 3 Design-Build (DB) projects where it acted as both the Prime Contractor and AE/DOR, and designate up to 2 of those projects to satisfy both the design and construction requirements. Yes, those same projects should be included in both the Design and Construction sections (e.g., duplicated in the Attachment 3 Specialized Experience form).

Question 18: Solicitation, Volume 1 - Factor 1 - Past Performance - If the Offeror will serve as both the construction contractor and the designer (AE-DOR) but will also rely on a key design subconsultant/subcontractor (under Teaming Agreement of Letter of Commitment) for specific design disciplines or expertise, please confirm that for the Design Past Performance it is acceptable to submit one project by the Offeror and one by the subcontractor/subconsultant since this is analogous to an Offeror submitting Construction Past Performance of construction subcontractors.

Response 18: Yes, that is acceptable.

Question 19: Volume 1 - Factor 1 - Past Performance (pg 32) - Please confirm whether Performance Recognition Documents are acceptable only for the projects submitted under Factor 1 for evaluation, or if Offerors may also provide Performance Recognition Documents for projects that are not included among the Factor 1 project submissions.

Response 19: Yes, as long as they are received within seven years of the date of issuance of the solicitation.

Question 20: Volume 1 - Factor 1 - Past Performance (pg 33) - Please confirm whether information regarding terminated projects is required only for the projects submitted under Factor 1, or if Offerors are required to disclose any terminated projects that are not included among the Factor 1 project submissions.

Response 20: Offeror is required to provide any information on past projects (within the past five (5) years from the date of issuance of the solicitation) that were terminated (for any reason) or in which they have been issued a CURE NOTICE and provide accompanying information as to the details for such action(s) with an explanation of why they were terminated or issued a cure notice.

Question 21: Attachment 1 - Proposal Data Sheet and Proposal Cover Sheet- Attachment 1 and the Cover Sheet requests the Offeror's DUNS number; however, DUNS numbers have been

replaced by the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) for Federal procurement and registration purposes. Please confirm whether Offerors should provide their UEI in lieu of a DUNS number, or if the Government is requesting both identifiers.

Response 21: Please provide your UEI number. Attachment 1 will be re-issued in amendment 2.

Question 22: Attachment 5 - Sample Firm, Unequivocal Letter of Commitment Between Prime Contractor and Subcontractor - At the top, this sample letter references "Jordan Moran, Contract Specialist"; however, the solicitation identifies Brian Winters as the Contract Specialist. Please confirm if Offerors can change this in the Letters of Commitment. If not, can the Government edit and reissue?

Response 22: Attachment 5 has been reissued with amendment 2.

Question 23: Solicitation Section 4.4.1 (1) - This section requires offerors to provide a list of present commitments, including "names and telephone numbers of personnel within each organization who are familiar with the contractor's performance." Some offerors may have hundreds of present commitments, which would require gathering and listing hundreds of individual names and phone numbers associated with each present project commitment. For Government and Offeror efficiency, please confirm if offeror must provide names and telephone numbers associated with each commitment, or if it is acceptable for offeror's to provide an

adequate list of names and telephone numbers at their discretion. For example, we recommend and request confirmation it is acceptable to provide information for the top 5 largest (by $) present commitments listed in terms of the dollar value.

Response 23: 00 22 10 has been revised and re-issued in amendment 2. Provide information on the top 10 largest present commitments.

Question 24: Volume 1 - Factor 1 - Past Performance (pg 32) - The solicitation states "Also include performance recognition documents received within the last seven (7) years from the date of issuance of the solicitation such as award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition." Please confirm if this information is requested of just the offeror, or offeror's team subcontractors as well.

Response 24: This information is only requested from the offeror.

Question 25: Could the proposal due date be extended to August 7 or later? This would give our team much needed time to process site visit information and develop a more accurate technical and price proposal.

Response 25: Proposal due date is changed to 7 August 2026 with amendment 2.

Question 26: After contract award, will .dwg versions of the survey and drawing files be available to the selected DB team?

Response 26: Electronic files will be released to the contractor after award for reference only. This does not relieve the offeror of any design responsibilities. Offeror is responsible for verifying the existing site conditions as needed to complete their work.

Question 27: Confirm volume 3 factor 3 price and pro forma information are both part of phase 1 due on July 24th at 1500?

Response 27: Volume 3 factor 3 price and pro forma information are both part of phase 1. Proposal due date is changed to 7 August 2026 with amendment 2. This is a 1 phase solicitation; references to two phase solicitation were in error. 00 22 10 has been re-issued with amendment 2.

Question 28: Can the government extend the proposal due date of 24 June by one week?

Response 28: Proposal due date is changed to 7 August 2026 with amendment 2.