Request for Solutions (RFS)

For

Contaminated Waste Plant at Lake City Army Ammunition Plant (LCAAP)

For

Government Fiscal Year 2026

Request for Solutions Number: W519TC-26-R-ACWP

Issued by:
Army Contracting Command – Rock Island Arsenal (ACC-RIA)

In Support of:
Project Lead Joint Services (PL JS)

Issue Date: 04 AUG 2026

Proposal Submittal Deadline: 25 AUG 2026 / 1200 (CST)

Questions will not be accepted after 19 AUG 2026 / 1200 (CST)

1. Background/Terms and Conditions

1.1. Purpose

Army Contracting Command – Rock Island (ACC-RI), on behalf of Project Lead Joint Services (PLJS), plans to execute a facility construction, demolition and repair project directly relevant to enhancing mission effectiveness. This effort will be carried out pursuant to 10 United States Code (U.S.C.) § 2808a, Authority to carry out joint commander-urgent military construction projects, through an Other Transaction Agreement (OTA) for the development of a Contaminated Waste Plant.

For more information regarding Other Transaction (OT) regulations and policies, please refer to the DoW, Other Transactions Guide, Version 2.0, dated July 2023. A copy of the Guide can be obtained by contacting the RFS Points of Contact listed in Section 6.

Discussions:

Following government receipt of responses to this RFS, the USG will enter into discussions with offerors as determined necessary by the government in order to optimize solutions and select the preferred vendor and vendor solution. Upon selection a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) will be set and funding will be obligated in that amount.

Phase I:

The selected vendor and the Government will collaboratively evaluate, validate, and refine the design. Duration of Phase I will be dependent upon the extent to which the offeror accepts and leverages the provided 100% design. The vendor will perform comprehensive constructability reviews, conduct site investigations, identify design-to-site gaps, and finalize the construction execution schedule. This phase concludes with the negotiation and locking of a firm-fixed-price milestone structure for the subsequent physical construction based on the validated design. Any modifications to the provided 100% design may require an explosive safety site plan revision and approval by the Department of War Explosives Safety Office (DWESO) or additional construction permitting, for which the contractor will be responsible for.

Phase II:

Following completion of phase I and establishment of a FFP, the Government will de obligate any excess funding and issue Notice to Proceed with physical construction. The Government reserves the right to execute an "off-ramp" and terminate the transaction if a fair and reasonable FFP cannot be negotiated at the end of Phase 1.

1.2. Background

Requirement includes construction of a new decontamination facility, demolition and replacement of the pyrotechnic waste treatment facility, refurbishment of the neutralized explosive wastewater treatment facility, and demolition of and replacement of a neutralized explosive wastewater lift station at Lake City Army Ammunition Plant (LCAAP). These facilities are critical to LCAAP's small caliber ammunition production capabilities. A 100% design for this work was completed by Burns & McDonnell. The goal is for offerors to propose solutions that take responsibility for the existing design and execute construction, modify the design and execute construction, or re design and execute construction of the aforementioned facilities in a way that maintains the uninterrupted ability to produce 1.6B rounds of small caliber ammunition a year. Solutions that leverage existing design, are cost affordable, and meet all capacity, installation, and production requirements will be viewed more favorably.

1.3. Other Transaction Authority

In accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 2028a, (Facility construction or repair: transactions other than contracts and grants), an OTA may be used if at least one of the following conditions is met:

A. There is at least one non-traditional defense contractor or nonprofit research institution participating to a significant extent in the project.

B. All significant participants in the transaction other than the Federal Government are small businesses (including small businesses participating in a program described under Section 9 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. § 638)) or nontraditional defense contractors.

C. At least one third of the total cost of the project is to be paid out of funds provided by sources other than the Federal Government.

D. The senior procurement executive for the agency determines in writing that exceptional circumstances justify the use of a transaction that provides for innovative business arrangements or structures that would not be feasible or appropriate under a contract, or would provide an opportunity to expand the defense supply base in a manner that would not be practical or feasible under a contract.

The eligibility of proposals under conditions (A) and (B) above is subject to a determination by the Government that the significance of the proposed nontraditional defense contractor and/or nonprofit research institution participation satisfies the requirements of 10 U.S.C. § 2028a. Offerors should refer to Attachment 0002 –

Representation & Certification, for guidance on developing a proposal that can satisfy conditions (A) and (B).

If the Government determines that an Offeror's proposal does not meet conditions (A) or (B) above, the Government may, at its sole discretion, request additional rationale and support or provide the Offeror with an opportunity to propose an alternative cost share arrangement that meets condition (C) above in accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 2028a.

In addition, Offerors must have a Dun and Bradstreet (DUNS) number and must register in the System for Award Management (SAM) to obtain a Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code. This system verifies identity and ensures that payment is sent to the right party. In general, to invoice and receive payment after award of an OTA, Offerors must register in Wide Area Work Flow (WAWF) through the Procurement Integrated Enterprise Environment (PIEE).

The Offeror is subject to a determination by the Agreements Officer that it is a responsible party that is not suspended or debarred by the Federal Government, and is not prohibited by Presidential Executive Order, or law from receiving such award. Additionally, Offerors who attempt to circumvent the eligibility requirements/conditions may be excluded from consideration at the Agreements Officer's discretion.

Throughout the period of performance of any OTA, the Government will actively monitor the award to ensure compliance with this provision in accordance with the implementation guidance from Headquarters – Department of the Army and/or the Office of the Secretary of Defense. Offerors will be given the opportunity to become compliant with the guidance should they be found non-compliant. Failure to comply may result in termination.

1.4. Agreement Type

Subject to availability of funds, the Government may award a Firm-Fixed Price OTA for one or both phases of the project. The Government may opt to make no awards, or re-open the RFS at a later period if the submissions are insufficient in quality or fail to meet the intent of the RFS.

1.5. Period of Performance (PoP)

The PoP is to be determined (TBD) at the time of award. The final PoP will be established based upon the awardee's proposed and accepted schedule. However, for planning purposes, the Government anticipates all effort and deliverables under this agreement to be completed no later than November 4, 2030.

1.6. Place of Performance

Lake City Army Ammunition Plant (LCAAP)

1.7. Milestone Payment and Deliverables

Should an award be made at a future date following the issuance of an RFS, Milestone payments will be made in accordance with the negotiated agreement resulting from this RFS. Acceptance for each milestone will be approved/disapproved by the Agreements Officer Representative (AOR) within 14 calendar days of receipt from the contractor. Once the Government has determined the milestone has been successfully completed and provided notification of approval, the contractor may invoice through Wide Area Workflow (WAWF)/Procurement Integrated Enterprise Environment (PIEE).

1.8. Intellectual Property/Data Rights

Refer to attachment 0001, CWP Package.zip, which is the original solicitation from SAM.gov. This includes the 100% design specifications, detailed drawing set, and design analysis.

1.9. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Any sensitive documents or other proprietary data submitted by non-Government parties in response to a this RFS or OTA award shall be marked with a restrictive legend. The Government will follow its FOIA procedures, including submitter notice, in the event that any person requests sensitive or proprietary data which belongs to a non-Government party.

1.10. Controlled Documents

Controlled documents contain sensitive information and require special handling. Access to these documents are restricted to entities certified by the Joint Certification Program (JCP) https://www.dla.mil/HQ/LogisticsOperations/Services/JCP/. To request access, you must be logged into your SAM.gov account https://sam.gov/entity-information, and your user profile must be linked to your entity's active registration, such as your DUNS number or CAGE Code. Access to controlled documents on Sam.gov will be granted only after the Government Contracting Opportunities Point of Contact (POC) confirms your entity's JCP certification is active. Additionally, only your entity's registered Data Custodian, listed in Block 3A of the DD Form 2345, and their alternate Block 3.1A, are eligible for approval. Your entity is responsible for managing its SAM.gov and JCP accounts and registrations, as well as handling-controlled documents in compliance with all prescribed directives.

1.11. Accounting System Requirements

Prior to the award on OTA pursuant to this RFS, the contractor shall ensure that appropriate arrangements have been made for receiving, distributing and accounting for Federal funds under this agreement. Consistent with this stipulation, an acceptable accounting system will be one in which all cash receipts and disbursements are

controlled and documented properly and which is capable of generating a cost element summary.

1.12. Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT)

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to this RFS, the Government shall make all payments under this contract by EFT. The Government will make payment to the contractor using the EFT information contained in the SAM.gov. In the event that the EFT information changes, the contractor shall be responsible for providing the updated information to SAM.gov.

1.13. Electronic Invoicing

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to this RFS, the WAWF/PIEE system is the method to electronically process vendor payment requests and receiving reports. To access WAWF/PIEE, the contractor shall have a designated electronic business point of contact in the SAM and be registered to use WAWF. Step-by-step procedures for self-registration in WAWF/PIEE is available at https://piee.eb.mil/xhtml/unauth/help/helpcontractors.xhtml.

1.14. Use of Funds and Comptroller General Access

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to this RFS, all funds are to be used only for costs that a reasonable and prudent person would incur in carrying out this OTA. To the extent required by 10 U.S.C. § 2028a, the Comptroller General shall be permitted to examine the records of any party to resulting agreement.

1.15. Limitation of Government Liability

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to this RFS, claims for damages of any nature whatsoever pursued under this agreement shall be limited to direct damages only up to the aggregate amount of Government funding disbursed as of the time the dispute arises. In no event shall the Government be liable for claims for consequential, punitive, special, and incidental damages, claims for lost profits, or other indirect damages.

1.16. Disputes

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to this RFS, any dispute arising under or relating to this Other Transaction Agreement (OTA) shall be resolved in accordance with the procedures set forth herein. The Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. Chapter 71) does not apply to this OTA.

1.17. Foreign Involvement

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to this RFS, for the entirety of a potential future agreement, and in accordance with the 2018 Unclassified National Defense Strategy (NDS) which articulates the threat from foreign predatory economics and inter-state strategic competitions that are the primary threats to US security, the USG will

restrict foreign participation, access and transfers. Any proposed foreign participation, access or transfer will require Government notification and concurrence on a case-by-case basis prior to initiating any work effort.

1.18. Non-US Research Programs

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to this RFS, for the entirety of a potential future agreement, the USG will restrict direct or indirect participation, collaboration, communication or acceptance of funding with non-US research programs, such as the Thousand Talent Program (TTP), even in the case the activity is conducted with and/or through a US citizen, entity or company. Any proposed non-US research program involvement will require Government notification and concurrence on a case-by-case basis prior to initiating any work effort.

1.19. Security

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to this RFS, the potential security classification level for this effort is UNCLASSIFIED and shall remain UNCLASSIFIED for the life of the agreement.

1.20. Safety

Refer to attachment 0001, CWP Package.zip, which is the original solicitation from SAM.gov. This includes the 100% design specifications, detailed drawing set, and design analysis.

1.21. Inspection and Acceptance

The AOR shall determine compliance primarily by visual inspection and review of records as the work is performed.

2. Instructions to Interested Vendors

2.1 Questions and Answers

Any questions/comments regarding this RFS shall be submitted via e-mail submission to the points of contact listed in ‘Section 6. Contact Information’.

It is anticipated the Government will provide a Question & Answer compilation document and/or revised RFS documentation that will be uploaded under the RFS announcement number in SAM.gov as deemed necessary by the Government.

2.2.1 Proposal Submission

Each Offeror shall submit its proposal electronically in accordance with the RFS, consisting of the documentation required per RFS Section 2 (and its sub-sections). Proposals shall be clear, concise, and include sufficient detail for effective evaluation

and validity substantiation of stated claims. Proposals should not simply rephrase or restate the Government requirements but shall provide convincing rationale to address how the Offeror intends to meet these requirements.

Offerors shall assume that the Government has no prior knowledge of its company or the company's potential ability to fulfill the requirements sought in this RFS. Proposals will be evaluated based on the information presented in the Offeror's proposal. For verification purposes, the Government may verify the information provided by contacting the named point(s) of contact identified in the Offeror's Critical Skills and/or Demonstrated Performance volume submission.

2.2.2 Submission via DoD SAFE

Offerors shall submit proposals electronically through DoD SAFE using the drop-off request link received from NoReplyTo@mail.mil, which was generated as a result of completing the required request identified in Section 2.2.1. This is the only acceptable method of proposal submission. Offerors are strongly encouraged to make sure this email address is not on a junk mail senders list to ensure receipt of the link.

The drop-off request email message will indicate the Government point of contact who initiated the request and contain the link, which is only valid for 14 days from the time of the email message. SAFE supports file sizes up to 8.0 GB for uploading. Once the Offeror clicks on the link, it will proceed to the website to submit the proposal. Any difficulties encountered during this process, contact the RFS points of contact.

Once at the DoD SAFE site, manually enter the email addresses of all RFS points of contact listed in Section 6 to ensure all points of contact receive the submission.

Attach all proposal files using the following naming convention: Vendor Name_Vol_#_ W519TC-26-R-ACWP (Example: Company_A_Vol_1_ W519TC-26-R-ACWP).

After including all documents in the Offeror's submission, click "Drop Off Files", which will prompt the Offeror to confirm there is no classified information being transmitted.

Upon confirmation, the website will indicate the drop-off is completed. It will provide a list of all files submitted and contain a request code. The Government will consider a response to be on time or late based on the Offeror's last file "UPLOAD" date/time. All Offerors shall ensure the "UPLOAD" date and time is before the RFS submission date and time (Eastern Time).

After submitting through SAFE, send a separate notification e-mail stating that a response submission was made to the Points of Contact (AO and AS) listed in Section 6 with the following Subject: "Vendor Name Submitted RFS: W519TC-26-R-ACWP"

The body of the note shall be short and include the Offeror's point of contact.

2.3 Proposal Preparation

The Offeror shall prepare the proposal submission as set forth in Table 1.

Table 1 - Proposal File Organization and Page Limits

Contaminated Waste Plant (CWP) Proposal Submission	
Proposal Volume:	**Filename and Page Count**
Volume I, Performance And Pricing (Evaluation Factor 1)	Completion and submission of the following: 1. Performance Portfolio/History = Maximum 10 pages, using the naming convention: VendorName_Vol_1_LCAAP CWP Performance History_ W519TC-26-R-ACWP 2.Price Proposal, using the naming convention: VendorName_Vol_1_LCAAP CWP Price Proposal_ W519TC-26-R-ACWP
Volume II, Technical (Evaluation Factor 2):	**Page Count by Subfactor:** CWP Technical Proposal = Maximum 20 pages. VendorName_Vol_2_Tech_LCAAP CWP Technical Proposal_ W519TC-26-R-ACWP

2.4 Page Limitations

2.4.1 Page limitations cited above are maximums. If exceeded, the excess pages will not be read and will not be considered in the evaluation of the proposal. Table 1 above contains the page limitations.

2.4.2 Page Size and Format

Each volume shall be clearly identified, and the text shall begin at the top of each page. All pages of each volume shall be appropriately numbered and identified by the complete company name, date, and RFS number in the header and/or footer.

Except when specifically addressed in the instructions for an Attachment, the reproduced sections of the RFS document shall be Arial and text size shall be no less than 12 point. Tracking, kerning, and leading values shall not be changed from the default values of the word processing or page layout software. Pages shall be numbered sequentially by volume. For electronic submissions, page limitations shall be calculated as though the document were printed as a hard copy proposal.

Stand Alone Volumes
Each volume shall be written on a stand-alone basis so that its contents may be evaluated with no cross-reference to other volumes of the proposal. Information required for proposal evaluation which is not found in its designated volume will be assumed to have been omitted from the proposal. Within a proposal volume, cross-referencing is permitted where its use would conserve space without impairing clarity.

Pricing Information Location
Pricing information of any kind shall not be included in Volume II – Technical. Pricing information shall only appear in Volume I – Performance and Pricing

Number of Copies and Digital File Format
Proposals shall be submitted in electronic (searchable) format. .xmls files will not be accepted. Self-extracting .exe files, hyperlinks, and ZIP files are not acceptable. The electronic copies of the proposal shall be submitted in a format readable by Microsoft (MS) Office or searchable Adobe Acrobat (pdf). Use separate files to permit rapid location of all portions, including factors, Attachments, exhibits, and annexes, if any.

2.4.3 Proposal Submission Content

Proposals shall address the Government requirements outlined in the RFS and its attachments. The Government reserves the right to reject any proposal that does not comply with the proposal preparation instructions. Each Offeror bears responsibility for submitting its offer by the specified date/time.

2.5 OTA Terms and Conditions

Offererors are encouraged to propose established commercial construction terms that achieve the same risk-mitigation outcomes as the listed FAR clauses below, particularly regarding Disputes and Financial Assurances.

Within the final agreement, as determined during discussions, the Government intends to leverage language in part or in whole from the following FAR clauses as appropriate. Offerors proposal should address any concerns, comments, or recommendations with that intention.

52.246-12 Inspection of Construction
52.236-2 Differing Site Conditions
52.236-23 Responsibility of the Architect-Engineer Contractor
52.228-15 Performance and Payment Bonds-Construction

52.249-10 Default (Fixed-Price Construction)
52.233-1 Disputes
52.249-2 Termination for Convenience of the Government

2.6 Representation and Certification Form (Attachment 0002)

Complete per the instructions on the form. This form, when properly filled out by each Prime (Offeror) and Team member and submitted in response to the RFS, provides the Government with the information required in accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 2028a to determine that the Offeror (and Team members) are eligible to receive an OT Agreement Award.

2.7 Section 889 Certification

As a result of interim FAR rule 2019-009, published on July 14, 2020, and effective on August 13, 2020, the Government is required to implement Section 889(a)(1)(B) Prohibition on Contracting with Entities Using Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment. This requirement is addressed through **FAR Provision 52.204-26, "Covered Telecommunications Equipment or Services—Representation.

To ensure Offeror compliance with this requirement, the Offeror shall provide evidence of their current and active representation in SAM.gov for **FAR Provision 52.204-26**. This representation confirms whether the Offeror does or does not use covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system. The Offeror is required to complete these verifications in SAM.gov prior to proposal submission.

3. Basis for Award

3.1. General Evaluation Process

Each Offeror's proposal will be evaluated in a careful, full, and impartial manner pursuant to the stated criteria of this RFS. The Government's selection decision will be based on an integrated assessment of the proposals submitted in response to this RFS, giving due consideration to all of the Evaluation Factors/Subfactors. The proposal that is determined to be the most beneficial to the Government will be eligible for award.

Offerors are cautioned that award may not necessarily be made to the Offeror that proposes the lowest evaluated cost or the Offeror whose proposal receives the highest rating.

The Government intends on making only one (1) award but reserves the right to make multiple awards or none based on an integrated assessment of the evaluation results.

The Government may contact Offerors during the source selection process to request clarifying information, in the form of Technical Exchanges. The Government reserves

the right to conduct a Pre-Award Survey (Safety and Security) or similar, in order to determine the selected Offeror's responsibility.

The basis for award of an OTA resulting from this RFS will be the result of the evaluation factors specified below.

Volume I, Performance and Pricing (Evaluation Factor 1)

The Offeror shall provide a portfolio of recent, relevant past performance that showcases their success in designing, constructing, and delivering critical facilities in complex, high pressure environments.

Price will be evaluated; however, it will not receive an adjectival rating. The Government will evaluate the offeror's price proposal as part of the evaluation process to assess (1) whether the proposed price is within the available funding limits, and (2) the ability and/or likelihood of the offeror to successfully execute the proposed project with the financial resources proposed. The Government will use price and/or cost analysis techniques in determining the agreed to price is fair and reasonable and that the proposal provides the best value tradeoff. The Government reserves the right to reject proposals that are unreasonably low or high in price.

The total evaluated price will be the sum of all Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs) shown in Table 2 below and will be used in the award determination. The Government will evaluate the Price Proposal submission to determine reasonableness, affordability, allowability, and allocability of the proposed price. Priced CLINs shall be proposed as follows and will be selected as determined by the Government during discussions:

Table 2 – Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs)

CLIN	Description	Supplies/Service	Quantity	Unit	Total Amount
0001A	**Design Execution Strategy**	**AE Services**	**1**	**LS**	**$**
0002	**Contaminated Waste Plant (New Construction)**	**Construction**	**1**	**LS**	**$**
0003	**Building 161 (New Construction)**	**Construction**	**1**	**LS**	**$**

0004	**Building 161 (Legacy Demolition)**	**Construction**	**1**	**LS**	**$**
0005	**Building 160 (Rehabilitation)**	**Construction**	**1**	**LS**	**$**
0006	**Lift Station B160C (Replacement)**	**Construction**	**1**	**LS**	**$**

Volume II, Technical (Evaluation Factor 2)

This Volume shall describe the Offeror's proposed technical approach and solution for meeting the performance and capability requirements specified in this RFS and the SOW, to include its supporting documents.

The Government will evaluate proposals for acceptability based on whether they demonstrate specific knowledge, capability, and approach to perform all aspects of the Contaminated Waste Plant. The Government will assign an overall competitive evaluation merit rating for each eligible proposal. The overall competitive evaluation rating reflects the Government's confidence in an offeror's ability, as demonstrated in its proposal, to meet the stated objective and the potential technical benefit of the effort. The overall competitive evaluation technical ratings are detailed below.

Offerors shall self-perform a minimum of 30% of the construction work. Higher self-performance percentages will be viewed favorably.

Offerors shall be qualified design and/or construction contractors.

Offerors shall be capable of obtaining a performance bond for the full award value and any modifications.

Solutions that closely mirror the information only 100% design or offer novel or new previously unconsidered changes to that design that increase performance or reduce cost or schedule will be viewed more favorably.

Solutions that include options, milestones, phasing or off ramps, particularly in the event of an affordability challenge, will be viewed more favorably.

Solutions that address the nuances of the GOCO environment and account for continuity of production operations will be viewed more favorably, however the USG project delivery team is willing to support a learning curve in the event solutions do not fully address this challenge. Unforeseen coordination challenges will be considered for no cost period of performance increases only.

Solutions that include tangible commitments and explicit deliverables by the offeror that can be evaluated during discussions and counted on during execution will be viewed as more favorable to hollow concepts or superficial commitments.

The Offeror shall describe their experience and approach for the necessary permitting, site preparation, construction, commissioning, and turnover of the fully functional Contaminated Waste Plant facilities for LCAAP to meet delivery schedule requirements of the solicitation.

Miscellaneous Agreement Documentation

Offeror's Cover Letter: The Offeror shall provide a Cover Letter – Page Limit: 2 Pages, and shall include:

- Name for the Prime Company proposed along with the following information: point of contact (name, phone, and e-mail), company address, DUNS, CAGE Code and confirmation for SAM.gov registration for NAICS 325920 – Explosives Manufacturing.
- Table that lists: Proposed Team Member Company Names, DUNS, CAGE and as applicable the status as Small Business Category, Nonprofit Research Institute..
- Statement confirming the proposal is valid for six (6) months after date of submission.
- Authorized Offeror Representative POC(s) with contact information.

3.2 Relative Order of Importance

The Government intends to award an Other Transaction Agreement to the offeror whose proposal represents the best value to the Government, based on a comprehensive assessment of the three evaluation factors outlined in this RFS.

3.3. Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in Proposal Evaluation

Use of AI tools in Support of Evaluations: The Government may employ AI as a tool to assist in the analysis and review of offeror proposals. AI tools may be used to support tasks such as summarizing proposal content, identifying compliance with request for task order proposal / solicitation requirements, and highlighting areas of potential strength, weakness, or risk.

Maintaining Inherently Governmental Functions: Notwithstanding the use of AI tools to support the evaluation process, all final evaluation judgements, including the assignment or assessment of adjectival ratings, best value determinations, and source selection decisions, will be made exclusively by duly appointed Government personnel. The Government retains sole responsibility for all inherently governmental functions and will not delegate decision making authority to any AI tool.

Offeror Confidentiality and Data Protection: The AI tools utilized by the Government will be employed in a manner consistent with applicable regulations that govern the protection of proprietary and source selection sensitive information. The AI tool will be

an output-only system. Any generated report data is not saved. No proposal data will be transmitted to or processed by external, non-Government systems without appropriate safeguards and authorizations in place.

4. RFS Statement of Work (SOW)
Included in Attachment 0001 – CWP Package.zip

5. Other Information

ACC-RIA policy is to treat all submissions as source selection information, and to disclose their contents only for the purpose of evaluation. Restrictive notices notwithstanding, during the evaluation process, submissions may be handled by support contractors for administrative purposes and/or to assist with technical evaluation. All ACC-RIA and DoW support contractors performing this role are expressly prohibited from performing DoW-sponsored technical research and are bound by appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

Offerors are advised that developments arising from the resulting Agreement may constitute a significant enhancement to the national defense, and to the economic vitality of the United States. As such, in the conduct of all work under the anticipated OTA, the recipient will comply strictly with the International Traffic in Arms Regulation (22 CFR 120-130), the National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (DoD 5220.22-M) and the Department of Commerce Export Regulation (15 CFR 730-774).

The total OTA(s) amount will not be obligated at time of award. Funding for the OTA(s) will be obligated when funding is appropriated. Based on the availability of funds, the Government and OTA Awardees may need to renegotiate the schedule, milestones events, and/or the SOW.

6. Contact Information

U.S. Army Contracting Command – Rock Island
3055 Rodman Avenue
Rock Island, IL 61299

Agreements Specialists – Samuel Hall, samuel.r.hall30.civ@army.mil and Kayla Allers, Kaylaline.p.allers.civ@army.mil
Agreements Officer – Emily Sheppard, emily.l.sheppard.civ@army.mil

Be advised, only an Agreements Officer has the authority to enter into a binding agreement on behalf of the Government. He or she will sign the agreement, and only an Agreements Officer has the authority to change the terms of the agreement.

7. Attachments

Attachment	Title	Control
Attachment 0001	CWP Package.zip	Distribution D
Attachment 0002	Representation & Certification	Distribution D