PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE
Solicitation No. FA301026R0010

Please complete this questionnaire and email to: alicia.bowman@us.af.mil

We are currently preparing our proposal for the 81st Contracting Squadron, Keesler AFB, MS, Solicitation Number: FA301026R0010 for renovating Stennis Hall. As part of our proposal, we have listed you as a reference on our performance for this type of service with your agency or company under the previous or ongoing contract listed below. Your input on our performance is therefore requested.

This Questionnaire is due to Alicia Bowman no later than 09:00am CDT on 27 August 2026. The Questionnaire must be submitted directly to the Contracting Officer from a corporate or government email account.

If you will be unavailable on 27 August 2026, for verification (if necessary) of this past performance evaluation, please indicate another point of contact (POC) for the Contracting Officer to contact.

POC/Alternate POC Name:	
POC/Alternate POC Phone Number:	
POC/Alternate POC Email Address:	

Name of Offeror:	
Phone Number:	
Email Address:	

CONTRACT REFERENCED	
Contract Number (including Task Order number if applicable):	
Contract Value (including all modifications):	
Period of Performance:	
Name of Person Completing Questionnaire:	
Agency/Company:	
Title:	
Phone:	
Email:	

Brief Description of Work Required in the Referenced Contract:	

EVALUATION

Please rate the contractor utilizing the guide below. Explanatory narratives giving specific details would be appreciated. Attach additional pages if more space is needed. Evaluation Definitions: The following definitions should be used in assessing Contractor performance.

Exceptional:	Performance EXCEEDS MANY contractual requirements. Performance being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.
Very Good:	Performance EXCEEDS SOME contractual requirements. Performance being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.
Satisfactory:	Performance MEETS contractual requirements. Performance being evaluated contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.
Marginal:	Performance MEETS SOME contractual requirements. Performance reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginal effective or were not fully implemented.
Unsatisfactory:	Performance DOES NOT MEET contractual requirements. Performance contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.
Not Applicable (N/A):	Performance information is not recent or relevant as defined in the solicitation. Unable to provide assessment.

PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

QUALITY

What is your assessment of the Contractor's ability to meet work requirements and the overall quality of the work?

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	N/A
Quality of Work						

Comments:

SCHEDULE

What is your assessment of the Contractor's ability to provide end-products timely?

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	N/A
Timeliness						
Ability to Resolve Timeliness Problems						

Comments:

MANAGEMENT

What is your assessment of the Contractor's ability to manage all aspects of the work?

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	N/A
Managing Work Aspects						

Comments:

REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

What is your assessment of the Contractor's ability to meet all regulatory requirements? Examples would include safety regulations, Davis-Bacon Act and other labor standards.

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	N/A
Complying with Regulations						

Comments:

OVERALL RATING OF CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE

What is your overall rating of the Contractor's performance on the contract being assessed.

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory

General Comments: