AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
J	1		3

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
0001	14 Jul 2026	See Continuation Sheet	

6. ISSUED BY CODE N00174

NSWC INDIAN HEAD DIVISION
4219 SOUTH PATTERSON ROAD, INDIAN HEAD MD 20640-1533
INDIAN HEAD, MD 20640-1533
UNITED STATES
Diane Hicks, Email: diane.d.hicks.civ@us.navy.mil Telephone: 301-848-3507

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

SCD: PAS:

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE FACILITY CODE

(X)

☒ 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER N0017426R1003

9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)* 17 Jun 2026

☐ 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER

10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended. ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

See Section G - Contract Administration Data

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
☐	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.*)

The purpose of this amendment is to 1) extend the solicitation closing date to 4 August 2026 and 2) answer questions received from industry in response to the solicitation issuance.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
		Ashleigh M. Bowie	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		Ashleigh M. Bowie *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	14 Jul 2026

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Section A - Solicitation/Contract Form

The following changes have been made:

INFORMATION	FROM	TO
Response Due Date	21 Jul 2026	04 Aug 2026

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

The purpose of this amendment is to:

1) Extend the solicitation closing date from 3:00 p.m. EST 21 July 2026 to 3:00 p.m. EST 4 August 2026.

2) Answer the following questions that were received in response to the solicitation issuance

Question 1: Each of these line items appears to be listed as "1 Lot", and we have not identified any associated drawing packages or detailed breakdown of deliverables. Could you please clarify the intent for pricing these CLINs?

Answer 1: Per Section M - Award Criteria, awards will be made to all offerors whose proposal is technically acceptable, as well as acceptable with regard to past performance, in accordance with the Factors set forth in the solicitation. Price will be evaluated at the task/delivery order level only, not at the base award. CLINs should not be priced for the base award.

Question 2: Should each CLIN be priced as a total lump sum based on the scope defined elsewhere in the solicitation (e.g., SOW, exhibits, or attachments)?

Answer 2: Per Section M - Award Criteria, awards will be made to all offerors whose proposal is technically acceptable, as well as acceptable with regards to past performance, in accordance with the Factors set forth in the solicitation. Price will be evaluated at the task/delivery order level only. CLINs should not be priced for the base award.

Question 3: Are there any additional technical packages, drawings, or detailed requirements that will be provided to support pricing?

Answer 3: For the basic award, there are no additional packages, drawings or requirements to be provided outside of the sample TDP(s). Post award, task/delivery orders will be competed among all contract holders, and actual drawings/specifications will be provided at that time for pricing purposes. The Government will evaluate pricing at that point only.

Question 4: Is there an expected level of pricing detail (e.g., cost breakdown, labor categories, or supporting data) to accompany the proposal?

Answer 4: Please see questions 1 and 2 of this amendment regarding pricing. Price will be evaluated at the task/delivery order level only. CLINs should not be priced for the base award.

Question 5: Will the Government please confirm that electronic submission refers to email submission to the referenced POCs, Contract Specialist Diane Hicks and Procuring Contracting Officer Ashleigh Bowie?

Answer 5: Yes, electronic submission refers to email submission to the referenced POCs, Contract Specialist Diane Hicks and Procuring Contracting Officer Ashleigh Bowie.

Question 6: Will the Government please confirm that only the Technical Volume needs to be submitted for each Task Area the offeror is proposing to and that the one Past Performance volume will be applied to all task areas?

Answer 6: Confirmed. Offerors are required to submit a separate Technical Volume for each specific Task Area they are proposing to perform. A single Past Performance Volume is acceptable, but should reference the appropriate task area(s) if the Offeror is proposing on more than one task.

Question 7: Because some of the task area TDPs are marked For Official Use Only, should they be considered CUI, and as such do any of our Technical Volumes need to be marked CUI if the Volume does not include any of the drawings?

Answer 7: Technical volumes do not need to be marked CUI if the volume does not include the drawings.

Question 8: Due to the July 4 federal holiday and the requirement to submit each task area as a separate proposal, we respectfully request that the Government consider a two-week extension to the submission deadline.

Answer 8: The submission deadline will be extended from 21 July 2026 to 4 August 2026 3:00 p.m. EST.

Question 9: The solicitation states that Offerors should provide three past performance references, if available, and submit a Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) for references that do not have a CPARS record. If an Offeror is proposing multiple task areas under this solicitation, does the Government require three (3) total PPQs for the proposal, or three (3) PPQs for each task area being proposed?

Answer 9: Per Section L Instructions, Conditions, & Notices to Offerors or Quoters of the solicitation, Offerors are required to submit a single Past Performance Volume (Volume 2) that is comprehensive for their entire proposal, regardless of the number of task areas being proposed. This volume should contain a total of three (3) recent and relevant past performance references, if available. The requirement is for three references for the proposal as a whole, not three references for each individual task area.

Question 10: Please confirm whether offerors may submit a proposal limited to a specific assembly/part/TDP within a task area, or whether offerors must propose for the full task area and then compete individual delivery/task orders after base IDIQ award.

Answer 10: Specific assembly/part/TDP is allowed, however, award will be made to offerors who are able to support the task area in full.

Question 11: If our CMMC status has expired and we are currently in the process of getting CMMC Level 2 certified, can we still bid on this solicitation?

Answer 11: Offerors may submit a proposal if their certification is currently expired or in progress. However, to be eligible for contract award, the offeror must meet the specific level stated in the solicitation.

Question 12: How will the Government evaluate past performance for a newly established startup organization that has not previously performed a Department of Defense prime contract? Specifically, will the Government consider the directly relevant past performance and experience of the proposed key personnel and management team in lieu of corporate-level past performance?

Answer 12: In accordance with Section M Evaluation Factors for Award, Factor 2 of the solicitation, "For an offeror without a record of relevant corporate past performance, or for whom information on past performance is not available or is so sparse that no meaningful rating can be assigned, the offeror will receive a rating of "Unknown Past Performance." As stated in FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iv) and detailed in Section M of the RFP, a rating of "Unknown Past Performance" is considered "Acceptable" for the purpose of this evaluation factor and will not be a basis for determining the offeror favorably or unfavorably."