Evaluation Criteria
Asphalt Paving VT Program
Federal Bureau of Prisons

JONATHAN BELIVAKICI
Digitally signed by JONATHAN BELIVAKICI
Date: 2026.05.21 09:59:47 -04'00'

Proposals will be evaluated using the following three factors:

1. Technical
2. Past Performance
3. Price

FACTOR I – TECHNICAL
The Government will evaluate the offeror's technical proposal on an Acceptable/Unacceptable basis. To be determined technically acceptable, the offeror must clearly demonstrate the ability to successfully perform all requirements identified in the Statement of Work.
Failure to provide sufficient detail or documentation may result in the proposal being rated Unacceptable.

Subfactor A – Accreditation and Program Quality

The Government will evaluate the offeror's ability to provide an accredited vocational training program that results in industry-recognized credentials and marketable employment skills.

To be rated Acceptable, the offeror shall provide:

- Proof of institutional accreditation
- Documentation demonstrating the training program falls under the institution's accreditation umbrella
- Evidence the program provides CEUs, certificates, or industry-recognized credentials
- Verification that the institution is recognized in the Department of Education DAPIP database
- Description of how the program prepares students for entry-level asphalt paving employment

Unacceptable Rating

The proposal will be rated Unacceptable if:

- Accreditation documentation is not provided
- The program does not lead to recognized credentials or CEUs
- The offeror cannot demonstrate an employment-focused training outcome

Subfactor B – Instructor Qualifications and Staffing

The Government will evaluate the qualifications and experience of proposed instructor personnel.
To be rated Acceptable, the offeror shall provide:

- Resume(s) for all proposed instructors
- Documentation showing instructors possess at least two valid industry certifications
- Evidence of at least two years of classroom teaching experience
- Description of instructor experience in asphalt milling, paving, heavy equipment operation, or related construction trades
- Identification of qualified alternate instructor personnel

Unacceptable Rating

The proposal will be rated Unacceptable if:

- Instructor qualifications are not adequately documented
- Proposed instructors lack required certifications or teaching experience
- No contingency staffing plan is provided

Subfactor C – Training Curriculum and Technical Approach

The Government will evaluate the offeror's proposed curriculum and instructional methodology to determine whether it adequately supports the objectives of the Statement of Work.

To be rated Acceptable, the offeror shall provide:

- Detailed curriculum outline
- Course schedule and estimated instructional hours
- Description of classroom instruction and hands-on training activities
- Explanation of how hands-on training replicates actual work environments
- Description of how BOP-provided equipment will be incorporated into instruction
- Student testing and grading procedures
- Procedures for attendance tracking and student progress monitoring

The proposal must clearly demonstrate that training includes practical hands-on instruction and is not solely simulation-based.

Unacceptable Rating

The proposal will be rated Unacceptable if:

- Curriculum details are insufficient
- Hands-on instruction is not adequately addressed
- Training methodology does not align with industry standards
- Student evaluation procedures are not identified

Subfactor D – Program Management and Administrative Controls

The Government will evaluate the offeror's ability to effectively manage and administer the vocational training program.

To be rated Acceptable, the offeror shall provide:

- Procedures for student registration and records management
- Process for issuing transcripts and certificates
- Attendance reporting procedures
- Quality control measures to ensure continuity of instruction
- Procedures for coordinating with FCC Yazoo City staff
- Description of employer outreach or participation in mock job fairs

Unacceptable Rating

The proposal will be rated Unacceptable if:

- Administrative procedures are not adequately addressed
- Recordkeeping or reporting procedures are insufficient
- The offeror fails to demonstrate adequate program oversight

FACTOR II – PAST PERFORMANCE

The Government will evaluate the offeror's recent and relevant past performance to assess the likelihood of successful contract performance.

Relevant experience is defined as vocational, occupational, technical, or workforce development training services involving:

- Asphalt paving
- Heavy equipment operation
- Construction trades
- Industrial skills training
- Correctional or institutional educational environments

Offerors shall provide at least three contracts performed within the last five years including:

- Contract number
- Customer name
- Point of contact
- Scope of work
- Period of performance
- Description of services performed

FACTOR III – PRICE

Price will be evaluated for reasonableness.
Award will be made to the lowest priced technically acceptable offeror with satisfactory past performance.