Request for Solutions (RFS)

For

Modernized Bioplant Facility at Radford Army Ammunition Plant (RFAAP)

For

Government Fiscal Year 2026

Request for Solutions Number: W519TC-26-R-BIOR

Issued by:
Army Contracting Command – Rock Island Arsenal (ACC-RIA)

In Support of:
Project Lead Joint Services (PL JS)

Issue Date: 31 JUL 2026

Interest & Question Submittal Deadline: 7 AUG 2026 / 1500CDT

Proposal Due Date/Time: 20 August 2026 / 1600CT

1. Background/Terms and Conditions

1.1. Purpose

The overarching goal is for the offeror(s) to develop design and construction of a wastewater treatment process and deliver a completed solution to the Government.

Follow-On Contracts or Transactions (Phase II): In accordance with the authority of 10 U.S.C. § 2808a, the potential award of a future Other Transaction may result in the award of a follow-on contract or transaction for site preparation and construction without the use of competitive procedures, provided that the initial transaction was competitively awarded, the participant(s) successfully completed the project, and the follow-on is for the same or similar scope of work. Therefore, upon determination that the participant(s) in the transaction successfully completed the design project provided for in the transaction, or in the event that a particularly favorable or unexpected result is accomplished that justifies transition to production, this design project may result in the award of a follow-on contract for advancement to Issue for Construction (IFC) status, site preparation, construction, commissioning, and transition without the use of competitive procedures.

For more information regarding Other Transaction (OT) regulations and policies, please refer to the DoD, Other Transactions Guide, Version 2.0, dated July 2023. A copy of the Guide can be obtained by contacting the RFS Points of Contact listed in Section 8.

Phase I: 24 months

Engineering & Design: Confirm technical parameters (design Charrette), identify and validate the optimal treatment technology (via a Pilot), generate complete incremental design packages (30%, 90%), baseline system requirements, and finalize a secure site outside the floodplain.

Permitting & Procurement of Long Lead Equipment (LLE): Secure all necessary permits and approvals from VDEQ and other regulatory bodies to ensure lawful construction and operation; prepare and submit the Explosive Site Safety Plan (ESSP). Place orders for any long lead equipment (LLE), if necessary.

Phase II: 26 months

IFC & Construction: Bring design to Issue for Construction (IFC) level producing Professional Engineer (PE)-sealed IFC specifications, prepare site and utilities for construction, construct the facility to the IFC specifications.

Commissioning & Transition: Conduct rigorous phased commissioning (inert and live trials), and provide a fully operational, turnkey facility for final handoff to the Operating Contractor.

1.2. Background

Constructed in the 1940s, Radford Army Ammunition Plant (RFAAP) is a critical national security asset, serving as the last remaining CONUS Government-owned facility capable of manufacturing nitrocellulose (NC), nitroglycerin (NG), single-base, multi-base, and solventless propellants. The industrial wastewater generated by these manufacturing processes contains complex chemical components—including solvents and propellant residues (solid, dissolved, or suspended energetic materials)—which must be rigorously treated prior to discharge into the New River.

The legacy RFAAP Bioplant, constructed in the 1980s, is significantly past its useful service life and acts as a single point of failure for plant operations. Crucially, the facility is located within the 100-year floodplain, exposing it to severe operational risks, as demonstrated by an emergency shutdown during Hurricane Helene in 2024. Due to these critical vulnerabilities, project funding has been accelerated to FY26.

Furthermore, the aging facility is increasingly incapable of consistently treating the plant's evolving wastewater streams. RFAAP discharge is strictly regulated by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (VDEQ) via a Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (VPDES) permit under the Clean Water Act. Recently, the legacy plant has suffered a high rate of compliance failure, registering 14 permit violations across three separate incidents within a 16-month period. These violations primarily resulted from an inability to adequately process Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), and failures in Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing.

1.3. Other Transaction Authority

This RFS is for a contemplated OTA that, if awarded, will be awarded in accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 2028a, Facility construction or repair: transactions other than contracts and grants.

In addition, Offerors must have a Dun and Bradstreet (DUNS) number and must register in the System for Award Management (SAM) to obtain a Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code. This system verifies identity and ensures that payment is sent to the right party. In general, to invoice and receive payment after award of an OTA, Offerors must register in Wide Area Work Flow (WAWF) through the Procurement Integrated Enterprise Environment (PIEE).

The Offeror is subject to a determination by the Agreements Officer that it is a responsible party that is not suspended or debarred by the Federal Government, and is not prohibited by Presidential Executive Order, or law from receiving such award. Additionally, Offerors who attempt to circumvent the eligibility requirements/conditions may be excluded from consideration at the Agreements Officer’s discretion. Throughout the period of performance of any OTA, the Government will actively monitor the award to ensure compliance with this provision in accordance with the implementation guidance from Headquarters – Department of the Army and/or the Office of the Secretary of Defense. Offerors will be given the opportunity to become

compliant with the guidance should they be found non-compliant. Failure to comply may result in termination.

1.4. Agreement Type

Subject to availability of funds, the Government may award a Firm-Fixed Price OTA for one or both phases of the project. The Government may opt to make no awards, or re-open the RFS at a later period if the submissions are insufficient in quality or fail to meet the intent of the RFS.

1.5. Period of Performance

The period of performance is anticipated to be 24 months for Phase I, and 26 months for Phase II.

1.6. Place of Performance

Radford Army Ammunition Plant (RFAAP)

1.7. Milestone Payment and Deliverables

Should an award be made at a future date following the issuance of an RFS, Milestone payments will be made in accordance with the negotiated agreement resulting from this RFS. Acceptance criteria for each milestone will be approved/disapproved by the Agreements Officer Representative (AOR) within 14 calendar days of receipt from the contractor. Once the Government has determined the milestone has been successfully completed and provided notification of approval, the contractor may invoice through Wide Area Workflow (WAWF)/Procurement Integrated Enterprise Environment (PIEE).

1.8. Intellectual Property/Data Rights

Refer to attachment 1, Statement of Work.

1.9. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Any sensitive documents or other proprietary data submitted by non-Government parties in response to a future RFS or OTA award shall be marked with a restrictive legend. The Government will follow its FOIA procedures, including submitter notice, in the event that any person requests sensitive or proprietary data which belongs to a non-Government party.

1.10. Accounting System Requirements

Prior to the award on OTA pursuant to a future RFS, the contractor shall ensure that appropriate arrangements have been made for receiving, distributing and accounting for Federal funds under this agreement. Consistent with this stipulation, an acceptable accounting system will be one in which all cash receipts and disbursements are controlled and documented properly and which is capable of generating a cost element summary.

1.11. Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT)

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to a future RFS, the Government shall make all payments under this contract by EFT. The Government will make payment to the contractor using the EFT information contained in the SAM. In the event that the EFT information changes, the contractor shall be responsible for providing the updated information to the SAM.

1.12. Electronic Invoicing

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to a future RFS, the WAWF/PIEE system is the method to electronically process vendor payment requests and receiving reports. To access WAWF/PIEE, the contractor shall have a designated electronic business point of contact in the SAM and be registered to use WAWF. Step-by-step procedures for self-registration in WAWF/PIEE is available at https://piee.eb.mil/xhtml/unauth/help/helpcontractors.xhtml.

1.13. Use of Funds and Comptroller General Access

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to a future RFS, all funds are to be used only for costs that a reasonable and prudent person would incur in carrying out this OTA. To the extent required by 10 U.S.C. § 2028a, the Comptroller General shall be permitted to examine the records of any party to resulting agreement.

1.14. Limitation of Government Liability

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to a future RFS, claims for damages of any nature whatsoever pursued under this agreement shall be limited to direct damages only up to the aggregate amount of Government funding disbursed as of the time the dispute arises. In no event shall the Government be liable for claims for consequential, punitive, special, and incidental damages, claims for lost profits, or other indirect damages.

1.15. Disputes

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to a future RFS, any dispute arising under or relating to this Other Transaction Agreement (OTA) shall be resolved in accordance with the procedures set forth herein. The Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. Chapter 71) does not apply to this OTA.

1.16. Foreign Involvement

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to a future RFS, for the entirety of a potential future agreement, and in accordance with the 2018 Unclassified National Defense Strategy (NDS) which articulates the threat from foreign predatory economics and inter-state strategic competitions that are the primary threats to US security, the USG will restrict foreign participation, access and transfers. Any proposed foreign participation, access or transfer will require Government notification and concurrence on a case-by-case basis prior to initiating any work effort.

1.17. Non-US Research Programs

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to a future RFS, for the entirety of a potential future agreement, the USG will restrict direct or indirect participation, collaboration, communication or acceptance of funding with non-US research programs, such as the Thousand Talent Program (TTP), even in the case the activity is conducted with and/or through a US citizen, entity or company. Any proposed non-US research program involvement will require Government notification and concurrence on a case-by-case basis prior to initiating any work effort.

1.18. Security

In the event of an award of an OTA pursuant to a future RFS, the potential he security classification level for this effort is UNCLASSIFIED and shall remain UNCLASSIFIED for the life of the agreement.

1.19. Safety

Refer to attachment 1, Statement of Work.

1.20. Inspection and Acceptance

The AOR shall determine compliance primarily by visual inspection and review of records as the work is performed.

2. Instructions to Interested Vendors

2.1 Questions and Answers

Any questions/comments regarding this RFS shall be submitted via e-mail submission to the points of contact and refer to specific text of the RFS in the following format:

E-mail Subject: Vendor Name Questions/Comments RFS No. W519TC-26-R-BIOR.

Questions/Comments will be formatted as depicted in Table 1 below to include:

- Each Question/Comment shall be on one row.
- Offerors shall NOT INSERT BLANK ROWS between each Question/Comment
- Column headings shall appear at the top of each page when printing.
- Questions and Comments shall be submitted as a MS Excel file (.xlsx) attachment with file naming convention: VendorName_Qs_RFS_ W519TC-26-R-BIOR.xlsx

Table 1 – Questions and Comment Format

Offeror Company Name:				
Item #	Government File Name	Page #	Para # / Line #	Question/Comment

1.	Insert Government File Name here	##	##	Insert question/comment here

The Government will only accept written questions/comments to ensure that all Offeror inquiries have been addressed in advance of the proposal due date/time. This limitation excludes any Technical Exchanges that may be initiated by the Government, if deemed necessary after the proposal submission due date.

It is anticipated the Government will provide a Question & Answer compilation document and/or revised RFS documentation that will be uploaded under the future RFS announcement number in SAM.gov as deemed necessary by the Government.

2.2.1 Future Proposal Submission: Each Offeror shall submit its proposal electronically in accordance with the RFS, consisting of the documentation required per RFS Section 2 (and its sub-sections). Proposals shall be clear, concise, and include sufficient detail for effective evaluation and validity substantiation of stated claims. Proposals should not simply rephrase or restate the Government requirements but shall provide convincing rationale to address how the Offeror intends to meet these requirements.

Offerors shall assume that the Government has no prior knowledge of its company or the company's potential ability to fulfill the requirements sought in this RFS. Proposals will be evaluated based on the information presented in the Offeror's proposal. For verification purposes, the Government may verify the information provided by contacting the named point(s) of contact identified in the Offeror's Critical Skills and/or Demonstrated Performance volume submission.

2.2.2 Submission via DoD SAFE

Offerors shall submit proposals electronically through DoD SAFE using the drop-off request link received from NoReplyTo@mail.mil, which was generated as a result of completing the required request identified in Section 2.2.1. This is the only acceptable method of proposal submission. Offerors are strongly encouraged to make sure this email address is not on a junk mail senders list to ensure receipt of the link.

The drop-off request email message will indicate the Government point of contact who initiated the request and contain the link, which is only valid for 14 days from the time of the email message. SAFE supports file sizes up to 8.0 GB for uploading. Once the Offeror clicks on the link, it will proceed to the website to submit the proposal. Any difficulties encountered during this process, contact the RFS points of contact.

Once at the DoD SAFE site, manually enter the email addresses of all RFS points of contact listed in Section 8 to ensure all points of contact receive the submission.

Attach all proposal files using the following naming convention: Vendor Name_Vol_#_ W519TC-26-R-BIOR (Example: Company_A_Vol_1_ W519TC-26-R-BIOR).

After including all documents in the Offeror's submission, click "Drop Off Files", which will prompt the Offeror to confirm there is no classified information being transmitted.

Upon confirmation, the website will indicate the drop-off is completed. It will provide a list of all files submitted and contain a request code. The Government will consider a response to be on time or late based on the Offeror's last file "UPLOAD" date/time. All Offerors shall ensure the "UPLOAD" date and time is before the RFS submission date and time (Eastern Time).

After submitting through SAFE, send a separate notification e-mail stating that a response submission was made to the Points of Contact (AO and AS) listed above in Table 1 with the following Subject: "Vendor Name Submitted RFS: W519TC-26-R-BIOR"

The body of the note shall be short and include the Offeror's point of contact.

2.3 Proposal Preparation: The Offeror shall prepare the proposal submission as set forth in Table 2.

Table 2 –Proposal File Organization and Page Limits

Bioplant Proposal Submission	
Proposal Volume:	**Filename and Page Count**
Volume I, Technical (Evaluation Factor 1): -Bioplant Specialized Experience to include Design & Construction of Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility	**Page Count by Subfactor:** Bioplant Technical Proposal = Maximum 20 pages. VendorName_Vol_1_Tech_RFAAP Bioplant Technical Proposal_ W519TC-26-R-BIOR
Volume II, Schedule (Evaluation Factor 2):	Maximum 5 pages, File name: VendorName_Vol_2_RFAAP Bioplant Schedule_ W519TC-26-R-BIOR
Volume III, Price (Evaluation Factor 3):	Completion and submission of the following: 1.Price Proposal, using the naming convention:

	VendorName_Vol_3_RFAAP Bioplant Price Proposal_ W519TC-26-R-BIOR
Volume IV, Miscellaneous Agreement Documentation 1. Offeror Cover Letter 2. Completed Reps and Cert (Reference Attachment 2)	Return with File name: VendorName_Vol_4_RFAAP Bioplant Docs_ W519TC-26-R-BIOR.pdf

2.4 Page Limitations

2.4.1 Page limitations cited above are maximums. If exceeded, the excess pages will not be read and will not be considered in the evaluation of the proposal. Table 2 above contains the page limitations.

2.4.2 Page Size and Format

Two Page Formats are defined:

Format 1: Each face of an 8.5 x 11 inches sheet of paper, with the digital submission viewed in print format.
Format 2: Each face of an 8.5 x 14 inches sheet of paper, with the digital submission viewed in print format. This format is designated for .xlsx Attachments and diagrams or images only.

Each volume shall be clearly identified, and the text shall begin at the top of each page. All pages of each volume shall be appropriately numbered and identified by the complete company name, date, and RFS number in the header and/or footer.

Format 1: Adobe or Microsoft Word (.pdf or .docx file extensions) files shall use the following page set-up parameters:
Margins (Top, Bottom, Left, Right) = 1.0 inch
From Edge Header, Footer = 0.5 inches
Page Size, Width = 8.5 inches
Page Size, Height = 11 inches
Paragraphs = Separated by at least one blank line

Format 2: Microsoft Excel (.xlsx file extensions) and diagram or image files (.pdf) shall use the following page set-up parameters:
Margins (Top, Bottom, Left, Right) = 1.0 inch
From Edge Header, Footer = 0.5 inches
Page Size, Width (Maximum) = 11 inches
Page Size, Height (Maximum) = 14 inches

Except when specifically addressed in the instructions for an Attachment, the reproduced sections of the RFS document shall be Arial and text size shall be no less than 12 point. Tracking, kerning, and leading values shall not be changed from the default values of the word processing or page layout software. Pages shall be numbered sequentially by volume. For electronic submissions, page limitations shall be calculated as though the document were printed as a hard copy proposal.

Tables, Charts, Graphs, and Figures
These displays shall be uncomplicated, legible, and where they exceed one page, shall follow Section 2.3.2 Page Size standards. For tables, charts, graphs and figures, the text shall be no smaller than 10 point font size. For electronic submissions, page limitations shall be calculated as though the document were printed as a hard copy proposal. For diagrams and images only – font and sizes may be different (as small as 8) but must be legible.

Glossary/Acronym List
Each non-price volume shall contain a Glossary/Acronym List of all abbreviations/acronyms used and a definition for each within that volume. Glossaries of abbreviations and acronyms do not count against the page limitations for their respective volumes.

Stand Alone Volumes
Each volume shall be written on a stand-alone basis so that its contents may be evaluated with no cross-reference to other volumes of the proposal. Information required for proposal evaluation which is not found in its designated volume will be assumed to have been omitted from the proposal. Within a proposal volume, cross-referencing is permitted where its use would conserve space without impairing clarity.

Pricing Information Location
Pricing information of any kind shall not be included in Volume I – Technical, Volume II – Schedule, and Volume IV –Miscellaneous Agreement Documents; it shall only appear in Volume III - Cost/Price Factor.

Number of Copies and Digital File Format
Proposals shall be submitted in electronic (searchable) format and organized as defined in 2.3.2. Self-extracting .exe files, hyperlinks, and ZIP files are not acceptable. The electronic copies of the proposal shall be submitted in a format readable by Microsoft (MS) Office or searchable Adobe Acrobat (pdf). Use separate files to permit rapid location of all portions, including factors, Attachments, exhibits, and annexes, if any.

2.4.3 Proposal Submission Content

Proposals shall address the Government requirements outlined in the RFS and its attachments. The Government reserves the right to reject any proposal that does not comply with the proposal preparation instructions. Each Offeror bears responsibility for submitting its offer by the specified date/time.

Volume I, Technical – Bioplant Specialized Experience (Evaluation Factor 1)

This Volume shall describe the Offeror's proposed technical approach and solution for meeting the performance and capability requirements specified in this RFS and the SOW, to include its supporting documents. Responses will be evaluated as defined in Section 3 - Basis for Award.

Bioplant Specialized Experience

The Offeror shall provide a description of the proposed technology for industrial wastewater treatment of RFAAP influent, to include complex chemical components, solvents and propellant residues. The Offeror shall describe the necessary prototyping, pilot studies, design, processes, equipment, facilities, and process controls required to implement the technology to treat RFAAP wastewater. The Offeror may include text, photos, illustrations, and model/drawing extracts. The Offeror shall demonstrate a clear understanding of System Engineering (SE) principles in their design process, including compliance with all SE design milestones.

The Offeror shall address the current maturity, risks and opportunities, schedule drivers, and performance trade-offs for their selected wastewater treatment technology. The Offeror shall outline a design approach for treating an assumed 1.5 million gallons per day (MGD) with a surge capacity of up to 2.5 MGD, encompassing current and projected waste streams, while maintaining environmental compliance and reasonable maintenance requirements.

The Offeror shall describe their experience and approach for the necessary permitting, site preparation, construction, commissioning, and turnover of the fully functional Bioplant facility for RFAAP to meet delivery schedule requirements of the solicitation.

Volume II, Schedule (Evaluation Factor 2)

An Integrated Master Schedule (IMS) shall be provided that details all key design processes, permitting, construction, and commissioning activities. The IMS shall include all key activities, milestones, incremental and final reviews required for progressing the project from the design to the turnover of the facility to operations. The Offeror shall account for Government review times in their schedule. The IMS shall be complemented by an associated organizational chart.

The Offeror shall provide a detailed narrative accompanying the IMS. This narrative will describe the relationship and resources required to complete each key Milestone, with associated mitigations.

The IMS shall be submitted in printed form and in a Microsoft Office Project 2013 file; and does not count toward the page limit. The Government will evaluate exclusively on the contents of the printed IMS submission.

Volume III, Price (Evaluation Factor 3)

The Company shall propose the total price to complete each phase of the project and shall provide any other data or supporting information that the parties agree is necessary for the determination of a fair and reasonable price. The Government reserves the right to request additional cost or price data if required to support the fair and reasonable price determination.

Volume IV, Miscellaneous Agreement Documentation

Offeror's Cover Letter: The Offeror shall provide a Cover Letter – Page Limit: 2 Pages, and shall include:

- Name for the Prime Company proposed along with the following information: point of contact (name, phone, and e-mail), company address, DUNS, CAGE Code and confirmation for SAM.gov registration for NAICS 325920 – Explosives Manufacturing.
- Table that lists: Proposed Team Member Company Names, DUNS, CAGE and as applicable the status as Small Business Category, Nonprofit Research Institute..
- Statement confirming the proposal is valid for six (6) months after date of submission.
- Authorized Offeror Representative POC(s) with contact information.

2.5 Representation and Certification Form (Attachment 2)

Complete per the instructions on the form. This form, when properly filled out by each Prime (Offeror) and Team member and submitted in response to the RFS, provides the Government with the information required in accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 2028a to determine that the Offeror (and Team members) are eligible to receive an OT Agreement Award.

2.6 Section 889 Certification

As a result of interim FAR rule 2019-009, published on July 14, 2020, and effective on August 13, 2020, the Government is required to implement Section 889(a)(1)(B) Prohibition on Contracting with Entities Using Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment. This requirement is addressed through **FAR Provision 52.204-26, "Covered Telecommunications Equipment or Services—Representation.

To ensure Offeror compliance with this requirement, the Offeror shall provide evidence of their current and active representation in SAM.gov for **FAR Provision 52.204-26**. This representation confirms whether the Offeror does or does not use covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system. The Offeror is required to complete these verifications in SAM.gov prior to proposal submission.

3. Basis for Award

3.1. General Evaluation Process

Each Offeror's proposal will be evaluated in a careful, full, and impartial manner pursuant to the stated criteria of this RFS. The Government's selection decision will be based on an integrated assessment of the proposals submitted in response to this RFS, giving due consideration to all of the Evaluation Factors/Subfactors. The proposal that are determined to be the most beneficial to the Government will be eligible for award.

Offerors are cautioned that award may not necessarily be made to the Offeror that proposes the lowest evaluated cost or the Offeror whose proposal receives the highest rating.

The Government intends on making only one (1) award but reserves the right to make multiple awards or none based on an integrated assessment of the evaluation results.

The Government may contact Offerors during the source selection process to request clarifying information, in the form of Technical Exchanges. The Government reserves the right to conduct a Pre-Award Survey (Safety and Security) or similar, in order to determine the selected Offeror's responsibility.

The basis for award of an OTA resulting from this RFS will be the result of the evaluation factors specified below.

3.2. Evaluation Factors

The Government will use the following evaluation factors to evaluate each proposal submitted in response to this RFS:

Factor 1 – Technical – Bioplant Specialized Experience
Factor 2 – Schedule
Factor 3 – Price

Volume I: Factor 1 – Technical – Bioplant Specialized Experience

The Government will evaluate the effectiveness, feasibility, and comprehensiveness of the Offeror's proposed wastewater treatment technology. This includes evaluating the methodology for prototyping/piloting and the ability to process 1.5 MGD (with 2.5 MGD surge) of complex RFAAP influent (solvents, propellant residues).

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's demonstrated integration of Systems Engineering (SE) principles throughout the design milestones.

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's realistic assessment of their chosen technology's current maturity level. The evaluation will focus on the Offeror's ability to accurately identify risks, opportunities, schedule drivers, and performance trade-offs.

The Government will evaluate the realism, completeness, and historical success of the Offeror's approach to the physical execution of the project. This includes evaluating the strategies for site preparation, navigating complex environmental permitting, physical construction, multi-phased commissioning, and final turnover to the Operating Contractor, all within the mandated delivery schedule.

Volume II: Factor 2 – Schedule

The Government will evaluate the extent to which the Offeror's IMS will successfully meet the program requirements and delivery dates. The Government's evaluation will consider the completeness of the IMS events and associated descriptions, the IMS logic and structure, critical path(s), design/build approach, and the Offeror's provided risk assessment of the IMS and associated assumptions and justifications. The Government will evaluate the extent to which the Offeror clearly documented all key design processes, equipment selection, permitting, construction, commissioning, transition, and other events key to the RFS. The Government will evaluate if the IMS sufficiently addressed all government incremental and final reviews required for progression including lead-time for preparations, reviews, and action item resolution. The Government will also evaluate if the Offeror's organizational chart sufficiently details the relationship between the Offeror's various organizational elements including subcontractor/sub-vendor and the Government.

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's detailed narrative accompanying the IMS, to include the relationship and resources required to complete each key milestone, with associated mitigations.

Volume III – Factor 3 – Price

The total evaluated price will be the sum of all Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs) shown in Table 3 below and will be used in the award determination which will be the total proposed price, less any proposed Cost Share. The Government will evaluate the Price Proposal submission to determine reasonableness, allowability, and allocability of the proposed price and Cost Share, if proposed. Priced CLINs shall be proposed as follows:

Table 3 – Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs)

CLIN	Description	Supplies/Service	Due Date	Quantity	Unit	Total Amount
0001A	**Requirements & Technology Charrette**	**Service**	**90 Days ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**

0001B	**Pilot/ Study**	**Service**	**15 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**
0001C	**30% Design Package**	**Supply**	**15 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**
0001D	**Explosive Site Safety Plan (ESSP)**	**Service**	**15 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**
0001E	**Environmental & Construction Permitting**	**Service**	**24 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**
0001F	**90%/IFB Design Package**	**Supply**	**20 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**
0002A	**Site Preparation**	**Supply**	**30 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**
0002B	**Issue for Construction (IFC) Package**	**Supply**	**24 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**
0002C	**Complete Construction**	**Supply**	**48 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**
0002D	**Commissioning & Transition**	**Service**	**60 Months ACA**	**1**	**EA**	**$**

3.3. Relative Order of Importance

The Government intends to award an Other Transaction Agreement to the offeror whose proposal represents the best value to the Government, based on a comprehensive assessment of the three evaluation factors outlined in this RFS.

4. RFS Statement of Work (SOW)

Reference Attachment 1 – Statement of Work

5. Other Information

ACC-RIA policy is to treat all submissions as source selection information, and to disclose their contents only for the purpose of evaluation. Restrictive notices notwithstanding, during the evaluation process, submissions may be handled by support contractors for administrative purposes and/or to assist with technical evaluation. All ACC-RIA and DoD support contractors performing this role are expressly prohibited from performing DoD-sponsored technical research and are bound by appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

Offerors are advised that developments arising from the resulting Agreement may constitute a significant enhancement to the national defense, and to the economic vitality of the United States. As such, in the conduct of all work under the anticipated OTA, the recipient will comply strictly with the International Traffic in Arms Regulation (22 CFR 120-130), the National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (DoD 5220.22-M) and the Department of Commerce Export Regulation (15 CFR 730-774).

The total OTA(s) amount will not be obligated at time of award. Funding for the OTA(s) will be obligated when funding is appropriated. Based on the availability of funds, the Government and OTA Awardees may need to renegotiate the schedule, milestones events, and/or the SOW.

6. Contact Information

U.S. Army Contracting Command – Rock Island
3055 Rodman Avenue
Rock Island, IL 61299

Agreements Specialists – Steffanie Adams steffanie.l.adams.civ@army.mil and Mallory Schmitt mallory.d.schmitt.civ@army.mil
Agreements Officer – Emily Sheppard

Be advised, only an Agreements Officer has the authority to enter into a binding agreement on behalf of the Government. He or she will sign the agreement, and only an Agreements Officer has the authority to change the terms of the agreement.

7. Attachments

Attachment	Title
Attachment 0001	Statement of Work
Attachment 0002	Representation and Certification RFAAP Bioplant
Attachment 0003	Proposal Cover Page Template (does not count toward page limit)