AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
	1		3

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
0001	07/16/2026		

6. ISSUED BY CODE WAD-NWS

WAD-NWS
7600 SAND POINT WAY NE BLDG 1
SEATTLE WA 98115

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE | FACILITY CODE

(X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER
1305M326Q0300

[X] 9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)*
07/16/2026

[ ] 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER

10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[X] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [ ] is extended. [X] is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
[ ]	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
[ ]	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
[ ]	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
[ ]	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not [ ] is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

See continuation page

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
		CASEY MORRISON	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		*(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	

The purpose of Amendment 0001 is to provide responses to the questions received to date. While this amendment precedes the question deadline, it contains critical information that the Government is releasing immediately for all interested parties. Should additional questions be submitted, a subsequent amendment will be issued.

Question 1: Please confirm the PM and Superintendent can dual-hat and be the same person as long as that individual is on-site when work is ongoing.
Government Answer: The Prime Contractor Project Manager and Site Superintendent can be the same person. An assigned individual designated as the Site Superintendent must be onsite during the performance of all contractor work.

Question 2: Please confirm proposals are required to be valid for 90 days from the RFQ due date. The solicitation mentions 60 days in RFO 52.212-1(b) Section 6, but this seems to be standard language. The project specific language seems to require a 90 day period. Please confirm.
Government Answer: Yes, Quotes need to be valid for 90 days from the RFQ due date submission.

Question 3: The RFQ specifies a Period of Performance of 365 calendar days. Since a schedule is required with the proposal, are we able to show an overall duration of less than 365 days? Please confirm a schedule with a duration of less than 365 days will not be considered a deficient proposal.
Government Answer: Yes. A proposed schedule with an overall duration of less than 365 calendar days is acceptable and will not be considered deficient, provided the schedule is realistic and achievable. The submitted project schedule—including the proposed progress milestones and completion percentages used for invoicing—must demonstrate a logical and reasonable execution plan and be in accordance with the RFQ Instructions.

Question 4: The RFQ documents contain a potential conflict for the lightning protection system. SOW §§ 2.2.9 and 2.3.13 direct temporary removal and re-installation of existing LPS cables and air terminals, while SOW § 2.6.7 directs installation of new cables and air terminals 'as necessary,' and NWSM 30-4106 § 2.7.1 states all equipment should be new. Please confirm whether the Government intends (a) reuse of existing LPS components where serviceable, with new components only where existing ones cannot be salvaged, or (b) a complete new LPS system and installation.
Government Answer: The Government intends reuse of existing LPS components where serviceable, with new components only where existing ones cannot be salvaged,; after re-installation, a system certification is required.

Question 5: The RFQ states pre-bid site visits by prospective offerors are NOT required. Since this is a Low Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) acquisition, we are concerned that not having all bidders go on a site visit may inadvertently result in lower pricing from a bidder who does not visit the site as they will not be aware of things like all of the roof top equipment, appurtenances, conduit, etc that has to be removed and relocated or replaced. As such, that prospective bidder will not price that effort, which could result in the difference in being the lowest overall price. While the government may receive a lower overall initial price, this issue will likely result in missed scope that may result in budget and schedule issues for the winning bidder and thus potential budget recovery (changes) efforts during performance of the work. In light of the aforementioned issue, we would request that a site visit be mandatory for any prospective Prime contractors to ensure all prospective bidders are pricing the same scope of work. Since this is LPTA and lowest price will be awarded the contract, we are just trying to ensure the field is level and the lowest price isn’t a result of the prime with the least understanding of

the level of effort required.
Government Answer: A site visit will be required for any prospective PRIME contractors to ensure all prospective bidders are pricing the same scope of work. All vendors will be required to sign-in to ensure that all quoters did complete a site visit as required by this RFQ. To schedule a site visit, please contact Joe Pisel, 631-456-9072, (joe.pisel@noaa.gov).

--End of Amendment 0001--