AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF PAGES
	1	9

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
1333ND26RNB1900330003	AUG 06, 2026	NB194000-26-00904	

6. ISSUED BY	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)*	CODE
NATIONAL INST OF STDS AND TECHNOLOGY 325 BROADWAY BOULDER CO 80305-3328	000014	See Block 6	

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*	(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER
	X	1333ND26RNB190033
		9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)*
		JUL 08, 2026
		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER
		10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*
CODE / FACILITY CODE		

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[X] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [ ] is extended. [X] is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

See Schedule

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
[ ]	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
[ ]	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
[ ]	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
[ ]	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not [ ] is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

See Attachment Page.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		*(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

The purpose of this amendment (0003) is to incorporate minor changes due to interested party concerns:

A. REVISE and ADD the following text to L.9 Instructions to Offerors, a. Factor 3 – Past Performance, item ii.:

"ii. The Offeror shall submit a completed Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) for a minimum of ~~one (1)~~ **zero (0)** and up to three (3) relevant projects. **Please take notice that a submission of zero (0) will likely be, at best, rated neutral when combined with no other available past performance. The more completed PPQs submitted, up to three (3), will be more favorable.**

B. MINOR REVISIONS to the following text to M.3 Basis for Award, EVALUATION a. Relative Importance of Evaluation Factors:, ii:

"ii. The Contracting Officer intends to award a contract to the Offeror whose proposal they determine represents a technically acceptable and fair, and reasonable price, and **best value** to the government. The Contracting Officer reserves the right to make no award."

C. The Offer Due Date, Block 13 of the Standard Form (SF) 1442, remains unchanged due to this modification at **August 13, 2026, no later than 5:00 PM (MT).**

D. No other changes occur as a result of this amendment.

Table of Contents

SECTION L INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS..... 4

L.1 Instructions to Offerors..... 4

SECTION M EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD..... 7

M.1 Basis for Award..... 7

SECTION L
INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

L.1 Instructions to Offerors

Instructions, Conditions, Notices to Offerors

1. **Proposal Submission Requirements:**

Evaluation Factors are as follows:

Factor 1: Technical Approach and Compliance
Factor 2: Preliminary Schedule
Factor 3: Past Performance
Factor 4: Price

a. Factor 1 - Technical Approach and Compliance:
The offeror shall submit a Plan of Approach with compliance plans (use diagrams, tables, etc., when appropriate) describing the Offeror's approach to accomplishing the requirements of the solicitation. The technical and compliance approach shall include a clear approach to completing technical and compliance aspects of work, means and methods of accomplishing tasks to be performed, material data/information specific to replacement item(s), details specific to support the offeror's proposed schedules, quality control and safety process(es), Communications diagram, and a complete listing of anticipated subcontractors by discipline.

The Plan of Approach shall not exceed 20 single-sided pages (8.5" x 11") in length using no smaller than 11-point font; this font size limit is applicable throughout the document to include tables, diagrams, and charts. *Please note, material data / information cut sheets do not count toward the 20-page limit.

Quality Control and Safety methods should be addressed and included.

Ensure to include key personnel in accordance with G.3 1352.237-75 Key Personnel, Project Manager, Site Superintendent, and Safety Manager. Submit resumes for each of the key personnel that possess a minimum of 5 years' experience performing that role. The more demonstrated experience of the personnel in their specific role(s) will be viewed as more favorably with NIST. Key personnel can perform multiple roles and NIST shall evaluate each role separately, therefore the person must meet minimum requirements of each role proposed on resume/past experience.

b. Factor 2: Preliminary Schedule: Provide a preliminary construction schedule in the form of a Gantt chart with the minimum milestones:
**** MAKE USE OF AN ESTIMATED NOTICE TO PROCEEED DATE OF OCTOBER 1, 2026 ****

c. Factor 3: Past Performance

i. The past performance evaluation will assess the relative risks associated with an Offeror's likelihood of success in fulfilling the solicitation's requirements as indicated by the Offeror's record of past performance. In this context, "offeror" refers to the prime contractor. Present and past performance shall be evaluated as a measure of the Government's confidence in the Offeror's ability to successfully perform based on previous and current contract efforts.

ii. The Offeror shall submit a completed Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) for a minimum of ~~one (1)~~ **zero (0)** and up to three (3) relevant projects. **Please take notice that a submission of zero (0) will likely be, at best, rated neutral when combined with no other available past performance. The more completed PPQs submitted, up to three (3), will be more favorable.**

d. Factor 4: Price: Price proposals shall include the information identified below:

i. Offer shall complete and submit Pages 1 through 4 of the Solicitation, Standard Form (SF) 1442. Complete Blocks 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, and 20A through 20C of the SF 1442. An official having the authority to contractually bind the Offeror's company must sign the SF 1442 in accordance with the procedures prescribed in FAR 4.102.

ii. Return a completed Section K, Representations and Certifications included in the solicitation.

iii. Complete and submit Price Proposal identifying lump sum price provided on Page 4 of the Solicitation. Additionally, supply price breakouts included labor hours with rates per disciplines; materials with description, quantities, and prices; all overheads with description and rates; and profits that fully explain the proposed price.

2. The Offeror agrees to hold the offered prices in its offer firm for 90 calendar days from the date specified from quote/proposal due date.

3. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award, any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract.

4. The Government shall not be liable for any costs incurred by the offeror attending the site visit or submitting a proposal in response to this Solicitation.

5. System updates may lag policy updates. The System for Award Management (SAM) may continue to require entities to complete representations based on provisions that are not included in agency solicitations. Examples include 52.223-22, Public Disclosure of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Reduction Goals—Representation, 52.222-25, Affirmative Action Compliance, and paragraph (d) of 52.212-3, Offeror Representations and Certifications—Commercial Products and Commercial Services. Contracting officers shall not consider or use these representations when making award decisions or enforce requirements. Entities are not required to, nor are they able to, update their entity registration to remove these representations in SAM.

6. In the event there are any inconsistencies between the base contract requirements and the contract SOW(s), the contractor shall bring these to the attention of the contracting officer **not later than the site visit**

7. A list of individuals attending the site visit is due to the POC listed in Block 9 of the SF 1442 no later than **5:00 PM (MT) on July 17, 2026. The list must include each attendee's full legal name (first, middle, and last). If an individual does not have a middle name or is not a US citizen, that must be explicitly stated.**

   The site visit is scheduled to occur on **July 21, 2026, at 1:00 PM (MT).** Everyone should meet at Building 25.

8. Any questions/requests for information (RFI)s/requests related to this solicitation must be received by the POC listed in Block 9 of the SF 1442 via email on or before **July 30, 2026, no later than 5:00 PM (MT).**

SECTION M
EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

M.1 Basis for Award

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. **BASIS FOR AWARD:**
   - **a.** The Contracting Officer intends to award a Firm Fixed-Price (FFP) contract to the responsible Offeror whose proposal the Contracting Officer determines represents the best value, price and other factors considered.

2. **EVALUATION**
   - **a. Relative Importance of Evaluation Factors:**
     - **i.** The evaluation factors include: Factor 1 – Technical Approach and Compliance, Factor 2 – Preliminary Schedule, Factor 3 – Past Performance, and Factor 4 - Price. The non-price factors are Factors 1, 2, and 3. Factors 1 and 2 are relatively equal, and when combined more important than Factor 3. **All non-price factors (1 – 3) when combined are more importance than Factor 4 (price factor).**

     - **ii.** The Contracting Officer intends to award a contract to the Offeror whose proposal they determine represents a technically acceptable and fair**,** ~~and~~ reasonable price**,** and **best value** to the government. The Contracting Officer reserves the right to make no award.

   - **b. Factor 1 – Technical Approach and Compliance**
     Evaluation of this factor is a subjective evaluation of the Offeror's understanding of the solicitation requirements (clauses, provisions, and the SOWs) and demonstrates ability to meet contract requirements and SOWs based on the offeror's proposed technical approach. A technical proposal that demonstrates a thorough understanding of all solicitation and project requirements will be rated higher than a proposal that does not clearly detail an approach, does not adequately address all aspects of the solicitation and project, and/or does not demonstrate the offeror's technical capability to perform the work. Offerors must demonstrate the ability to mobilize and commence work within 10 calendar days of notice to proceed. This may include evidence of current operations, personnel availability, or other resources sufficient to perform the work in the project location. Additionally, if the Contracting Officer determines a technical proposal is primarily a copy and paste version of a SOW and solicitation requirements it may be removed from any further consideration for award.

   - **c. Factor 2 – Preliminary Schedule**
     Evaluation of this factor is a subjective evaluation of the Offeror's understanding of the work. A schedule offering a shorter period of performance while demonstrating understanding of the requirements of the statement of work may receive a higher rating. The schedule will be evaluated in terms of completeness, timeliness of completion, and to ensure it presents a thorough understanding of the work required.

   - **d. Factor 3 – Past Performance**
     Evaluation of this factor is a subjective evaluation and will assess the relative risks associated with an Offeror's likelihood of success in fulfilling the solicitation requirements as indicated by the Offeror's record of past performance. In the absence of past performance the Offeror shall be rated as neutral in rating.

   - **e. Factor 4 – Price**
     Price will be evaluated based on the total price. The Government has requested, and shall evaluate, each Offeror's detailed cost breakdown to determine the reasonableness and completeness of the price proposals. The Government will not conduct price realism as a part of price evaluation. One or more of the following techniques will be used to ensure a fair and reasonable price:
       - i. Comparison of proposed prices/costs received in response to the solicitation.
       - ii. Comparison of proposed prices/costs with the Independent Government Estimate.
       - iii. Comparison of proposed prices/costs with available historical information.
   - **f. Adjectival Ratings**
     The following adjectival ratings will be used to evaluate timely received Offeror's submissions in response to this solicitation:

Adjectival Rating	Description
Excellent (E)	Proposal meets requirements and indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements.
Good (G)	Proposal meets requirements and indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements. Proposal contains strengths which outweigh any weaknesses. The quote includes strengths that will benefit the Government. And identified weakness has little potential to cause disruption of schedule or degradation of performance. Risk of unsuccessful performance is low.
Satisfactory (S)	Proposal meets requirements and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements. Strengths and weaknesses are offsetting or will have little or no impact on contract performance. The proposal has no deficiencies but may include some weaknesses that can potentially cause disruption of schedules or degradation of performance. Risk of unsuccessful performance is no worse than moderate.
Marginal (M)	Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements. The proposal has one or more weaknesses which are not offset by strengths. The proposal has some weakness the will likely cause disruption of schedules or degradation of performance. Risk of unsuccessful performance is high.
Unacceptable (U)	Proposal does not meet requirements. Weaknesses may outweigh strengths or quote contains one or more deficiencies. The proposal presents an unacceptable risk and cannot meet the requirements without revisions. Proposal is unawardable.